Size selectivity by old and new trap mesh sizes in the US South Atlantic black sea bass trap fishery

> P.J. Rudershausen, J.A. Buckel & J.E. Hightower North Carolina State University Department of Applied Ecology







# Motivation

Traps: main commercial gear used to harvest BSB in US South Atlantic



Mesh size regs have not evolved with fish size regs

Motivation

Traps: main commercial gear used to harvest BSB in US South Atlantic



Mesh size regs have not evolved with fish size regs

# Goals

Determine whether larger trap mesh would better match fish size regs

Determine whether an optimal mesh size could be predicted from fish morphometry

# English-Metric conversions for commercial bass fishery

Description	English	Metric
Previous minimum bass total length	10"	254 mm
Current minimum bass total length	11"	279 mm
Smallest square mesh on minimally legal trap	1 ½"	37.5 mm
Largest square mesh on minimally legal trap	2″	50.8 mm
New square mesh size tested	2 ¼"	57.2 mm
New square mesh size tested	2 1⁄2"	63.5 mm

Timeline of trap mesh size and black sea bass commercial size regs in US South Atlantic

1999: 10" (254 mm) TL

2006: Two inch (50.8 mm) back panel

2011: 11" (279 mm) TL

# Background: Trap construction and culling devices









#### Body Depth = 0.942 + 0.272\*Total Length

Rudershausen et al. NAJFM 2008



#### Body Depth = 0.942 + 0.272\*Total Length

Rudershausen et al. NAJFM 2008

#### 279 mm Total Length = 76.8 mm Body Depth



#### 279 mm Total Length = 76.8 mm Body Depth



CC  $DO = (2(CC \times 0.925)^2)^{0.5}$ 

Actual diagonal opening of two inch mesh: 66.5 mm



# 279 mm Total Length = 76.8 mm Body Depth



CC  $DO = (2(CC \times 0.925)^2)^{0.5}$ 

Actual diagonal opening of two inch mesh: 66.5 mm

Square mesh size (mm)	Actual diagonal opening (mm)	Predicted 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile retention: total length (mm)
50.8 (2 inch)	66.5	240.8
57.2 (2.25 inch)	74.8	271.6
63.5 (2.5 inch)	83.1	301.9

0 mm 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 290 300 310 320 330 340 350 360 370 380 390 400



## **Trap types and field sampling**

Five square mesh trap types:

Uniform 37.5 mm mesh (control)

Uniform 37.5 mm mesh w/ 50.8 mm mesh backpanel (min. legal mesh size) Uniform 50.8 mm mesh

- Uniform 57.3 mm mesh
- Uniform 63.5 mm mesh

Fished offshore NC in 2013 Fished all trap types simultaneously in the same area

### **Analysis**

Fit a negative binomial catch model.

Control trap type: catch per trap represents fish sizes available to- and retained by traps, retention probability is 1 for length bins in control trap.

Modeled retention probability of experimental traps as a logistic selection curve (Millar and Fryer 1999)  $r(l) = \exp(a+bl)/1 + \exp(a+bl)$ 

Calculated  $I_{50}$  (50<sup>th</sup> percentile size of retention, a.k.a. size where 50% of bass are retained): -a/b

Calculated  $I_{10}$  (10<sup>th</sup> percentile size of retention, a.k.a. size at initial retention): (*logit*(0.1)-*a*)/*b* 

Compared mean estimated mass of legal bass among trap types.

Compared mean number of sub-legal bass among trap types.

### <u>Results</u> Modeling catch rates



#### **Results**

Retention probability of each experimental trap type: 2 parameter logistic model

 $r(l) = \exp(a+bl)/1 + \exp(a+bl)$ 



### <u>Results</u> Comparing catch rates

Mass legal bass: Non-significant

Numbers sub-legal bass: Significant



#### Results

Percentage of legal and sub-legal black sea bass in each experimental trap type

Fish category	Backpanel	50.8 mm	<b>57.2</b> mm	63.5 mm
Legal	51.9	65.5	94.5	99.8
Sub-legal	48.1	34.5	5.5	0.2

### Discussion: Was an $I_{50}$ successfully predicted for experimental traps? Can fish morphometry predict optimal mesh sizes?



## Discussion: Estimated annual reduction of sub-legal catch if switch from backpanel to 57.2 mm traps: US South Atlantic

Ba	Backpanel		7.2 mm	Annual percent reduction of sub-legal discards	References for discard/ discard mort
# Discarded	#Discarded dead	#Discarded	#Discarded dead		
208,000	35,400	11,000	1,900	95%	Rudershausen et al. CJFAS 2014, SAFMC effort data

## Discussion: Availability of new mesh



2221 Canada Dry St. Houston, Texas 77023 713-924-4300 713-928-2324 Fax

Bill To:

RETAIL SALES - MARINE USA Please confirm your order by fax, mail, or email. Thank you,

#### Ship To:

PAUL RUBERSHAUSEN @ 252.646.8815 pjruders@ncsu.edu , NC 28460 USA

#### Quotes valid for 2 weeks.

		REFERENCE	NUMBER	- 9	TERMS	SHIP VIA		F.O.B. POINT
		HOLD FOR PAYN	IENT THR	THREE CIRCLE LOGISTI		HOUSTON		
		REQUESTED	BY	SALES	REPRESENTATIVE	QUOTE DATE	OUR QUOTE #	CUSTOMER ID
				AN	A FERNANDEZ	1/25/2016	90011287	0050020
LN	DL	ORDER QUANTITY	DUE DATE	PART IDENTIFIER	DESCRI	PTION	UNIT PRICE	EXTENDED PRICE
01	01	100.00	1/26/2016	C125M0225125B	2.25X2.25X22.5X100 <sup>1</sup> CTD BLACK THE ESTIMATED LEA 3.5 WEEKS A.R.O. PRICING BASED ON 1	EA 12.5GA D TIME IS 100 ROLLS	113.30	11330.00
02	01	1.00	1/26/2016	S & H	SHIPPING & HANDLIN PARTIAL DRY VAN	EA	1100.00	1100.00

PRICES-F.O.B. HOUSTON OUR PLANT.

#### QUOTE

Quote #	Quote Date	Page	
90011287	1/26/2016	1	

Conclusions

Uniform 57.2 mm mesh traps balances wire availability and optimal selectivity for the 279 mm TL limit.

Mixed mesh traps (e.g. backpanel) do not optimize selection for any one fish size.

This study does *not* need to be repeated if fish size regs change.

Future predictions of optimal mesh size should be based on black sea bass squeezing.

Acknowledgments Tom Burgess (Sneads Ferry NC) (cooperator) North Carolina Sea Grant FRG Program (funding) CE Shepherd Co., Houston TX (wire) Chip Collier, SAFMC (effort data)







Bass trap testing, F/V Barbara Lynne, Onslow Bay, NC