

Technical Guidance for Estimating Status Determination Reference Points and their Proxies in Accordance with the National Standard 1 Guidelines

> Presentation to FMCs and SSCs Richard Methot

> > 2023

Status Update

Draft is ready for Council comments

Technical Guidance for Estimating Status Determination Reference Points and their Proxies in Accordance with the National Standard <u>1</u> Guidelines

Prepared for the

National Marine Fisheries Service

By

Richard Methot, Melissa A Karp, Jason Cope, Marc <u>Nadon</u>, Elizabeth N Brooks, Dan <u>Goethel</u>, Aaron Berger, Cody <u>Szuwalski</u>, Jon <u>Brodziak</u>, Shannon <u>Calay</u>, Stephanie Hunt, Deb Lambert, Timothy J Miller, Clay Porch, Chantel Wetzel, <u>Kristan Blackhart</u>, Karen E Greene, Marian Macpherson

U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-###



Overview of Document

- Approaches to calculating MSY-related quantities and SDCs
 - Tier 1: Age- or Length structured Assessment Models
 - Direct Estimation of FMSY, MSY, and BMSY
 - Proxies
 - Tier 2: Biomass Dynamics/Surplus Production Models
 - Tier 3: Data-limited Approaches
 - Biological Composition Method
 - Abundance-based Method
 - Catch-only Methods
 - · Additional Special Considerations
- Multi-year approach to determine overfishing status
- Overfished and approaching an overfished condition
- Overfished Determination from %SPR approach
- Updating reference points and SDCs for changing conditions
- Multispecies Considerations

SDC Concepts

SDC Reference Points for overfishing and overfished conditions work together with Control Rules to provide science-based fishery management

- Fishing mortality (F) ~ slope of line relating catch to biomass
- Higher F causes lower average stock BIOMASS
- Intermediate F gives MSY
- Overfishing occurs when F > Maximum Fishing Mortality Threshold (MFMT)
- or when catch > Overfishing Limit (OFL)
- Stock is overfished when B < Minimum Stock Size Threshold (MSST)
- There is a F corresponding to MSST





Approaches to calculating MSY-related quantities and SDCs: <u>Age-structured methods</u>

Direct Estimation

- Choosing the SRR functional form and parameterization
- Estimating parameters of the SRR curve
- Using priors for one or more of the SRR parameters
- Regional differences have evolved tailored to local data and situation

Data-moderate MSY-based Proxies

- Proxies for Fmsy: recommended %SPR in range of 30-60%, with default of 40-45% for most stocks
- Proxies for Bmsy: Mean Recruitment x SSB/R @ Fproxy; %B0



Approaches to calculating MSY-related quantities and SDCs: <u>Biomass Dynamics</u>

Can be employed when there is:

- (1) time series of total catch
- (2) at least one time series of relative abundance data

Pros

- minimal data requirements
- simple to implement and to communicate
- straightforward connection to MSY quantities

Cons

- cannot account for age-specific fisheries
- Ignores lag effect of recruitment contributing to the spawning biomass
- Cannot project recent recruitments into OFL and ABC



Approaches to calculating MSY-related quantities and SDCs: <u>Data-limited Methods</u>

- Data-limited approaches include: catch-only, absolute abundance, abundance trend, and biological composition (e.g. %SPR) as data categories
- All rely on structural assumptions in order to infer <u>some</u> aspect of status determination; none can do it all



Approaches to calculating MSY-related quantities and SDCs: <u>Data-limited Methods</u>

- Biological composition data allow calculation of recent average SSB/R, from with the SPR can be calculated
- Previously NMFS has disallowed MSST status determinations from only %SPR calcs because it did not explicitly refer to biomass



 Now: we demonstrate how assumption of quasi-stable stock and fishery provides logical basis by which %SPR, which is a measure of the impact of historical F on current stock's relative biomass, can be used to make MSST determinations



Additional Considerations for Reference Point Calculations

- Fleet dynamics
- Spatial complexity
- Size-selective fishing
- Density-dependence in other lifehistory factors beyond stockrecruitment
- Age-truncation
- Units of reproductive potential





Updating Ref Pts for Prevailing Conditions

- Track changes with empirical trailing averages
 - already routinely done for fishery conditions and fish biology
 - recommend extending that logic to recruitment also
- If environmental drivers are identified, explore ways to directly incorporate them in the assessment model and resultant SDC ref point updates
- Invoke regime shifts, when demonstrably necessary, as exceptions to that trailing average approach; with high bar to avoid explaining away fishing effects on SSB





Caution for Declining Stocks

- Highlight and investigate changing conditions that would lead to maintaining high F on a declining stock.
- Example: Increase in M causes stock decline, but also causes F40% to be a larger F
- Consider setting control rule inflection biomass based on long-term perspective, and setting FMSY, BMSY, MSY, and rebuilding target on the basis of more recently prevailing conditions. Such an approach needs simulation testing before being used.
- If using a %SPR for the proxy reference points, re-evaluate the choice of %SPR proxy used to ensure it is still consistent with the new perception of the stock's productivity



Multispecies Considerations

- Single Species approach not mandated, just convenient
- Should be doing best analysis possible to understand status of each species
- Technical interactions (e.g., mixed-stock fisheries)
 - System wide multispecies MSY (MMSY)
 - Calculate F associated with F_{MSST}, to ensure no stock is fished at level that would result in dropping below MSST in long-term
- Biological (ecological) interactions
 - Estimating predation mortality (M2)
 - Simultaneously estimating targets for multiple species
- Explore use of MSEs to evaluate tradeoffs





Conclusions

- This document strives to update technical guidance for implementation of reference points and status determinations under NS1
- Based on deliberations among knowledgeable experts
 that spanned several years
- It addresses some old and some new issues
- Highlight that despite the challenges and differences, the NS1G system of reference points has been highly effective in providing a scientific approach to implementation of the Magnuson-Stevens Act's mandate to prevent overfishing and rebuild overfished fisheries



Questions?

