

Shark Depredation

Karyl Brewster-Geisz

Atlantic HMS Management Division

2020



Outline

- Background
- Challenges
- Shark Management History
- Status of Commercial Shark Fishery
- Status of Recreational Shark Fishery
- Questions







Depredation by sharks is not a new phenomenon

Potential Impacts

Mortality on target stocks

Lost Revenues

Lost Seafood

Gear Damage

Negative social impacts



Shark Depredation

- HMS Mgmt. Div. is receiving more frequent reports of shark depredation in various fisheries over the last few years
- Impacts are widespread
 - Council-, State-, and HMS-managed fisheries
 - Northeast, Southeast, Gulf of Mexico, and U.S. Caribbean





Shark Depredation

- South Atlantic and GOM Fishery Management Councils have requested action to solve this problem
- Solutions may be limited by a variety of factors





Shark Depredation - Challenges

• Limited Reporting

- There is no consistent and verifiable reporting of depredation events, which makes it difficult to quantify the extent of the problem
- Shark species involved often unknown or difficult to confirm

Multiple Shark Species Implicated

- Sandbar, dusky, silky, blacktip, spinner, spiny dogfish, porbeagle, blue, white, bull, tiger, hammerheads, Caribbean reef
- These species have mixed stock statuses
 - Some are prohibited species
 - Several stocks rebuilding
- Multiple Fisheries Affected
 - Northeast/Mid-Atlantic: Groundfish, Striped Bass, Black Sea Bass, HMS
 - Southeast/Gulf/Caribbean: Snapper-Grouper, Dolphin, Wahoo, Mackerel, Shrimp, Tarpon, Jacks, HMS



Shark Management – Brief History

- Managed since 1993
- Established 3 complexes for 39 species
- Limited Access started in 1999
- Increasingly managed at a species level
- Currently manage 42 species (45 stocks)
- From 1993 until 2015 commercial quotas harvested fully (sometimes exceeded) very quickly



Relative biomass (abundance) of large coastal shark stocks





Relative biomass (abundance) of small coastal shark stocks





Related Actions

- <u>Amendment 14</u> Restructuring how shark quotas are established; public comments due Dec 31
- Spatial Management and Data Collection Collecting data from closed areas
- Atlantic blacktip shark assessment underway
- Hammerhead shark assessment starting 2021
- Implementation of biological opinions Oceanic whitetip, scalloped hammerhead (only in Caribbean)
- <u>Shark Fishery Review (SHARE)</u> Internal initiative to explore all areas of the shark fishery (commercial, recreational, market, areas of concern, and guidance for future rulemaking)



Status of the Commercial Shark Fishery

Generally, from 2014-2019...

- The number of active permit holders has declined
- The number of trips landing and targeting sharks has declined
- Mean landings per trip (by weight) have declined
- A small number of shark fishermen account for a large portion of landings





Commercial Permits

- •41% reduction of active permits
- Total number of issued* shark directed and incidental decreased >10%

* Issued permits includes active and inactive

TriPack Dir. = Shark Directed Permit carried with Swordfish and Atlantic Tuna Longline Permits

TriPack Incid. = Shark Incidental Permit carried with Swordfish and Atlantic Tuna Longline Permits





Commercial Trips

- Includes trips with any shark landings
- Most trips conducted by State fishermen
- Large decline in number of trips in Gulf of Mexico in 2019



Commercial Landings



Status of the Recreational Shark Fishery

Generally, from 2014-2020...

- The number of permit holders with shark endorsements did not change from 2018 to 2020
- The number of directed shark trips has declined in the last 6 years
- Overall, smoothhound, Atlantic sharpnose, and bonnethead sharks are the most caught shark species
- Most sharks caught are released, and may not be identified to species



Shark Depredation - Questions

- What else to should HMS consider?
- What factors drive depredation events?





Page 16 U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | National Marine Fisheries Service

Shark Depredation - Progress

• Drymon et al. (2019) developed a genetic method to identify shark species involved with depredation

Drymon, JM, PT Cooper, SP Powers, MM Miller, S Magnusson, E Krell, and C Bird (2019) Genetic identification of species responsible for depredation in commercial and recreational fisheries. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 39(3):524-534.

- Preliminary research to quantify <u>shark depredation</u>
 - Pelagic Longline
 - Much higher rates of depredation than reef fish
 - Generally stable through time
 - Seasonal and regional variation
 - Gulf Reef Fish
 - Increasing over time
 - W. Gulf with higher rates of shark depredation
 - Seasonal variation exists
 - Bottom longline gear exhibited higher rates of depredation than vertical line



Shark Depredation - Considerations

- HMS Mgmt. Div. is aware of the issue
 - Frequency of reports appears to be increasing
 - The extent of the problem and species involved is difficult to quantify
- We need more data
 - Observer programs, logbooks, EM?
 - Depredation has been identified as a research priority
- Shark management is bound to MSA requirements
 - Overfished stocks must be rebuilt
 - Overfishing is not permitted
 - Find ways to work within legal constraints to minimize conflicts between sharks and other fisheries
 - Help ensure that optimum yield is attained and quotas are harvested
 - Align fishing seasons between sharks and target species?
 - Shark deterrent technologies?



Shark Depredation - Conclusions We are aware of the issue We are open to suggestions

- Data collection?
- Research proposals?
- Creative solutions?

CONTACT: <u>Karyl.Brewster-Geisz@noaa.gov</u>

