Prediction and Verification of Spawning Aggregations in the US South Atlantic

Presented to:

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council Snapper Grouper Committee

Presented by:

Will Heyman

LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc.

Presentation date:

3 December 2014

Funding and support provided by:

- South Atlantic Fisheries Management Council
- SC DNR MARMAP
- Gulf and South Atlantic Fisheries Foundation
- Pew Charitable Trusts
- Summit and Oak Foundations
- Sea Scouts Base Galveston
- NOAA Saltonstall Kennedy Program
- Commercial fishermen including Jack Cox, Mark Marhefka





Objectives

- Develop and test methods for cooperative research with commercial snapper grouper fishermen for prediction and verification of spawning aggregations in the US South Atlantic
- Verify spawning aggregation sites of anecdotally described multi-species spawning aggregations in the US South Atlantic
- Foster a collaborative, participatory research and monitoring program to:
 - Inform and improve SEDAR stock assessments
 - Identify, characterize, and design SMZs via an adaptive process
 - Ultimately provide a valuable data stream for the management of snapper/grouper
 - Instill participatory and adaptive, ecosystem based management practices

Context

- Declining Snapper Grouper Stocks in US South Atlantic
- Magnuson Stevens 2006 mandate to end overfishing
- Warsaw grouper and Speckled hind highly reduced with possible listing on ESA. (Nassau grouper is in the final stages of listing.)
- 240' closure enacted and then removed
- Expert Workgroup recommendations modified and reduced
- "The hammer of regulation is still over our heads" Mark Marhefka
- What options are left? SA AP decided to evaluate the possibility of SMZs to protect spawning habitat of snappers and groupers – particularly SH and WG

Spawning Aggregation

- Spawning egg release
 - (could be pairs, small group or large aggregation)
- Spawning aggregation -
 - Conspecific fish that have migrated and grouped together for the purpose of reproduction, in densities 3x normal (Domeier et al. 1997)
- Fish aggregation
 - group of fish (could be for feeding, breeding, or simply normal schooling behavior, i.e. jacks)

Prediction and Verification

- Collaborative approach
- Season
- General area
- Geomorphology
- Spawning signs
 - Gonad condition
 - Behavior
 - Coloration
 - Spawning



Photo: from M. Burton

What Constitutes Verification?

Direct Evidence

- Photo/video documentation of spawning (gamete release)
- Hydrated oocytes in gonads of female fish
- Post ovulatory follicles in female gonads

Indirect Evidence

- Anecdotal information
- High CPUE of gravid (late development stage) gonads
- Underwater observations and photo/video documentation of courtship behavior and coloration

Technique application

In water on boat

- Observe gamete release (d)
- High percentage of late stage gonads (indirect and not very diagnostic)
- Photo/video of courtship (i) behavior and coloration
- Anecdotal information (i)

Laboratory

- Hydrated oocytes in gonads of female fish (d)
- Post ovulatory follicles in female gonads (d)



Snapper/Grouper spawning seasons based on Females in the US South Atlantic

Stock	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	References
Black sea bass													Sedberry et al. (2006); SEDAR-25 (2011)
Blueline tilefish													Harris et al. (2004)
Cubera Snapper													pers comm. SA fisherman to WDH
Gag													McGovern et al. (1998); Sedberry et al. (2006)
Gray triggerfish													Kelly (2014)
Greater amberjack													Harris et al. (2007)
Red grouper													Burgos et al. (2007)
Red porgy													Daniel (2003); Sedberry et al. (2006)
Red snapper													White and Palmer (2004); Seberry et al. (2006)
Scamp (NC)													Matheson et al. (1986); macroscopic
Scamp (FL)													Gilmore & Jones (1992); based on courtship behavior
Scamp (29.95-32.95 °N)													Harris et al. (2002), Sedberry et al. (2006)
Snowy grouper													Wyanski et al. (2000), SEDAR-36 (2013)
Speckled hind													Ziskin et al. (2011)
Tilefish													Erickson et al. (1985); Sedberry et al. (2006)
Vermilion snapper													Cuellar et al. (1996); Sedberry et al. (2006)
White grunt													Padgett (1997); Sedberry et al. (2006)
Warsaw Grouper													Sedberry et al. (2006)

Reference: Dave Wyanski developed this table. It will be included in a publication that is presently under development by Nick Farmer and others

SC SC NC SC

Sampling: this study

Stock	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Black sea bass												
Blueline tilefish												
Cubera Snapper												
Gag												
Gray triggerfish												
Greater amberjack												
Red grouper												
Red porgy												
Red snapper												
Scamp (NC)												
Scamp (FL)												
Scamp (29.95-32.95 °N)												
Snowy grouper												
Speckled hind												
Tilefish												
Vermilion snapper												
White grunt												
Warsaw Grouper												

Not many existing studies; some are:

Lindeman, K.C. R. Pugliese, G.T. Waugh and J.S. Ault. 2000. Developmental patterns within a multi-species reef fish fishery: Management applications and upper-slope habitats and protected areas. Bull, Mar Sci 66(3): 929-956.

Schobernd, C.M., Sedberry, G.R., 2009. Shelf-edge and upper-slope reef fish assemblages in the South Atlantic Bight: Habitat characteristics, spatial variation, and reproductive behavior. Bull. Mar. Sci 84, 67–92.


Sedberry, G.R., O. Pashuk, D. M. Wyanski, J. A. Stephen, and P. Weinbach. 2006. Spawning locations for Atlantic reef fishes off the southeastern U.S. Proc. Gulf Carib. Fish. Inst. 57: 463–514.


Geomorphology as indicator

Caribbean: Multi-species aggregations at reef promontories


Nassau grouper Black grouper Yellowfin grouper Tiger grouper

Cubera snapper Dog snapper Mutton snapper







West Florida Shelf: Multi-species aggregations of gag and scamp at bumps on convex shelf edge



From F. Coleman and C. Koenig

Riley's Hump: Multi-species aggregations at areas of vertical relief near convex shelf edge





Preliminary evidence of increased spawning aggregations of mutton snapper (*Lutjanus analis*) at Riley's Hump two years after establishment of the Tortugas South Ecological Reserve

Michael L. Burton Kenneth J. Brennan Roldan C. Muñoz Richard. O. Parker Jr.

Anecdotal Information

 MPA Expert workgroup and follow up interviews indicate Georgetown Hole, Warsaw Hole, Western Dry Rocks, and other sites are multi-species spawning aggregation sites that harbor populations of Warsaw grouper and speckled hind.

Worthy of investigation



Courtship and spawning coloration Nassau grouper



Gag courtship coloration





Figure 7. Color phases of the gag, Mycteroperca microlepis. (A) and (B) are grey and brown reticulate phases; (C) camouflage phase; (D) solid grey; (E) black-belly phase; (F) black-back phase.

Mycteroperca microlepis

From Gilmore and Jones, 1992

Scamp courtship coloration



From: Gilmore and Jones, 1992

Schobernd, C.M., Sedberry, G.R., 2009.

 Scamp were observed displaying the "gray-head" courtship phase previously described by Gilmore and Jones (1992) at all dive locations except Georgetown hole. Displays occurred at depths of 48–65 m, temperatures of 19.3–20.0 °C, and salinity of 36.6. This reproductive behavior was seen in late July and early August, between 1000 and 1923 EDT.

Sites and Times Evaluated

- Georgetown Hole area off South Carolina
 - February 2014
 - April 2014
 - July 2014
- NC Shelf edge
 - July 2014

Gonad Development Stages (mutton snapper)

Male **Female**

Figures from M. Meadows (2012); original photos by Ariel Poholek (FWC & FAU)

Late development Eggs not clearly visible Edible

Hydrated eggs Eggs easily visible Not edible; too watery




Source: Mascareñas I., G. Hinojosa, B. Erisman, O. Aburto-Oropeza. 2013. Manual de Monitoreo biológico-pesquero de curvina golfina (*Cynoscion othonopterus*). CBMC-SIO. 28 pp.


Techniques Developed and Tested

- Commercial landings: gonad development phase, CPUE, age and growth
- GoPro cameras deployed on fishing gear
 - MARMAP video data could be mined for this purpose 2200 hours/yr
- Mapping sampling sites using sonar and GPS
- Fisher interviews

Drop Camera Setup







Initial Results for Georgetown Hole

- Local knowledge of fishing
- Multi-beam mapping
- Sampling and bottom type
- Gonad observations
- Courtship coloration
- NEW maps and possible spawning locations
- Possible SMZ boundaries

Initial Results for Georgetown Hole

- Local knowledge of fishing
- Multi-beam mapping
- Sampling and bottom type
- Gonad observations
- Courtship coloration
- NEW maps and possible spawning locations
- Possible SMZ boundaries

The Devil's Hole

Barry was a friend of mine who I had gotten to know in our home church in the mid-1980s. He knew I was a licensed fishing boat captain and loved getting me to tell deep-sea fishing stories. One of Barry's longtime dreams was to catch a giant Warsaw grouper. In my years as a commercial fisherman, I had caught more giant grouper on hook and line than about any other fisherman on the East Coast. In fact, in the 1970s and '80s, I caught over 100 of these monstrous fish, which averaged about 175 pounds; ten were over 300 pounds, and one was a 450-pounder. To catch that one, I used a live 15-pound mahi mahi for bait, on a 300-pound test wire line.

In the early 1990s, Barry started to hound me, "Jack, will you take me out to catch one of those Warsaw grouper?"

There are several good spots for Warsaws right off Myrtle Beach, near where we live; one of them, Georgetown Hole, is 62 miles offshore. As commercial fishermen in the 1970s, we called that place the Devil's Hole because of the number of boats and fishermen who had disappeared without a trace while fishing there, including some friends of mine. Barry knew that I once had caught 28 grouper that totaled 5,000 pounds in one night at Devil's Hole. On another night I caught 14, and on still another, 9.

From: Frost, J. 2006. *Spiritual Slavery to Spiritual Sonship. Destiny Image Publishers, Inc.*




Phil Conklin

"We used to catch Warsaw out there (Georgetown Hole) the size of Volkswagons"


LINK to Video:

https://www.google.com/url?
sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=
web&cd=2&cad=rja&uact=8&ve
d=0CCcQtwlwAQ&url=http%3A
%2F%2Fwww.youtube.com
%2Fwatch%3Fv
%3DEMAfKOxQwXQ&ei=hg92VL
2nl4SoNvzXgvAF&usg=AFQjCNH
Cg48UdQktPiZsOdkSb3poKluhw&sig2=Rg2q0rjb1g
Ky6ySHpk4pww

Georgetown Hole



Georgetown Hole









Figure 6. Point and spawning observations. A) Point observations of speckled hind (X) and warsaw grouper (+) relative to bathymetry and B) anecdotal spawning or aggregation observations of speckled hind (yellow star) and warsaw grouper (green crosses) relative to speckled hind geographic distribution model output and rejected (dashed lines) and proposed (solid lines) marine protected areas east of Murrell's Inlet, SC.

From: Farmer, N.A., Karnauskas, M., 2013. Spatial Distribution and Conservation of Speckled Hind and Warsaw Grouper in the Atlantic Ocean off the Southeastern U.S. PLoS ONE 8, e78682. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078682.s002







Example "Evidence" Slides

- Do they provide evidence of imminent or recent spawning?
- Do they offer evidence of a spawning aggregation?
- What other information do you need?






Plate 1: American Red Snapper

Georgetown Hole 32.58674 78.67477 (GPS 142)

Date caught: 17 July 2014

TL: 890 mm Wt: 21.4 lbs

Female, late development

Otolith collected: yes

Gonad Histology collected: yes

Captain: Mark Marhefka Vessel: M/V Amy Marie



Stock	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Red snapper												





Plate 2: Yellowfin Grouper

Georgetown Hole 32.58253 N 78.52093 W (GPS 136)

Date caught: 19 July 2014

TL: 796 mm Wt: 16.9 lbs

Female, Late Development
Otolith and gonad collected by
MARMAP for age and histological
analysis

Captain: Mark Marhefka Vessel: F/V Amy Marie




Plate 3: Scamp

Georgetown Hole Area 32.xxx N 78.xxx W (GPS 62)

Date filmed: 23 April 2014

Depth: 54 m

Courtship coloration and behavior

Captain: Mark Marhefka Vessel: F/V Amy Marie

Still photo extracted from GoPro Hero 3+ video (file GoPro143.mp4)

Stock	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Scamp (NC)												
Scamp (FL)												
Scamp (29.95-32.95 °N)												



Plate 4: Scamp

Georgetown Hole Area (GPS 62)

Date filmed: 23 April 2014

Depth: 54 m


Courtship coloration and behavior

Captain: Mark Marhefka Vessel: F/V Amy Marie


Still photos extracted from GoPro Hero 3+ video (file GoPro169.mp4)





Stock	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Scamp (NC)												
Scamp (FL)												
Scamp (29.95-32.95 °N)												























Plate 5: Scamp

Georgetown Hole Area 32.xxx N 78.xxx W (GPS 78)

Date filmed: 24 April 2014

Depth: 124 m

Courtship coloration and behavior

Captain: Mark Marhefka Vessel: F/V Amy Marie

Still photo extracted from GoPro Hero 3+ video (file GoPro 153.mp4)

			+								
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

Evidence from North Carolina



Map slide here





Almaco jack

NC Shelf Edge 33.69682 N 76.72901 W (GPS 101)

Date caught: 12 July 2014

80 m Depth

Male, Ripe and running

Captain: Jack Cox

Vessel: F/V Elizabeth



Stock	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec



Plate 8: Gag

NC Shelf 33.7653 N 76.7322 W (GPS 98)

Date filmed: 12 July 2014

Local time: 8:53 am


Courtship coloration?

48 m water depth

Captain: Jack Cox

Vessel: F/V Elizabeth

Still photo extracted from GoPro Hero 3+ video (file GoPro178.mp4)





Stock	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Gag													ĺ

If we had more funding

- Standardized monitoring protocol
- Training for more observers
- More on-the-water observations in more places and more times
- MARMAP needs support for additional sample processing – especially histology
- Database development

Future Additional Techniques and Actions

- Passive hydro-acoustic monitoring sounds of spawning
- Mapping biomass with dual-beam hydro-acoustics
- Greater participation from more fishermen at more sites and more times
- Observation reports procedure for verification of these reports