Catch Rates of Red Snapper on Single vs. Multiple Hook Rigs

Heather M. Christiansen and Beverly Sauls Fish and Wildlife Research Institute Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission



At-Sea Observer Program

- The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) Fish and Wildlife Research Institute's Fisheries Dependent Monitoring (FWRI-FDM) At-Sea Observer Program
- Biologists are assigned to randomly selected headboat and charter vessels
- Collect information on number of anglers, rigs, time fished, fish captured



At-Sea Observer Program

- Data from 2011-2020
- Data filtering
 - Red snapper data
 - Northeast Florida region only (722, 728, 732)
 - Remove rig types that do not target red snapper





Methods

- Summary data
 - Number of rods fished using either single or multiple hooks
 - Proportion of rods that were positive for red snapper
 - Total number of red snapper captured
- CPUE calculated as number fish captured/fishing hour for each hook category at each site
- Generalized linear mixed model
 - CPUE data
 - Negative binomial model included year, zone, and hook category



Summary Data

Rig Type	Single Hook	Multiple hook
Artificial	166	6
Egg/Carolina sliding/fixed	11813	7
Pyramid	3451	8
Knocker	241	1
Jighead	111	1
Chicken	39	1572
Unknown	2320	93





Summary Data

- Proportion positive for red snapper
 - Single hook-16 %
 - Multiple hook-19%
- Number of red snapper captured
 - Single hook- 4972
 - Multiple hook- 599



Negative binomial

Effect	Num DF	Den DF	F	р
Year	9	2195	7.66	<.0001
Zone	2	2195	9.2	0.0001
Hook category	1	2195	8.89	0.0029

Mean hourly CPUE

	Mean	Lower 95% CL	Upper 95% CL
Multiple hooks	0.43	0.36	0.51
Single hook	0.33	0.29	0.38



Conclusions

- Majority of rigs used on headboat and charter boats are single hook rigs
- Multiple hook rigs have a higher proportion positive for red snapper
- Multiple hook rigs have higher mean CPUE than single hook rigs
- Data is from for-hire survey, unknown what private recreational uses

