Joint Mid-Atlantic & South Atlantic Blueline Tilefish SSC Sub-Group

Review Workshop Summary

South Atlantic SSC Meeting May 28, 2025





Joint SSC Sub-Group - Background

Sub-Group members	John Boreman	Jim Gartland	Yan Jiao
	Cynthia Jones	Marcel Reichert	

- Support from SEFSC, NEFSC, MAFMC, SAFMC
- Group served as peer review of stock north of Hatteras and DLMTool developed through SEDAR process
 - Charged with reviewing DLMTool, data inputs, provide catch limit recommendations, and develop approach to spilt catch limit between jurisdictions develop a report addressing 9 ToRs
 - Review workshop held via webinar on April 21 and April 23



Term of Reference #1 – Data

- Suite of data concerns identified following SEDAR 50
 - Some concerns addressed in SEDAR 92 growth parameters, recreational catch
 - Many remain unaddressed or not fully resolved (e.g., ageing)
- Primary data sources are commercial and recreational catch estimates and length frequency
 - MRIP estimates for private recreational fleet highly variable and uncertain
 - Commercial longline lengths obtained from fewer than 10 observed trips since 2014
 - Fishery-independent SADL survey should be available in future
- Data decisions by Topical Working Group and assessment lead were appropriate and justified



Term of Reference #2 – Methods

- Given limited data available, the DLM application and procedures considered were appropriate
- Two mean length MPs did not produce viable results (negative Fs) and were not used
 - These were the two MPs used following SEDAR 50 to set catch limits
- Three catch-based MPs produced more realistic TAC estimates

- These MPs were not preferred following SEDAR 50

• Given MPs and data available for use, the catch-based MPs were considered suitable to provide management advice



- Term of Reference #3 Stock Status
 - DLM analyses do not provide description of population and fishery dynamics
 - No estimates of population size or fishing mortality available
 - Model outputs generally align with input data and biological characteristics of this data-limited species
 - Fishery indicators/trends were discussed:
 - Increasing trend in catch time series
 - Higher percentage of larger fish in commercial longline fishery in recent years
 - Catch limits in both regions and both sectors are being reached
 - Industry observations indicating large presence of fish and various sizes and ages
 - Increasing number of record-size awards/citations in recreational sector in NC, VA, and MD



- Term of Reference #4 Projections
 - DLM cannot provide population projections
- Term of Reference #5 Uncertainties
 - Uncertainties were effectively addressed to the extent practicable
 - Bootstrapping procedures provide estimates of uncertainty for the TAC outputs associated with the three catch-based MPs
 - Uncertainties provided by bootstrapping are likely underestimated
 - Uncertainty in catch estimates are quite large and not propagated through the analysis



- Term of Reference #6 Catch Recommendations
 - Outputs from the two mean length MPs used after SEDAR 50 were MSY proxies and considered an OFL – not viable following SEDAR 92
 - The three catch-based MPs available were considered as ABC proxies
 - Did not apply Council risk policy or control rule
 - The CC1 MP average catch over last 5 years was recommended for use by sub-group
 - Most representative of current fishery and captures recent variability and uncertainty in catch estimates
 - Using 50% quantile from CC1 outputs results in an ABC of 646,000 pounds (293 mt)
 - Given high uncertainty, suggest the councils consider including a management uncertainty buffer when setting ACL/ACT



- Term of Reference #7 Jurisdiction Apportion
 - Considered 2 options 1) landings information or 2) fishery-independent survey data
 - Recommended using information from the 2023 and 2024 SADL survey
 - Considered several potential methods to apply to SADL data to apportion ABC
 - Recommended a combined 2023/24 catch-per-haul weighted by strata area
 - Similar approach as applied and reviewed using 2017 pilot information
 - Survey design and CPUE should account for spatial habitat variability
 - Results in apportioning 70% of ABC to MAFMC and 30% to SAFMC (approx. 14% change from calculations using 2017 pilot, 75 fish)



Questions?



