## Proposal for an alternative scheduling approach to provide timely information for key stocks:

Approach Summary

- Identify 12-15 key stocks, defined as those that drive the management program.
  - The focus should be on stocks with the leverage to influence decisions on when or how to fish, such as Red Snapper, Black Sea Bass, Vermilion Snapper, and Cobia.
  - o Consult with SEFSC, the SSC, and APs to develop the key stocks list.
  - Consider existing Council priorities, currently assessed stocks, and the NMFS prioritization tool (results of these shown in tables 1-3)
- Develop a regular long-term assessment schedule for the key stocks.
  - For example, if 12 stocks assessed by the Beaufort/SEFSC team are identified as key stocks requiring regular, timely updates, 3 could be assessed per year while leaving at least one of the 4 SAFMC planning slots available for benchmarks and other assessment needs.
  - Examples of assessment schedules possible under this approach are shown in Figures 1-2.
- Develop preliminary analyses addressing data indicators or "rumble strips" for each of these stocks to use in evaluating stock and fishery performance through annual or bi-annual fishery report cards.
  - The indicators would be built around metrics that are available on a timely basis, to facilitate their use in guiding management actions.
  - These analyses would consist of updated projection analyses that were used for establishing ABC's. The most recent data on landings, discards, FI indices, and age composition could be included in the analysis. Parameters to be estimated would include F's and recruitment, depending on data types updated. All other aspects would remain fixed based on last stock assessment.
  - ABC's could be updated from these interim analyses.
  - Provide a fishery report card for each key stock during years when the stock is not assessed. This may start as biannual information, with a goal of annual information in the future.
- Consider a pilot project, focusing on up to 4 stocks, to test the approach.

Potential Benefits

- More timely information on the stocks that drive fishery trends
- Opportunity to set aside some resources for long term priorities
- Improved and more efficient assessment planning
- Improved and more efficient planning for data and life history (ageing) demands
- Improved ability to manage assessment resources and balance workloads
- Improved planning for council management action timing

Potential Key Stocks

RANK	STOCK
	UNASSESSED STOCKS
4	White Grunt
5	Lane Snapper
6	Gray Triggerfish*
8	Scamp
9	Dolphin
11	Almaco Jack
13	Knobbed Porgy
14	GA-NC Hogfish*
15	Speckled Hind
16	Goliath Grouper*
18	Silk Snapper
19	Red Hind
21	Warsaw Grouper
	ASSESSED STOCKS
1	Red Snapper
2	FLK/EFL Hogfish
3	Red Porgy
7	Snowy Grouper
10	Red Grouper
12	Blueline Tilefish
17	King Mackerel
20	Spanish Mackerel
22	Black Sea Bass
23	Gag
24	Cobia
25	Tilefish
26	Vermilion Snapper
27	Greater Amberjack
28	Black Grouper
29	Mutton Snapper
30	Yellowtail Snapper
31	Wreckfish

## Table 2. Assessed Stocks.

				Next Assessment			
			Terminal	Terminal Year			
Stock	Age in 2017	Status <sup>1</sup>	Data Year <sup>3</sup>	Terminal Yr	Completed		
Gray Triggerfish	NA	4	NA		2021		
Greater Amberjack	11	3	2006	2016	2018		
Black Grouper	9	3	2008				
Spiny Lobster	8	3	2009				
Yellowtail Snapper	7	3	2010	TBD	2020		
Wreckfish <sup>2</sup>	7	3	2010				
Vermilion Snapper	6	3	2011	2016	2018		
Red Porgy	6	1	2011	2017	2019		
Spanish Mackerel	6	3	2011		2020		
Cobia	6	3	2011	2017	2019		
Mutton Snapper	6	3	2011		2021		
Black Sea Bass	5	3	2012		2021		
King Mackerel	5	3	2012	2017	2019		
Snowy Grouper	5	1	2012	2017	2019		
Gag	5	3	2012		2020		
Hogfish (East FL)	5	1	2012				
Hogfish (NC-GA)	NA	4	2012				
Red Snapper	3	1	2014		2020		
golden Tilefish	3	3	2014	2017	2019		
Goliath Grouper	NA	4	2014				
Red Grouper	2	1	2015		2021		
Blueline Tilefish	2	2	2015				

<sup>1</sup> Status according to the prior assessment: 1 = overfished or in rebuilding, 2 = overfishing, 3 = neither overfished nor overfishing, 4 = assessments attempted but rejected by peer review.

<sup>2</sup>. Wreckfish was assessed by an outside contractor funded by fishery participants. It was reviewed by the SSC, per Council policies developed to accommodate assessments provided outside the SEDAR process.

3. Reported for the current assessment – not ones indicated in the "next assessment" colums.

Table 3. SAFMC assessment and data collection priority	/ stocks.
--	-----------

Primary: 23 spp.	Secondary: 12 spp.	Special: 9 spp.
Vermilion Snapper	Tomtate	Warsaw grouper
Snowy Grouper	Knobbed Porgy	Speckled Hind
golden Tilefish	Bar Jack	Goliath grouper
Red Grouper	Almaco Jack	Nassau grouper
Black Grouper	Lane Snapper	Red Snapper
Scamp	Banded Rudderfish	Wreckfish
Black Sea Bass	Rock Hind	Spiny Lobster
Gag	Red Hind	Golden Crab
Red Snapper	Wahoo	Dolphin
Greater Amberjack	Penaeid Shrimp	
White Grunt	Atlantic Spadefish	
Yellowtail Snapper	Silk Snapper	
Gray Triggerfish		
Mutton Snapper		
Red Porgy		
Dolphin		
King Mackerel		
Spanish Mackerel		
Blueline Tilefish		
Cobia		
Hogfish (2 stocks)		
Gray Snapper		
Spiny Lobster		

With 4	Nith 4 analysts, 7 stocks each year (ABC advice on at least 6 stocks)										
(Annua											
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4		
Spp1	Update		Interim		Spp1	Analyst1		Analyst1			
Spp2		Update		Interim	Spp2		Analyst1		Analyst1		
Spp3	Interim		Update		Spp3	Analyst1		Analyst1			
Spp4		Interim		Update	Spp4		Analyst1		Analyst1		
Spp5	Update		Interim		Spp5	Analyst2		Analyst2			
Spp6		Update		Interim	Spp6		Analyst2		Analyst2		
Spp7	Interim		Update		Spp7	Analyst2		Analyst2			
Spp8		Interim		Update	Spp8		Analyst2		Analyst2		
Spp9	Update		Interim		Spp9	Analyst3		Analyst3			
Spp10		Update		Interim	Spp10		Analyst3		Analyst3		
Spp11	Interim		Update		Spp11	Analyst3		Analyst3			
Spp12		Interim		Update	Spp12		Analyst3		Analyst3		
					Open Slot	Analyst4					
					Open Slot		Analyst4				
					Open Slot			Analyst4			
					Open Slot				Analyst4		

Figure 1. Possible assessment work plan for 12 key stocks and 4 analysts.

Figure 2. Example annual assessment cycles and analyst work load.

Options for annu	al cycle f	or one an	alyst									
(1 update and 1 interi	m analysis e	ach year)										
				s s C		D A T A				s s C		
Annual Cycle												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Analyst 1				Assessment, run by SEFSC rminal Yr = Current-2					Interim Analysis, Data through Previous Year			
				S S C		D A T A				S S C		
Annual Cycle		-										
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Analyst 1		Ana Data f	erim lysis, from 2 rs ago				Update Assessment, run by SEFSC Terminal Yr = Previous Year					