correct docket location information for Santa Fe which is the Fogelson Library, College of Santa Fe, 1600 St. Michaels Drive, Santa Fe, NM.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ray Clark at (202) 233-0198.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As noted in the summary section above, this action corrects an omission in the earlier notice published February 11. Effective March 23, 1993, EPA's toll free WIPP Information Line will be in service. The telephone number is 800– 331–WIPP. The line will be updated weekly to announce upcoming EPAsponsored public meetings and provide highlights on the 40 CFR Parts 144 and 191 rulemaking and EPA's other rulemaking activities under the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act.

Dated: March 5, 1993.

Margo T. Oge,

Director, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air. [FR Doc. 93-5866 Filed 3-12-93; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6566-59-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AB 91

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Proposed Rule Determining Oritical Habitat for the Colorado River Endangered Fishes: Razorback Sucker, Colorado Squawfish, Humpback Chub, and Bonytail Chub; Correction

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Correction to proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service corrects the proposed rule published Friday, January 29, 1993 (58 FR 6578), regarding critical habitat for four Colorado River endangered fishes. Incorrect descriptions of areas proposed for critical habitat for the razorback sucker were given at 58 FR 6595. Corrections are provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert D. Williams, Utah State Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2060 Administration Building, 1745 West 1700 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84104 (801/975–3630).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 29, 1993, a proposed rule was published proposing designation of critical habitat for four Colorado River fishes that are currently listed as endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (58 FR 65178–6596). The rule proposed amendment of 50 CFR 17.95, the section of the regulations that delineates critical habitat, and included maps and descriptions of the areas proposed as critical habitat for each of the four species. The Service notes that the description of the critical habitat proposed for the razorback sucker in Arizona contained errors in three separate paragraphs.

The description of the area proposed as critical habitat for the razorback sucker in Coconino and Mohave Counties, Arizona, inadvertently excluded a section of the Colorado River that extends from the mouth of Paria River to the Little Colorado River, although this area was identified as critical habitat on the map for the razorback sucker presented at 58 FR 6596. In addition, the description of an unsurveyed section of the Colorado River in Gila County, Arizona, has been revised to more adequately reflect its location. Finally, a one-word correction is made to clarify the description of proposed critical habitat in Yavapai County, Arizona. These errors could be misleading and cause confusion. Therefore, the Service provides these corrections.

Accordingly, the proposed designation of critical habitat for four endangered Colorado River fishes (50 CFR 17.95(e)), the subject of the Federal Register proposal (58 FR 6578) is corrected in three locations describing critical habitat for the rezorback sucker, as follows:

1. On page 6595, second column, fifth full paragraph, which begins "Arizona, Coconine and Mohave Counties, and Nevada, Clark County," correct as follows: Starting in line 4, delete the words "Little Colorado River in T.32N, R.5E., section 1" and replace them with "Paria River in T.40N, R.7E, section 24".

2. On page 6595, third column, second paragraph, which begins "Arizona, Gila County," correct as follows: Starting in line 13, delete the words "OW Ranch road crossing in T.R. section (Gila and Salt River Meridian)" and replace them with "Indian Route 1 crossing (about 1 mile upstream of the confluence of Canyon Creek and the Salt River; an unsurveyed area)".

3. On page 6595, third column, third paragraph, which begins "Arizona, Yavapai County," correct as follows: In the 6th line of the paragraph, replace the word "including" with the word "and" so that the line reads "elevation and Sycamore Creek and its". Dated: March 8, 1993. Bruce Blanchard, Acting Director, Fish and Wildlife Service. [FR Doc. 93–5812 Filed 3–12–93; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55-46

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 646

[Docket No. 930115-3015]

RIN 0648-AE89

Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), NOAA, Commerce. ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: NMFS proposes to establish eight special management zones (SMZs) at the sites of artificial reefs (ARs) in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) off the South Carolina coast and restrict fishing in these SMZs to hand-held, hook-andline gear (including manual, electric, or hydraulic rod and reel) and spearfishing (excluding powerheads). The intended effect is to promote orderly use of the fishery resources on and around the ARs, to reduce potential user-group conflicts, and to maintain the socioeconomic benefits of the ARs to the maximum extent practicable. DATES: Written comments must be received on or before April 14, 1993. **ADDRESSES:** Copies of documents supporting this action may be obtained from the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Southpark Building, suite 306, Charleston, SC 29407-4699

Comments on the proposed rule should be sent to and copies of the Environmental Assessment may be obtained from Peter J. Ekdridge, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 9450 Koger Boulevard, St. Petersburg, FL 33702.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter J. Eldridge, 813-993-3161. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Snappergrouper species off the southern Atlantic states are managed under the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic (FMP), prepared by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council), and its implementing regulations at 50 CFR part 646, under the authority of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson Act). The FMP provides for designation of ARs as SMZs following Council recommendation to the Director, Southeast Region, NMFS (Regional Director).

ARs create fishing opportunities that would not exist otherwise and may increase biological production. They are expensive to construct and their benefits can be dissipated rapidly by certain types of fishing gear. Use of fishing gear on an AR that offers exceptional advantages over other gear, such as sea bass pots, may reduce significantly the improved fishing opportunities and, thus, may eliminate the incentive for the development of ARs. The intent of SMZs is to preserve the fishing opportunities of ARs and the incentive to establish them.

Although ARs and SMZs are intended primarily to create recreational fishing opportunities, by allowing commercial fishing with hand-held hook-and-line gear, they also enhance commercial fishing. Further, when fish migrate from ARs and their corresponding SMZs, they become available to be taken by additional commercial gear such as sea bass pots.

The South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department (SCWMRD) requested that the Council establish SMZs around eight ARs in which fishing would be limited to handheld, hook-and-line gear and spearfishing (excluding powerheads). The ARs are in the EEZ off South Carolina on an expansive shelf area that has large areas devoid of any hard or live bottom. Prior to establishment of the ARs, these areas did not support any significant fisheries. In fact, these large barren areas limited the development of recreational fishing.

In accordance with the FMP, as amended, a monitoring team appointed by the Council evaluated SCWMRD's request in consideration of the following criteria: (1) Fairness and equity; (2) promotion of conservation; (3) prevention of excessive shares; (4) consistency with the objectives of the FMP, the Magnuson Act, and other applicable law; (5) consideration of the natural bottom in and surrounding the areas and impacts on historical uses; and (6) cumulative impacts. A copy of the monitoring team's report is available (see ADDRESSES).

After consideration of all relevant information, including the monitoring team's report, other supporting data, and comments received during public hearings, committee meetings, and Council meetings, the Council approved SCWMRD's request. The Regional Director concurs with this decision. Accordingly, the proposed SMZs and the management measures applicable to them are published for public comment.

Classification

At this time, the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA (Assistant Administrator), has not determined that this proposed action is consistent with the national standards, other provisions of the Magnuson Act, and other applicable law. The Assistant Administrator, in making that determination, will take into account the data, views, and comments received during the comment period.

The Assistant Administrator determined that this proposed rule is not a "major rule" requiring a regulatory impact analysis under E.O. 12291. This proposed rule, if adopted, is not likely to result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, state, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or a significant adverse effect on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

The Deputy General Counsel of the Department of Commerce has certified to the Small Business Administration that this proposed rule, if adopted, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because few commercial fishermen depend on the artificial reef sites and the negative economic effects would be minimal.

The Council prepared a regulatory impact review (RIR) for this proposed rule, which concludes that the costs or negative impacts associated with the proposed designation of additional SMZs are insignificant compared to the benefits associated with SMZ status. A copy of the RIR is available (see ADDRESSES).

The Southeast Regional Office prepared an environmental assessment (EA) for this proposed rule that discusses the impact on the environment as a result of this rule. A copy of the EA is available from the Region (see ADDRESSES).

In the final rules implementing the FMP and its amendments, NMFS concluded that, to the maximum extent practicable, the FMP and amendments are consistent with the approved coastal zone management programs of all the affected states. Since this proposed rule, if adopted, does not directly affect the coastal zone in a manner not already fully evaluated in the FMP and amendments and their consistency determinations, a new consistency determination under the Coastal Zone Management Act is not required.

This proposed rule does not contain a collection-of-information requirement subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

This proposed rule does not contain policies with federalism implications sufficient to warrant preparation of a federalism assessment under E.O. 12612.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 646

Fisheries, Fishing, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: March 9, 1993.

Samuel W. McKeen,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 50 CFR part 646 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 646—SNAPPER-GROUPER FISHERY OF THE SOUTH ATLANTIC

1. The authority citation for part 646 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

2. In § 646.26, new paragraphs (a)(22) through (a)(29) are added and paragraph (c)(1) introductory text and the first sentence of paragraph (c)(4) are revised to read as follows:

§646.26 Area limitations.

(a) * * *

(22) Little River Offshore Reef: The area is bounded on the north by 33°42.10'N. latitude; on the south by 33°41.10'N. latitude; on the east by 78°26.40'W. longitude; and on the west by 78°27.10'W. longitude.

(23) BP-25 Reef: The area is bounded on the north by 33°21.70'N. latitude; on the south by 33°20.70'N. latitude; on the east by 78°24.80'W. longitude; and on the west by 78°25.60'W. longitude.

(24) Vermilion Reef: The area is bounded on the north by 32°57.80'N. latitude; on the south by 32°57.30'N. latitude; on the east by 78°39.30'W. longitude; and on the west by 78°40.10'W. longitude.

(25) Cape Romaine Reef: The area is bounded on the north by 33°00.00'N. latitude; on the south by 32°59.50'N. latitude; on the east by 79°02.01'W. longitude; and on the west by 79°02.62'W. longitude.

(26) Y-73 Reef: The area is bounded on the north by 32°33.20°N. latitude; on the south by 32°32.70°N. latitude; on the east by 79°19.10°W. longitude; and on the west by 79°19.70°W. longitude.

(27) Eagles Nest Reef: The area is bounded on the north by 32°01.48'N. latitude; on the south by 32°00.98'N. latitude; on the east by 80°30.00'W. longitude; and on the west by 80°30.65'W longitude.

13734

(28) Bill Perry Jr. Reef: The area is bounded on the north by 33°26.20'N. latitude; on the south by 33°25.20'N. latitude; on the east by 78°32.70'W. longitude; and on the west by 78°33.80'W. longitude.

(29) Comanche Reef: The area is bounded on the north by 32°27.40'N. latitude; on the south by 32°26.90'N. latitude; on the east by 79°18.80'W. longitude; and on the west by 79°19.60'W. longitude.

(c) * * *

٠

(1) In SMZs specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(18) and (a)(22) through (a)(29) of this section,

(4) In the SMZs specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(10) and (a)(22) through (a)(29) of this section, a powerhead may not be used to take a fish in the snapper-grouper fishery.

[FR Doc. 93-5872 Filed 3-12-93; 8:45 am] FILLING CODE 3610-22-41