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## 1 Introduction

Amendment 16 is being developed to end overfishing of gag and vermilion snapper. A variety of management measures are available including quotas, seasonal closures, bag limits, and size limits. If a quota is met or a seasonal closure is implemented, it is expected there would still be some catch of gag and vermilion snapper when fishermen target co-occurring species. These species would be released and a percentage of the incidentally caught gag and vermilion snapper would die, depending on depth of capture. The magnitude of incidentally caught gag and vermilion snapper that die after a quota is met is referred to as post quota bycatch mortality (PQBM). Furthermore, a decrease in the bag limit or increase in the size limit would be expected to increase the magnitude of dead discards.

The Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) recommended quotas be adjusted for dead discards that could occur after a quota is met. Furthermore, the SSC feels a seasonal closure would not be 100\% effective in protecting a species since some incidental catch of that species would be expected. The SSC believes the effectiveness of a seasonal closure should be estimated and taken into consideration when considering management measures to achieve a target reduction in harvest. In addition, the SSC recommended size and bag limit analyses take into consideration dead discards occurring from an increase if the number of fish released by fishermen when a bag limit is lowered or a size limit is increased.

At the December 2007 South Atlantic Council (Council) meeting, a methodology to estimate dead discards after a quota is met or during a seasonal closure was presented to both the SSC and the Council. After discussions with the SSC and Council, two issues were unresolved. First, the percentage of trips not be taken by fishermen (commercial and recreational) during a closure or after a quota is met to target a bottom dwelling reef fish species is unknown. Second, the percentage of gag or vermilion snapper that can be avoided by recreational or commercial fishermen by changing fishing methodology and location is also in question. The SSC and Council indicated the Snapper Grouper Advisory Panel (AP) was best suited to provide this information.

Provided herein are methods and examples of estimating dead discards during a closed season. This does not represent the full range of alternatives currently available in Amendment 16. Values are considered preliminary, especially since a new benchmark assessment is being conducted for vermilion snapper in 2008.

## Input from the Snapper Grouper AP

Input is needed from commercial and recreational fishermen on the percentage of trips that would not be taken when a fishery was closed and the percentage of gag or vermilion snapper that can be avoided. Any comments on how the methodology can be improved are welcome. A form is provided at the end of this document. The form can be sent to Jack McGovern. An addressed, stamped envelope is provided. Information can also be sent by e-mail (John.McGovern@noaa.gov) or telephone (727-824-5383).

## 2 Methodology for Determining Dead Discards After a Quota is Met or During a Seasonal Closure

The basic methodology for determining how many dead discards would occur during a closure or after a quota is met is similar for the commercial and recreational sectors:

- Determine average landings over a period of time (1999-2005) for gag and vermilion snapper.
- Identify the species caught with either gag or vermilion snapper.
- Determine the landings of gag of vermilion snapper if co-occurring species were targeted. This is maximum potential incidental catch.
- Determine incidental catch if trips were not taken during a closure (i.e. trips were reduced by 20 to 60\%).
- Determine incidental catch if fishermen can avoid a species by modifying gear of changing fishing locations.
- Apply Southeast Data Assessment and Review (SEDAR) accepted release mortality rates to values for incidental catch to determine magnitude of dead discards.
- Determine effectiveness of a closure by comparing the magnitude of estimated dead discards for a particular period of time to the actual historical landings.


## 3 Commercial Incidental Catch - Assumptions

### 3.1 Assumptions for estimating dead discards after a commercial quota is met or during a commercial seasonal closure

- Vermilion snapper and gag are taken by many fishermen on the same trip.
- If value of a trip falls below a certain level due to reductions in allowable catch, increased fuel prices, etc., the trip will not be taken.
- Net revenue (total revenue - trip cost) for a trip was calculated. If the net revenue per trip was less than an opportunity cost of labor = $\$ 50.00$ then the trip was removed from the data set.
- In determining incidental catch, a co-occurring species is targeted if at least 100 lbs whole weight ( ww ) is taken on a trip.
- After a quota is met or during a seasonal closure, if vermilion snapper or gag make up greater than $75 \%$ of the catch on a trip, the trip is not included in analyses.
- Fishermen will not use diving gear to target gag after a quota is met or during a seasonal closure.
- There will not be an increase in fishing effort before or after a seasonal closure.
- Some trips will not be taken after a quota is met. A range of 20 to 60\% is used.
- Fishermen can avoid vermilion snapper and gag to some degree by changing hook size, method of fishing, and location. A range of 20 to $60 \%$ is used.
- Dead discards determined by applying release mortality rate of $40 \%$ for commercially caught vermilion snapper and gag.


### 3.2 Example of estimate of dead discards for vermilion snapper and gag associated with commercial quotas assuming no additional gag seasonal closure.

STEP 1. Estimate the monthly commercial catch of a species.
STEP 2 - Drop trips if net revenue is less than opportunity cost.
STEP 3 - Determine when quotas would be met.
Table 1. Cumulative monthly commercial catch of vermilion snapper (pounds gutted weight) during 2000-2005. Example is for a quota $=385,002 \mathrm{lbs}$ gutted weight. Data from logbook.

| Month | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 34,270 | 58,279 | 73,541 | 32,640 | 47,270 | 76,883 |
| 2 | 77,486 | 138,234 | 113,405 | 66,216 | 82,162 | 126,135 |
| 3 | 158,153 | 222,928 | 247,676 | 114,468 | 173,477 | 207,973 |
| 4 | 253,874 | 332,081 | 359,135 | 184,414 | 248,468 | 251,640 |
| 5 | 359,532 | 475,162 | 410,414 | 253,252 | 306,342 | 358,396 |
| 6 | 485,351 | 631,198 | 534,162 | 290,784 | 337,279 | 467,811 |
| 7 | 615,477 | 754,820 | 618,333 | 314,541 | 410,514 | 558,802 |
| 8 | 772,874 | 921,838 | 759,658 | 345,000 | 512,486 | 648,802 |
| 9 | 879,748 | $1,114,432$ | 862,847 | 409,009 | 564,640 | 775,351 |
| 10 | $1,023,847$ | $1,243,712$ | $1,009,018$ | 525,252 | 737,856 | 874,874 |
| 11 | $1,149,532$ | $1,366,441$ | $1,114,919$ | 619,937 | 870,207 | 974,640 |
| 12 | $1,234,550$ | $1,473,514$ | $1,164,009$ | 674,784 | 951,649 | $1,009,946$ |


| Year | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date when quota met $6 / 7 / 2000$ | $5 / 10 / 2001$ | $5 / 10 / 2001$ | $9 / 24 / 2002$ | $7 / 24 / 2004$ | $6 / 7 / 2005$ | Average |  |
| Landings after <br> proposed quota | 849,548 | $1,088,512$ | 779,007 | 289,782 | 566,647 | 624,944 | 839,688 |

Table 2. Cumulative monthly commercial catch of gag (pounds gutted weight) during 2000-2005. Example is for a quota $=423,340 \mathrm{lbs}$ gutted weight. Data from logbook.

| Month | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 36,339 | 56,797 | 66,593 | 38,975 | 49,619 | 44,653 |
| 2 | 91,407 | 121,085 | 107,398 | 73,415 | 87,297 | 87,924 |
| 3 | 92,373 | 121,297 | 108,000 | 74,449 | 88,119 | 89,237 |
| 4 | 94,305 | 124,627 | 109,381 | 76,068 | 89,619 | 91,254 |
| 5 | 163,492 | 193,076 | 174,119 | 149,314 | 170,602 | 163,034 |
| 6 | 204,992 | 240,712 | 220,890 | 217,424 | 223,568 | 210,602 |
| 7 | 240,475 | 274,678 | 262,627 | 272,703 | 265,831 | 254,297 |
| 8 | 271,890 | 308,873 | 290,576 | 321,339 | 294,949 | 290,644 |
| 9 | 295,110 | 335,356 | 312,381 | 355,703 | 311,746 | 321,932 |
| 10 | 329,644 | 374,737 | 349,754 | 405,695 | 358,746 | 361,517 |
| 11 | 370,458 | 406,102 | 394,110 | 454,288 | 411,153 | 405,508 |
| 12 | 414,195 | 437,729 | 444,975 | 496,847 | 445,254 | 437,644 |


| Quota | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dates when quota met $11 / 11 / 2000$ | $10 / 14 / 2001$ | $11 / 3 / 2002$ | $9 / 28 / 2003$ | $10 / 29 / 2004$ | $10 / 23 / 2005$ | Average |


| Landings after |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| proposed quota | 60,255 | 83,789 | 91,035 | 142,907 | 91,314 | 83,704 | 110,601 |

STEP 4-Remove trips that would not be taken after quota is met.
This step would remove trips that would not be taken after a quota is met because the opportunity cost would exceed the net revenue. This is done by setting the pounds and revenue to 0 after the quota for vermilion snapper or gag is met and adjusting the total lbs and total revenue.

STEP 5 - Determine incidental catch after quota is met.
STEP 5a - Identify most common species taken with vermilion snapper
Table 3. Species most commonly taken on trips with vermilion snapper.

| COMMON | Mean | Sum | $\%$ | Cum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNAPPER,VERMILION | 460 | $3,005,272$ | $32.52 \%$ | $32.52 \%$ |
| GROUPER,GAG | 300 | $1,031,230$ | $11.16 \%$ | $43.67 \%$ |
| SCAMP | 176 | 779,083 | $8.43 \%$ | $52.10 \%$ |
| TRIGGERFISH,GRAY | 145 | 595,067 | $6.44 \%$ | $58.54 \%$ |
| AMBERJACK,GREATER | 248 | 553,829 | $5.99 \%$ | $64.53 \%$ |
| GROUPER,RED | 130 | 490,283 | $5.30 \%$ | $69.84 \%$ |
| JACK,ALMACO | 163 | 407,937 | $4.41 \%$ | $74.25 \%$ |
| SNAPPER,RED | 104 | 326,173 | $3.53 \%$ | $77.78 \%$ |
| SEA BASSE,ATLANTIC,BLACK,UNC | 78 | 231,433 | $2.50 \%$ | $80.29 \%$ |

Table 4. Species most commonly taken on trips with gag.

| COMMON | Mean | Sum | $\%$ | Cum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| GROUPER,GAG | $2441,166,199$ | $21.67 \%$ | $21.67 \%$ |  |
| SNAPPER,VERMILION | $4811,091,995$ | $20.29 \%$ | $41.96 \%$ |  |
| SCAMP | 182 | 420,633 | $7.82 \%$ | $49.78 \%$ |
| AMBERJACK,GREATER | 262 | 417,058 | $7.75 \%$ | $57.53 \%$ |
| GROUPER,RED | 175 | 397,988 | $7.40 \%$ | $64.93 \%$ |
| TRIGGERFISH,GRAY | 125 | 228,653 | $4.25 \%$ | $69.18 \%$ |
| JACK,ALMACO | 181 | 197,845 | $3.68 \%$ | $72.85 \%$ |
| SNAPPER,RED | 96 | 188,736 | $3.51 \%$ | $76.36 \%$ |
| SEA BASSE,ATLANTIC,BLACK,UNC | 67 | 119,773 | $2.23 \%$ | $81.28 \%$ |

STEP 5b - Identify trips that target co-occurring species.
Identify trips that caught at least 100 lbs (directed catch) of co-occurring species after quota is met.

STEP 5c - Determine incidental catch.
This step determines the maximum incidental catch that could occur when targeting cooccurring species. It does not adjust incidental catch for the reduction in trips that would not be taken after a quota is met or ability of fishermen to avoid gag or vermilion snapper. That is done is steps 5 d and 5 e .

Table 5. Maximum incidental catch of vermilion snapper (pounds gutted weight) that could occur after a commercial quota met when targeting co-occurring species. Release mortality $=40 \%$. Trips that contained $>75 \%$ of vermilion snapper after quota met were removed from analysis.

| Month | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | 76,054 | 10,081 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 69,748 | 68,342 | 61,054 |  |  | 44,739 |
| 7 | 55,018 | 53,252 | 46,252 |  | 22,162 | 35,189 |
| 8 | 79,099 | 77,153 | 54,784 |  | 38,505 | 38,279 |
| 9 | 50,081 | 73,883 | 48,387 | 22,297 | 20,577 | 46,126 |
| 10 | 69,685 | 60,000 | 57,279 | 44,198 | 74,135 | 41,234 |
| 11 | 65,081 | 57,351 | 44,784 | 48,685 | 64,784 | 34,486 |
| 12 | 21,468 | 54,676 | 20,604 | 26,396 | 33,577 | 5,748 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incidental catch | 410,180 | 520,712 | 343,225 | 141,577 | 253,739 | 245,802 |
| Dead Discards | 164,072 | 208,285 | 137,290 | 56,631 | 101,495 | 98,321 |

Table 6. Maximum incidental catch of gag (pounds gutted weight) that could occur after a commercial quota met when targeting co-occurring species. Release mortality $=40 \%$. Trips that contained $>75 \%$ of gag after quota met were removed from analysis. Trips that used diving gear were also removed.

| Month | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 |  |  |  | 1,983 |  |  |
| 10 |  | 9,907 |  | 29,915 | 1,771 | 1,686 |
| 11 | 6,720 | 7,958 | 16,610 | 22,551 | 18,144 | 13,788 |
| 12 | 16,483 | 12,712 | 11,559 | 17,246 | 12,432 | 7,322 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incidental catch | 23,203 | 30,576 | 28,169 | 71,695 | 32,347 | 22,797 |
| Dead Discards | 9,281 | 12,231 | 11,268 | 28,678 | 12,939 | 9,119 |

STEP 5d - Determine incidental catch for reduced trips after quota.
Trips that target co-occurring species in STEP 5c were randomly selected to reduce the number of trips from $20 \%$ to $60 \%$. This assumes fishermen may stop fishing for vermilion snapper after quota is met.

Table 7. Estimate of vermilion snapper incidental catch and dead discards when trips are reduced after a commercial quota is met. Release mortality $=40 \%$.

| Trip reduction | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incidental catch | 319,206 | 177,554 | 143,839 | 105,598 |
| Dead Discards | 127,682 | 71,022 | 57,536 | 42,239 |

Table 8. Estimate of gag incidental catch and dead discards when trips are reduced after a commercial quota is met. Release mortality $=40 \%$.

| Trip reduction | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incidental catch | 34,798 | 20,456 | 15,244 | 11,733 |
| Dead Discards | 13,919 | 8,182 | 6,098 | 4,693 |

STEP 5 e - Determine dead discards for reduced trips and behavior after quota.
This step assumes that some trips could be reduced and fishermen could have the ability to avoid vermilion snapper or gag by fishing differently.

Table 9. Estimate of vermilion snapper incidental catch and dead discards when trips are reduced and ability of fishermen avoid vermilion snapper on a trip. Effectiveness determined by comparing estimated dead discards to actual landings for the same period. Release mortality = 40\%.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 319,206 | 255,365 | 153,219 | 61,287 | 177,554 | 142,043 | 85,226 | 34,090 | 143,839 | 115,071 | 69,043 | 27,617 | 105,598 | 84,478 | 50,687 | 20,275 |
| Dead Discards | 127,682 | 102,146 | 61,287 | 24,515 | 71,022 | 56,817 | 34,090 | 13,636 | 57,536 | 46,029 | 27,617 | 11,047 | 42,239 | 33,791 | 20,275 | 8,110 |
| Effectiveness | 84.79\% | 87.84\% | 92.70\% | 97.08\% | 91.54\% | 93.23\% | 95.94\% | 98.38\% | 93.15\% | 94.52\% | 96.71\% | 98.68\% | 94.97\% | 95.98\% | 97.59\% | 99.03\% |

If $20 \%$ of the trips were reduced after a quota was met and fishermen can avoid $40 \%$ of vermilion snapper, then the magnitude of
incidentally caught vermilion snapper would be $85,226 \mathrm{lbs}$ gutted weight, and the estimate of vermilion snapper that would die is
34,090 lbs gutted weight.
Table 10. Estimate of gag incidental catch and dead discards when trips are reduced and ability of fishermen avoid gag on a trip.
Effectiveness determined by comparing estimated dead discards to actual landings for the same period. Release mortality $=40 \%$.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 34,798 | 27,838 | 16,703 | 6,681 | 20,456 | 16,365 | 9,819 | 3,928 | 15,244 | 12,195 | 7,317 | 2,927 | 11,733 | 9,386 | 5,632 | 2,253 |
| Dead Discards | 13,919 | 11,135 | 6,681 | 2,672 | 8,182 | 6,546 | 3,928 | 1,571 | 6,098 | 4,878 | 2,927 | 1,171 | 4,693 | 3,755 | 2,253 | 901 |
| effectiveness | 87.41\% | 89.93\% | 93.96\% | 97.58\% | 92.60\% | 94.08\% | 96.45\% | 98.58\% | 94.49\% | 95.59\% | 97.35\% | 98.94\% | 95.76\% | 96.61\% | 97.96\% | 99.19\% |

[^0] caught gag would be $9,819 \mathrm{lbs}$ gutted weight, and the estimate of gag that would die is $3,928 \mathrm{lbs}$ gutted weight.

### 3.3 Example of effectiveness of a January-April commercial seasonal closure for gag

STEP 1. Estimate the monthly commercial catch of a species.
STEP 2 - Drop trips if net revenue is less than opportunity cost.
Table 11. Average commercial landings (pounds gutted weight) of gag during 20012005 from logbook after trips removed.

| Month | Tot WW | Tot GW | Avg GW |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 309,020 | 261,881 | 52,376 |
| 2 | 265,912 | 225,349 | 45,070 |
| 3 | 4,883 | 4,138 | 828 |
| 4 | 11,809 | 10,008 | 2,002 |
| 5 | 430,727 | 365,023 | 73,005 |
| 6 | 315,686 | 267,530 | 53,506 |
| 7 | 262,087 | 222,108 | 44,422 |
| 8 | 211,835 | 179,521 | 35,904 |
| 9 | 157,179 | 133,202 | 26,640 |
| 10 | 254,353 | 215,553 | 43,111 |
| 11 | 263,565 | 223,360 | 44,672 |
| 12 | 229,434 | 194,436 | 38,887 |
| Sum |  |  |  |

STEP 3 - Determine incidental catch during a seasonal closure.
STEP 3a - Identify most common species taken with vermilion snapper
Table 12. Species most commonly taken on trips with gag.

| COMMON | Mean | Sum | $\%$ | Cum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| GROUPER,GAG | $2441,166,199$ | $21.67 \%$ | $21.67 \%$ |  |
| SNAPPER,VERMILION | 481 | $1,091,995$ | $20.29 \%$ | $41.96 \%$ |
| SCAMP | 182 | 420,633 | $7.82 \%$ | $49.78 \%$ |
| AMBERJACK,GREATER | 262 | 417,058 | $7.75 \%$ | $57.53 \%$ |
| GROUPER,RED | 175 | 397,988 | $7.40 \%$ | $64.93 \%$ |
| TRIGGERFISH,GRAY | 125 | 228,653 | $4.25 \%$ | $69.18 \%$ |
| JACK,ALMACO | 181 | 197,845 | $3.68 \%$ | $72.85 \%$ |
| SNAPPER,RED | 96 | 188,736 | $3.51 \%$ | $76.36 \%$ |
| SEA BASSE,ATLANTIC,BLACK,UNC | 67 | 119,773 | $2.23 \%$ | $81.28 \%$ |

STEP $3 b$ - Identify trips that target co-occurring species.
Identify trips that caught at least 100 lbs (directed catch) of co-occurring species during seasonal closure.

STEP 3c - Determine incidental catch.
This step determines the maximum incidental catch that could occur when targeting cooccurring species. It does not adjust incidental catch for the reduction in trips that would not be taken during a closure or ability of fishermen to avoid gag. That is done is steps 3 d and 3 e .

Table 13. Estimate maximum incidental catch of gag (pounds gutted weight) that could occur during a commercial seasonal closure when targeting co-occurring species.
Release mortality $=40 \%$. Trips that contained $>75 \%$ of gag during closure were removed from analysis. Trips that used diving gear were also removed.

| Month | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 30,136 | 27,703 | 13,610 | 27,669 | 32,203 |
| 2 | 26,780 | 23,712 | 15,898 | 26,890 | 28,797 |
| 3 | 93 | 34 | 653 | 195 | 178 |
| 4 | 3,093 | 508 | 1,331 | 1,305 | 314 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incidental catch | 60,102 | 51,958 | 31,492 | 56,059 | 61,492 |
| Dead Discards | 24,041 | 20,783 | 12,597 | 22,424 | 24,597 |

STEP 3d - Determine incidental catch for reduced trips during a closure.
Trips that target co-occurring species in STEP 3c were randomly selected to reduce the number of trips from $20 \%$ to $60 \%$. This assumes fishermen may stop fishing for vermilion snapper during a closure.

Table 14. Estimate of gag incidental catch and dead discards during a commercial seasonal closure when trips are reduced. Release mortality $=40 \%$.

| Trip reduction | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incidental catch | 52,220 | 14,578 | 11,815 | 8,710 |
| Dead Discards | 20,888 | 5,831 | 4,726 | 3,484 |
| Effectiveness | $79.17 \%$ | $94.18 \%$ | $95.29 \%$ | $96.53 \%$ |

STEP 3 e - Determine dead discards for reduced trips and behavior during a seasonal closure.
This step assumes that some trips could be reduced and fishermen could have the ability to avoid gag by fishing differently.

Table 15. Incidental catch of gag assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) during a commercial seasonal closure and fishermen can avoid gag (range 0 to 60\%) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 52,220 | 41,776 | 25,066 | 10,026 | 14,578 | 11,662 | 6,997 | 2,799 | 11,815 | 9,452 | 5,671 | 2,269 | 8,710 | 6,968 | 4,181 | 1,672 |
| Dead Discards | 20,888 | 16,711 | 10,026 | 4,011 | 5,831 | 4,665 | 2,799 | 1,120 | 4,726 | 3,781 | 2,269 | 907 | 3,484 | 2,787 | 1,672 | 669 |
| Effectiveness | 79.17\% | 83.34\% | 90.00\% | 96.00\% | 94.18\% | 95.35\% | 97.21\% | 98.88\% | 95.29\% | 96.23\% | 97.74\% | 99.10\% | 96.53\% | 97.22\% | 98.33\% | 99.33\% |

A January - April commercial spawning season closure would be $95 \%$ effective if one assumes $20 \%$ of the trips formerly made would not be taken during the closure and fishermen can avoid $20 \%$ of the gag they once caught by modifying fishing gear or changing location.

## 4 Recreational Incidental Catch - Introduction, Assumptions, and Methods

### 4.1 Assumptions for estimating dead discards during a seasonal closure for MRFSS

- Vermilion snapper and gag are taken by many fishermen on the same trip.
- Recreational fishermen can avoid vermilion snapper and gag to some degree by changing hook size, method of fishing, and location.
- There will not be an increase in fishing effort before or after a seasonal closure.
- Release mortality for gag and vermilion snapper caught by recreational fishermen is $25 \%$.


### 4.1.1 Effectiveness of recreational seasonal closure for gag, MRFSS data

STEP 1. Estimate the catch of a species during a seasonal closure
STEP 2 - Identify most common species taken with vermilion snapper
Table 16. Catch (A+B1) in number of gag and other species taken on MRFSS trips with gag during January-April (Waves 1 and 2), 1999-2005. Data represents sample and are not expanded.

| common | Sum |
| :---: | :---: |
| vermilion snapper | 559 |
| black sea bass | 427 |
| red snapper | 302 |
| gag | 298 |
| gray snapper | 220 |
| lane snapper | 183 |
| greater amberjack | 149 |
| king mackerel | 96 |
| white grunt | 95 |

STEP 3a - Determine incidental catch during a seasonal closure.
Determine the catch of gag during a seasonal closure when trips for co-occurring species are made.

STEP 3b - Determine incidental catch for reduced trips during a closure.
Trips that target co-occurring species in STEP 3a were randomly selected to reduce the number of trips from $20 \%$ to $60 \%$. This assumes fishermen may stop fishing for vermilion snapper during a closure.

Table 17. Estimate of gag incidental catch in number (when targeting co-occurring species) and dead discards from MRFSS during a recreational seasonal closure when trips are reduced. Release mortality = 25\%.

| Trip reduction | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incidental catch | 221 | 177 | 140 | 131 |
| Dead Discards | 55 | 44 | 35 | 33 |
| Effectiveness | $81.46 \%$ | $85.15 \%$ | $88.26 \%$ | $89.01 \%$ |

STEP 3c - Determine dead discards for reduced trips and behavior during a seasonal closure.
This step assumes that some trips could be reduced and fishermen could have the ability to avoid gag by fishing differently.

Table 18. Incidental catch of gag (numbers of fish) from MRFSS assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) during a seasonal closure and fishermen can avoid gag (range 0 to $60 \%$ ) by changing fishing methods. Release mortality $=25 \%$. Data represents sample and are not expanded.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 221 | 177 | 106 | 42 | 177 | 142 | 85 | 34 | 140 | 112 | 67 | 27 | 131 | 105 | 63 | 25 |
| Dead Discards | 55 | 44 | 27 | 11 | 44 | 35 | 21 | 8 | 35 | 28 | 17 | 7 | 33 | 26 | 16 | 6 |

Effectiveness $81.46 \% 85.17 \% 91.10 \% 96.44 \% 85.15 \% 88.12 \% 92.87 \% 97.15 \% 88.26 \% 90.60 \% 94.36 \% 97.74 \% 89.01 \% 91.21 \% 94.72 \% 97.89 \%$
A January - April recreational spawning season closure based on MRFSS data would be $88 \%$ effective if one assumes $20 \%$ of the trips formerly made would not be taken during the closure and fishermen can avoid $20 \%$ of the gag they once caught by modifying fishing gear or changing location.

### 4.1.2 Effectiveness of a recreational seasonal closure for gag, headboat data

STEP 1. Estimate the catch of a species during a seasonal closure
STEP 2 - Identify most common species taken with vermilion snapper
Table 19. Catch (in numbers) of gag and other species taken on Headboat trips with gag during January-April, 1999-2005. Data represents a sample and not data from all trips.

| species | Specname | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Vermilion Snapper | 320,279 |
| 33 | Black Sea Bass | 264,794 |
| 50 | White Grunt | 186,991 |
| 15 | Yellowtail Snapper | 128,381 |
| 51 | Tomtate | 67,170 |
| 16 | Lane Snapper | 44,563 |
| 77 | Gray Triggerfish | 35,832 |
| 18 | Gray Snapper | 35,096 |
| 123 | Banded Rudderfish | 19,421 |
| 97 | Blue Runner | 18,607 |
| 4 | Spottail Pinfish | 16,410 |
| 1 | Red Porgy | 16,396 |
| 98 | Bigeye | 15,529 |
| 230 | Sharpnose Shark | 15,288 |
| 11 | Red Snapper | 13,406 |
| 34 | Bank Sea Bass | 11,355 |
| 3 | Knobbed Porgy | 10,566 |
| 74 | King Mackerel | 9,472 |
| 54 | Bluestriped Grunt | 9,396 |
| 30 | Scamp | 8,538 |
| 22 | Red Grouper | 7,983 |
| 29 | Gag | 7,369 |

STEP 3a - Determine incidental catch during a seasonal closure.
Determine the catch of gag during a seasonal closure when trips for most abundant cooccurring species are made.

STEP 3b - Determine incidental catch for reduced trips during a closure.
Trips that target co-occurring species in STEP 3a were randomly selected to reduce the number of trips from $20 \%$ to $60 \%$. This assumes fishermen may stop fishing for vermilion snapper during a closure.

Table 20. Estimate of gag incidental catch in number (when targeting co-occurring species) and dead discards from Headboat during a Jan-Apr seasonal closure when trips are reduced. Release mortality $=25 \%$.

| Trip reduction | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incidental catch | 7,220 | 3,980 | 3,200 | 2,341 |
| Dead Discards | 1,805 | 995 | 800 | 585 |
| Effectiveness | $75.51 \% 86.50 \% 89.14 \% 92.06 \%$ |  |  |  |

STEP 3c - Determine dead discards for reduced trips and behavior during a seasonal closure.
This step assumes that some trips could be reduced and fishermen could have the ability to avoid gag by fishing differently.

Table 21. Incidental catch of gag from MRFSS assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) during a seasonal closure and fishermen can avoid gag (range 0 to $60 \%$ ) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 7,220 | 5,776 | 3,466 | 1,386 | 3,980 | 3,184 | 1,910 | 764 | 3,200 | 2,560 | 1,536 | 614 | 2,341 | 1,873 | 1,124 | 449 |
| Dead Discards | 1,805 | 1,444 | 866 | 347 | 995 | 796 | 478 | 191 | 800 | 640 | 384 | 154 | 585 | 468 | 281 | 112 |
| Effectiveness | 75.51\% | 80.40\% | 8.24\% | 5.30\% | 86.50\% | 89.20\% | 93.52\% | 7.41\% | 89.14\% | 91.31\% | 94.79\% | 7.92\% | 92.06\% | 93.65\% | 96.19\% | 8.48\% |

A January - April recreational spawning season closure would be $89 \%$ effective if one assumes $20 \%$ of the trips formerly made would not be taken during the closure and fishermen can avoid $20 \%$ of the gag they once caught by modifying fishing gear or changing location.

### 4.2 Estimation of dead discards through bag and size limit analyses.

In conducting bag and size limit analyses, it is assumed that the rate of non-compliance by anglers would be the same regardless of the bag or size limit. Furthermore, reductions in harvest expected with a bag or size limit change take into consideration released fish that would be expected to die.

For example, suppose an existing bag limit is 10 fish. The current take of fish with a bag limit of 10 is 1,000 individuals. If the bag limit was reduced to 8 fish, 900 fish would be retained and 100 would be discarded. Therefore, reducing the bag limit from 10 to 8 fish would reduce harvest by $10 \%=(1-(900 / 1000)$. However, if release mortality is $25 \%$ then, of the 100 fish released, 25 would be expected to die. Adding the 25 dead discards to the 900 fish retained with the new 8 fish bag limit would reduce harvest by $7.5 \%=(1-(925 / 1000)$ when incorporating release mortality.

Please fill out the form below and send to Jack McGovern. A self-addressed envelope is included. Information can also be sent to Jack by e-mail (John.McGovern@NOAA.GOV) or phone 727-824-5383.

## Question that needs to be answered by fishermen

## Commercial

(1) After a quota is met or during a seasonal closure, what percentage of commercial trips will not be taken to catch gag or vermilion snapper? Please circle your best estimate.
a. Gag: $\quad 0 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 60 \%$ other
b. Vermilion snapper: $0 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 60 \%$ other $\qquad$
(2) What percentage of gag or vermilion snapper can commercial fishermen avoid by modifying fishing gear or location?
a. Gag:
0\% 20\%
b. Vermilion snapper: $0 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 60 \%$ other $\qquad$

Recreational
(3) After a quota is met or during a seasonal closure, what percentage of commercial trips will not be taken to catch gag or vermilion snapper? Please circle your best estimate.
a. Gag: $0 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 60 \%$ other $\qquad$
b. Vermilion snapper: $0 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 60 \%$ other ___
(4) What percentage of gag or vermilion snapper can commercial fishermen avoid by modifying fishing gear or location?
a. Gag: $\quad 0 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 60 \%$ other $\qquad$
b. Vermilion snapper: $0 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 60 \%$ other $\qquad$

Please send response to:
Jack McGovern
NMFS, Southeast Regional Office
$26313^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South
St. Petersburg, FL 33701


[^0]:    If $20 \%$ of the trips were reduced after a quota was met and fishermen can avoid $40 \%$ of gag, then the magnitude of incidentally

