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## Summary

An update to the vermilion snapper Southeast Data Assessment and Review (SEDAR) stock assessment indicates the stock is undergoing overfishing (SEDAR Update \#3 2007). The Council's SSC did not have confidence in the calculated biomass reference points from the SEDAR assessment; however, they did have confidence in the fishing mortality rate estimates. The SSC indicated a $61 \%$ reduction in overall harvest (commercial and recreational sectors) would be needed to reduce fishing mortality to the yield associated with Foy. This is equivalent to a catch level of 566,179 pounds gutted weight ( 628,459 pounds whole weight). Based on allocation alternatives suggested thus far by the Council this would correspond to harvest reductions of $57-58 \%$ in the commercial sector and 67-68\% in the recreational sector (Table 1).

Table 1. Commercial and recreational portions of catch (pounds gutted weight) associated with allocations suggested by Council thus far.

| Vermilion Snapper |  | Allocation Alternative 2.68\%C/32\%R |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual | Commercial | Recreational |
| Year | Catch Limit <br> (gutted weight) | Proportion <br> (gutted weight) | Proportion <br> (gutted weight) |
| 2008 | 566,179 | 385,002 | 181,177 |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (click on item below to move to section)

1 Summary of life history information ..... 4
2 Reduction in harvest needed to end overfishing and achieve OY ..... 5
3 Vermilion Snapper Landings ..... 6
3.1 Vermilion snapper landings ..... 6
3.2 Vermilion Snapper Commercial Landings for 225 and Unlimited Permits ..... 7
3.3 Vermilion Snapper Landings by State ..... 7
3.3.1 Commercial ..... 7
3.3.2 Headboat ..... 7
3.3.3 MRFSS ..... 7
3.4 Vermilion Snapper Landings by Month and State ..... 8
3.4.1 Commercial ..... 8
3.4.2 Headboat ..... 9
3.4.3 MRFSS ..... 9
3.5 Vermilion Snapper Commercial Percentage ..... 12
3.6 Vermilion Snapper Recreational Percentage ..... 13
3.7 Allocations and quota ..... 14
4 Monthly catch and reduction provided by seasonal closure ..... 14
4.1 Commercial ..... 14
4.2 Recreational ..... 16
4.2.1 Headboat ..... 20
4.2.2 MRFSS All Modes ..... 21
4.2.3 MRFSS/Headboat Combined. ..... 23
5 Commercial Trip Limit Analysis ..... 25
5.1 Trip limit Analysis, All Snapper Grouper Permits ..... 25
5.2 Trip Limit Analysis for 225 Permits ..... 27
5.3 Trip Limit Analysis for Unlimited Permits ..... 29
5.4 Trip Limit by State ..... 30
6 Recreational Size Limit Analysis ..... 31
7 Recreational Bag and Size Limit Analysis ..... 31
7.1 MRFSS ..... 31
7.1.1 Charter ..... 31
7.1.2 Private ..... 32
7.1.3 Charter/Private Combined ..... 32
7.2 Headboat ..... 33
7.3 Headboat/MRFSS Combined ..... 33
8 Combined Effects of Size Limit, Bag Limit, and Seasonal Closure ..... 35
9 Recreational Boat Limits ..... 36
9.1 Headboat ..... 36
9.2 Charter ..... 37
9.3 Private ..... 37
10 Post Quota Bycatch Mortality ..... 38
11 References ..... 42

## 1 Summary of life history information

Vermilion snapper occur in the Western Atlantic, from North Carolina to Rio de Janeiro. It is most abundant off the southeastern United States and in the Gulf of Campeche (Hood and Johnson 1999). The vermilion snapper is commonly found over rock, gravel, or sand bottoms near the edge of the continental and island shelves (Allen 1985). Vermilion snapper are not sedentary (like most snappers) but are found off the bottom and have morphological characteristics reflective of pelagic species (i.e., forked tail, streamlined body shape, long pectorals, pointed snout). It occurs at depths from 18 to 122 m ( 59 to 400 ft ), but is most abundant at depths less than $76 \mathrm{~m}(250 \mathrm{ft})$. Individuals often form large schools. Vermilion snapper probably do not have extensive long range or local movement patterns (SEDAR 2 2003).

The maximum size of a male vermilion snapper, reported by Allen (1985), was 60.0 cm ( 23.8 in ) TL and 3.2 kg ( 7.1 pounds). Maximum reported age in the South Atlantic Bight was 14 years (Zhao et al. 1997; Potts et al. 1998). SEDAR 2 (2003) recommends that M be defined as $0.25 / \mathrm{yr}$, with a range of $0.2-0.3 / \mathrm{yr}$.

This species spawns in aggregations (Lindeman et al. 2000) from April through late September in the southeastern United States (Cuellar et al. 1996). Zhao et al. (1997) indicated most spawning in the South Atlantic Bight occurs from June through August. Eggs and larvae are pelagic. Vermilion snapper have separate sexes throughout their life. All vermilion snapper are mature at 2 years of age and 20.0 cm (7.9 in) (SEDAR 2 2003). Cuellar et al. (1996) collected vermilion snapper off the southeastern United States and found all were mature. The smallest female was 16.5 cm ( 6.5 in ) FL and the smallest male was 17.9 cm (7.1 in) FL (Cuellar et al. 1996). Zhao and McGovern (1997) reported that $100 \%$ of males that were collected after 1982 along the southeastern United States were mature at $14.0 \mathrm{~cm}(5.6 \mathrm{in}) \mathrm{TL}$ and age 1 . All females collected after 1988 were mature at $18.0 \mathrm{~cm}(7.1 \mathrm{in}) \mathrm{TL}$ and age 1.

This species preys on fishes, shrimps, crabs, polychaetes, and other benthic invertebrates, as well as cephalopods and planktonic organisms (Allen 1985). Sedberry and Cuellar (1993) reported small crustaceans (especially copepods), sergestid decapods, barnacle larvae, stomatopods, and decapods dominated the diets of small (<50 mm (2 in) SL) vermilion snapper off the Southeastern United States. Larger decapods, fishes, and cephalopods are more important in the diet of larger vermilion snapper.

## 2 Reduction in harvest needed to end overfishing and achieve OY

Reduction in harvest needed to end overfishing using the Baranov equation and natural mortality $=0.25$. Fproj represents the geometric mean of fishing mortality during 20042006.

Table 2. Reduction in harvest needed to achieve Fmax.

| Fmax | Fproj | Reduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.355 | 0.9098 | 0.51 |

Fproj/Fmax $=2.56$
Reduction in harvest needed to achieve OY using the Baranov equation and natural mortality $=0.25$. Fproj represents the geometric mean of fishing mortality during 20042006.

Table 3. Reduction in harvest needed to achieve Foy.

| Foy | Fproj | Reduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.26625 | 0.9098 | 0.61 |

The SSC provided an estimate of this value based on the yield per recruit at the geometric mean of the 2004-2006 fishing mortality rates compared to that at 75\% of Fmax (Foy). To lower the fishing mortality rate to $75 \%$ of Fmax, a $61 \%$ reduction in total catch is required. Using data from the SEDAR assessment (SEDAR Update \#3 2007), this results in a total harvest (recreational and commercial) of 628,459 pounds whole weight (566,179 pounds gutted weight).

## 3 Vermilion Snapper Landings

### 3.1 Vermilion snapper landings

Table 4. Vermilion Snapper Landings - Pounds Gutted Weight. Source: ALS, MRFSS Web site; Headboat survey. Commercial data for 2006 are from logbook. Data do not include dead discards and MRFSS data are A+B1; weight not converted from numbers.

| Year | comm | mrfss | headboat | \% comm | \% rec |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 735,419 | 10,146 | 314,696 | $69.36 \%$ | $30.64 \%$ |
| 1987 | 611,652 | 186,783 | 407,152 | $50.73 \%$ | $49.27 \%$ |
| 1988 | 823,693 | 121,367 | 377,149 | $62.30 \%$ | $37.70 \%$ |
| 1989 | $1,040,863$ | 95,466 | 312,196 | $71.86 \%$ | $28.14 \%$ |
| 1990 | $1,187,409$ | 108,635 | 348,442 | $72.21 \%$ | $27.79 \%$ |
| 1991 | $1,274,161$ | 94,495 | 547,554 | $66.49 \%$ | $33.51 \%$ |
| 1992 | 669,690 | 106,702 | 224,860 | $66.89 \%$ | $33.11 \%$ |
| 1993 | 790,162 | 88,468 | 231,710 | $71.16 \%$ | $28.84 \%$ |
| 1994 | 874,456 | 66,195 | 253,735 | $73.21 \%$ | $26.79 \%$ |
| 1995 | 859,165 | 38,212 | 244,916 | $75.21 \%$ | $24.79 \%$ |
| 1996 | 687,574 | 64,394 | 248,925 | $68.70 \%$ | $31.30 \%$ |
| 1997 | 695,448 | 66,448 | 270,190 | $67.38 \%$ | $32.62 \%$ |
| 1998 | 646,837 | 112,759 | 248,189 | $64.18 \%$ | $35.82 \%$ |
| 1999 | 804,086 | 133,651 | 302,459 | $64.84 \%$ | $35.16 \%$ |
| 2000 | $1,251,888$ | 230,064 | 366,471 | $67.73 \%$ | $32.27 \%$ |
| 2001 | $1,515,535$ | 222,690 | 362,718 | $72.14 \%$ | $27.86 \%$ |
| 2002 | $1,228,928$ | 159,450 | 294,094 | $73.04 \%$ | $26.96 \%$ |
| 2003 | 686,586 | 187,733 | 258,957 | $60.58 \%$ | $39.42 \%$ |
| 2004 | $1,001,297$ | 238,594 | 342,138 | $63.29 \%$ | $36.71 \%$ |
| 2005 | $1,009,300$ | 251,560 | 281,059 | $65.46 \%$ | $34.54 \%$ |
| 2006 | 774,394 | 348,126 | 362,476 | $52.15 \%$ | $47.85 \%$ |


3.2 Vermilion Snapper Commercial Landings for 225 and Unlimited Permits

Table 2. Landings of vermilion snapper associated with 225 and unlimited permits.

| Year | 225 Permit | Unlimited <br> Permit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 873 | 816,036 |
| 2000 | 1,510 | $1,240,938$ |
| 2001 | 1,841 | $1,486,063$ |
| 2002 | 802 | $1,180,863$ |
| 2003 | 1,803 | 692,681 |
| 2004 | 980 | 958,730 |
| 2005 | 1,333 | $1,047,705$ |

### 3.3 Vermilion Snapper Landings by State

### 3.3.1 Commercial

Table 3. Commercial landings by state for 1999-2005

| State | $99-05$ | Avg ww | Avg GW | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL | $1,083,370$ | 154,767 | 139,430 | $13.02 \%$ |
| Georgia | $1,240,389$ | 177,198 | 159,638 | $14.90 \%$ |
| NC | $2,895,712$ | 413,673 | 372,679 | $34.79 \%$ |
| SC | $3,102,888$ | 443,270 | 399,342 | $37.28 \%$ |

### 3.3.2 Headboat

Table 4. Headboat landings by state for 1999-2005

| State | $99-05$ | avg ww | avg gw | percent |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GA AND NORTH FL | 412,957 | 58,994 | 53,148 | $16.85 \%$ |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 649,665 | 92,809 | 83,612 | $26.51 \%$ |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | $1,215,785$ | 173,684 | 156,472 | $49.61 \%$ |
| SOUTH FLORIDA | 172,358 | 24,623 | 22,183 | $7.03 \%$ |

### 3.3.3 MRFSS

Table 5. MRFSS landings by state for 1999-2005.

| MRFSS | $99-05$ | avg ww | avg gw | percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL | 860,636 | 122,948 | 110,764 | $54.40 \%$ |
| GA | 149,079 | 21,297 | 19,186 | $9.42 \%$ |
| SC | 361,455 | 51,636 | 46,519 | $22.85 \%$ |
| NC | 210,800 | 30,114 | 27,130 | $13.33 \%$ |

Table 6. MRFSS landings (A+B1) in number by state for 1999-2005.

| MRFSS | $99-05$ | avg | percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL | 828,441 | 118,349 | $59.45 \%$ |
| GA | 133,826 | 19,118 | $2.40 \%$ |
| SC | 280,320 | 40,046 | $4.70 \%$ |
| NC | 150,916 | 21,559 | $28.26 \%$ |

Table 7. MRFSS landings (B2) in number by state for 1999-2005.

| MRFSS | $99-05$ | avg | percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL | 769,816 | 109,974 | $55.24 \%$ |
| GA | 65,170 | 9,310 | $2.40 \%$ |
| SC | 208,051 | 29,722 | $4.70 \%$ |
| NC | 96,945 | 13,849 | $28.26 \%$ |

### 3.4 Vermilion Snapper Landings by Month and State

### 3.4.1 Commercial

Table 8. Average vermilion snapper commercial landings 1999-2005 (lbs gutted weight) by state and month. Includes Monroe County.

| Month | Total | FL | GA | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 57,040 | 9,446 | 8,767 | 21,497 | 17,330 |
| 2 | 50,318 | 7,464 | 8,662 | 19,737 | 14,455 |
| 3 | 80,618 | 13,526 | 14,239 | 35,208 | 17,646 |
| 4 | 87,076 | 11,101 | 13,444 | 40,222 | 22,309 |
| 5 | 87,454 | 11,293 | 10,876 | 28,858 | 36,426 |
| 6 | 99,185 | 13,296 | 16,373 | 33,142 | 36,374 |
| 7 | 82,181 | 12,633 | 12,806 | 27,212 | 29,530 |
| 8 | 108,711 | 12,392 | 14,375 | 36,165 | 45,780 |
| 9 | 110,836 | 12,275 | 16,186 | 41,037 | 41,338 |
| 10 | 124,303 | 14,782 | 19,033 | 45,573 | 44,915 |
| 11 | 113,050 | 12,872 | 13,585 | 42,397 | 44,196 |
| 12 | 70,317 | 8,350 | 11,293 | 28,295 | 22,379 |

Table 9. Average vermilion snapper commercial landings 1999-2005 (percentage) by state and month. Includes Monroe County.

| Month | Total | FL | GA | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $5.33 \%$ | $6.77 \%$ | $5.49 \%$ | $5.38 \%$ | $4.65 \%$ |
| 2 | $4.70 \%$ | $5.35 \%$ | $5.43 \%$ | $4.94 \%$ | $3.88 \%$ |
| 3 | $7.53 \%$ | $9.70 \%$ | $8.92 \%$ | $8.82 \%$ | $4.73 \%$ |
| 4 | $8.13 \%$ | $7.96 \%$ | $8.42 \%$ | $10.07 \%$ | $5.99 \%$ |
| 5 | $8.16 \%$ | $8.10 \%$ | $6.81 \%$ | $7.23 \%$ | $9.77 \%$ |
| 6 | $9.26 \%$ | $9.54 \%$ | $10.26 \%$ | $8.30 \%$ | $9.76 \%$ |
| 7 | $7.67 \%$ | $9.06 \%$ | $8.02 \%$ | $6.81 \%$ | $7.92 \%$ |
| 8 | $10.15 \%$ | $8.89 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $9.06 \%$ | $12.28 \%$ |
| 9 | $10.35 \%$ | $8.80 \%$ | $10.14 \%$ | $10.28 \%$ | $11.09 \%$ |
| 10 | $11.61 \%$ | $10.60 \%$ | $11.92 \%$ | $11.41 \%$ | $12.05 \%$ |
| 11 | $10.55 \%$ | $9.23 \%$ | $8.51 \%$ | $10.62 \%$ | $11.86 \%$ |
| 12 | $6.57 \%$ | $5.99 \%$ | $7.07 \%$ | $7.09 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ |

### 3.4.2 Headboat

Table 10. Average vermilion snapper headboat landings 1999-2005 (lbs gutted weight) by state and month.

| Month | Total | South FL | GA - NFL | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7,639 | 3,960 | 2,176 | 1,281 | 222 |
| 2 | 8,848 | 4,706 | 2,316 | 1,450 | 375 |
| 3 | 22,388 | 12,421 | 2,471 | 4,589 | 2,907 |
| 4 | 49,652 | 28,560 | 1,157 | 6,233 | 13,702 |
| 5 | 80,406 | 46,192 | 915 | 7,886 | 25,414 |
| 6 | 89,502 | 50,930 | 1,009 | 7,495 | 30,068 |
| 7 | 97,877 | 54,755 | 3,678 | 8,221 | 31,223 |
| 8 | 70,780 | 40,830 | 3,272 | 5,557 | 21,122 |
| 9 | 44,048 | 25,958 | 1,060 | 2,908 | 14,123 |
| 10 | 43,864 | 28,349 | 856 | 2,880 | 11,779 |
| 11 | 24,781 | 14,762 | 1,701 | 3,072 | 5,246 |
| 12 | 7,441 | 3,999 | 1,574 | 1,576 | 291 |

Table 11. Average vermilion snapper headboat landings 1999-2005 (percentage) by state and month.

| Month | Total | FL | GA | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1.40 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | $9.81 \%$ | $2.41 \%$ | $0.14 \%$ |
| 2 | $1.62 \%$ | $1.49 \%$ | $10.44 \%$ | $2.73 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ |
| 3 | $4.09 \%$ | $3.94 \%$ | $11.14 \%$ | $8.63 \%$ | $1.86 \%$ |
| 4 | $9.07 \%$ | $9.05 \%$ | $5.22 \%$ | $11.73 \%$ | $8.76 \%$ |
| 5 | $14.69 \%$ | $14.64 \%$ | $4.12 \%$ | $14.84 \%$ | $16.24 \%$ |
| 6 | $16.36 \%$ | $16.15 \%$ | $4.55 \%$ | $14.10 \%$ | $19.22 \%$ |
| 7 | $17.89 \%$ | $17.36 \%$ | $16.58 \%$ | $15.47 \%$ | $19.95 \%$ |
| 8 | $12.93 \%$ | $12.94 \%$ | $14.75 \%$ | $10.46 \%$ | $13.50 \%$ |
| 9 | $8.05 \%$ | $8.23 \%$ | $4.78 \%$ | $5.47 \%$ | $9.03 \%$ |
| 10 | $8.02 \%$ | $8.99 \%$ | $3.86 \%$ | $5.42 \%$ | $7.53 \%$ |
| 11 | $4.53 \%$ | $4.68 \%$ | $7.67 \%$ | $5.78 \%$ | $3.35 \%$ |
| 12 | $1.36 \%$ | $1.27 \%$ | $7.10 \%$ | $2.97 \%$ | $0.19 \%$ |

### 3.4.3 MRFSS

Table 12. Average vermilion snapper MRFSS landings 1999-2005 (lbs gutted weight) by state and month.

| Wave | Total | FL | GA | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23,776 | 23,776 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 30,986 | 20,675 | 2,716 | 6,661 | 934 |
| 3 | 46,351 | 16,730 | 6,139 | 17,695 | 5,788 |
| 4 | 43,963 | 25,690 | 3,384 | 7,072 | 7,816 |
| 5 | 41,963 | 13,403 | 6,332 | 14,473 | 7,755 |
| 6 | 16,559 | 10,488 | 616 | 618 | 4,837 |

Table 13. Average vermilion snapper MRFSS landings 1999-2005 (percent lbs gutted weight) by state and month.

| Wave | Total | FL | GA | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $11.68 \%$ | $21.47 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2 | $15.22 \%$ | $18.67 \%$ | $14.15 \%$ | $14.32 \%$ | $3.44 \%$ |
| 3 | $22.77 \%$ | $15.10 \%$ | $32.00 \%$ | $38.04 \%$ | $21.33 \%$ |
| 4 | $21.59 \%$ | $23.19 \%$ | $17.64 \%$ | $15.20 \%$ | $28.81 \%$ |
| 5 | $20.61 \%$ | $12.10 \%$ | $33.00 \%$ | $31.11 \%$ | $28.59 \%$ |
| 6 | $8.13 \%$ | $9.47 \%$ | $3.21 \%$ | $1.33 \%$ | $17.83 \%$ |

Table 14. Average vermilion snapper MRFSS landings 1999-2005 (A+B1 Number) by state and month.

| Wave | Total | FL | GA | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 25,014 | 25,014 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 28,718 | 20,116 | 2,311 | 5,429 | 862 |
| 3 | 37,110 | 15,271 | 5,416 | 11,711 | 4,713 |
| 4 | 38,269 | 23,059 | 3,081 | 6,164 | 5,965 |
| 5 | 35,966 | 12,877 | 5,733 | 12,001 | 5,355 |
| 6 | 14,472 | 10,284 | 682 | 771 | 2,734 |

Table 15. Average vermilion snapper MRFSS landings 1999-2005 (A+B1 Number, percent) by state and month.

| Wave | Total | FL | GA | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $13.93 \%$ | $23.46 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2 | $15.99 \%$ | $18.87 \%$ | $13.42 \%$ | $15.05 \%$ | $4.39 \%$ |
| 3 | $20.67 \%$ | $14.32 \%$ | $31.44 \%$ | $32.46 \%$ | $24.01 \%$ |
| 4 | $21.31 \%$ | $21.63 \%$ | $17.89 \%$ | $17.09 \%$ | $30.39 \%$ |
| 5 | $20.03 \%$ | $12.08 \%$ | $33.29 \%$ | $33.27 \%$ | $27.28 \%$ |
| 6 | $8.06 \%$ | $9.65 \%$ | $3.96 \%$ | $2.14 \%$ | $13.93 \%$ |

Table 16. Average vermilion snapper MRFSS landings 1999-2005 (B2 Number) by state and month.

| Wave | Total | FL | GA | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 12,332 | 12,332 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 35,099 | 27,053 | 1,190 | 4,059 | 2,797 |
| 3 | 27,070 | 10,869 | 1,952 | 9,310 | 4,938 |
| 4 | 39,322 | 27,893 | 2,366 | 6,599 | 2,464 |
| 5 | 19,435 | 8,083 | 2,701 | 6,429 | 2,222 |
| 6 | 13,459 | 12,847 | 177 | 379 | 56 |

Table 17. Average vermilion snapper MRFSS landings 1999-2005 (B2 Number, percent) by state and month.

| Wave | Total | FL | GA | SC | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $8.41 \%$ | $12.45 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2 | $23.92 \%$ | $27.31 \%$ | $14.19 \%$ | $15.16 \%$ | $22.42 \%$ |
| 3 | $18.45 \%$ | $10.97 \%$ | $23.28 \%$ | $34.77 \%$ | $39.58 \%$ |
| 4 | $26.80 \%$ | $28.15 \%$ | $28.21 \%$ | $24.64 \%$ | $19.75 \%$ |
| 5 | $13.25 \%$ | $8.16 \%$ | $32.21 \%$ | $24.01 \%$ | $17.81 \%$ |
| 6 | $9.17 \%$ | $12.97 \%$ | $2.11 \%$ | $1.42 \%$ | $0.45 \%$ |

Table 18. Harvested (A+B1) and discards (B2) catch of vermilion snapper for Waves 1-5 during 2005 and 2006.

|  | 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A+B1 | B2 | \%B2s |
| Wave 1 | 8,610 | 47 | $0.54 \%$ |
| Wave 2 | 32,271 | 53,517 | $62.38 \%$ |
| Wave 3 | 47,847 | 8,482 | $15.06 \%$ |
| Wave 4 | 107,442 | 15,258 | $12.44 \%$ |
| Wave 5 | 35,274 | 21,610 | $37.99 \%$ |
| Total | 231,444 | 98,914 | $29.94 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | A+B1 | B2 | $\%$ B2s |
| Wave 1 | 23,819 | 7,627 | $24.25 \%$ |
| Wave 2 | 33,187 | 13,543 | $28.98 \%$ |
| Wave 3 | 75,918 | 80,154 | $51.36 \%$ |
| Wave 4 | 103,079 | 99,631 | $49.15 \%$ |
| Wave 5 | 43,096 | 66,212 | $60.57 \%$ |
| Total | 279,099 | 267,167 | $48.91 \%$ |



Figure 4-x. Annual number of vermilion snapper harvested (A+B1) and discarded (B2) during 1986 - 2007. Data for 2007 do not include Wave 6 (November - December) numbers.

### 3.5 Vermilion Snapper Commercial Percentage

Table 19. Vermilion Snapper \% Commercial. Source ALS 1986-2006. Includes Monroe County.
\% comm..

| Year | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 69.36\% | 59.45\% | 60.50\% | 63.77\% | 65.84\% | 65.99\% | 66.08\% | 66.61\% | 67.27\% | 67.97\% | 68.02\% | 67.98\% | 67.74\% | 67.53\% | 67.55\% | 68.00\% | 68.37\% | 68.01\% | 67.69\% | 67.58\% | 66.97\% |
| 1987 |  | 50.73\% | 56.78\% | 62.27\% | 65.18\% | 65.51\% | 65.67\% | 66.31\% | 67.07\% | 67.84\% | 67.91\% | 67.87\% | 67.62\% | 67.41\% | 67.44\% | 67.93\% | 68.32\% | 67.94\% | 67.62\% | 67.51\% | 66.88\% |
| 1988 |  |  | 62.30\% | 67.29\% | 69.12\% | 68.33\% | 68.13\% | 68.53\% | 69.11\% | 69.76\% | 69.67\% | 69.48\% | 69.10\% | 68.75\% | 68.63\% | 69.02\% | 69.35\% | 68.89\% | 68.49\% | 68.32\% | 67.62\% |
| 1989 |  |  |  | 71.86\% | 72.04\% | 69.92\% | 69.41\% | 69.69\% | 70.19\% | 70.80\% | 70.60\% | 70.31\% | 69.82\% | 69.37\% | 69.17\% | 69.52\% | 69.83\% | 69.32\% | 68.86\% | 68.66\% | 67.90\% |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  | 72.21\% | 69.13\% | 68.64\% | 69.13\% | 69.84\% | 70.61\% | 70.40\% | 70.09\% | 69.55\% | 69.07\% | 68.90\% | 69.32\% | 69.67\% | 69.13\% | 68.65\% | 68.45\% | 67.66\% |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  | 66.49\% | 66.63\% | 67.88\% | 69.10\% | 70.20\% | 69.99\% | 69.67\% | 69.08\% | 68.59\% | 68.46\% | 68.99\% | 69.41\% | 68.83\% | 68.34\% | 68.15\% | 67.32\% |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.89\% | 69.14\% | 70.61\% | 71.79\% | 71.22\% | 70.61\% | 69.75\% | 69.05\% | 68.82\% | 69.37\% | 69.80\% | 69.12\% | 68.55\% | 68.32\% | 67.40\% |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.16\% | 72.23\% | 73.22\% | 72.20\% | 71.29\% | 70.19\% | 69.33\% | 69.02\% | 69.58\% | 70.02\% | 69.28\% | 68.65\% | 68.40\% | 67.42\% |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.21\% | 74.19\% | 72.54\% | 71.32\% | 69.99\% | 69.02\% | 68.74\% | 69.41\% | 69.91\% | 69.12\% | 68.47\% | 68.22\% | 67.19\% |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.21\% | 72.17\% | 70.61\% | 69.06\% | 68.10\% | 68.00\% | 68.93\% | 69.56\% | 68.72\% | 68.06\% | 67.83\% | 66.76\% |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68.70\% | 68.03\% | 66.75\% | 66.20\% | 66.66\% | 68.06\% | 68.90\% | 68.05\% | 67.41\% | 67.23\% | 66.14\% |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67.38\% | 65.80\% | 65.44\% | 66.26\% | 67.97\% | 68.93\% | 67.99\% | 67.30\% | 67.12\% | 65.97\% |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64.18\% | 64.54\% | 65.98\% | 68.07\% | 69.13\% | 68.05\% | 67.29\% | 67.10\% | 65.86\% |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64.84\% | 66.57\% | 68.82\% | 69.85\% | 68.54\% | 67.62\% | 67.36\% | 65.99\% |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67.73\% | 70.07\% | 70.96\% | 69.22\% | 68.03\% | 67.68\% | 66.12\% |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72.14\% | 72.54\% | 69.78\% | 68.11\% | 67.66\% | 65.81\% |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.04\% | 68.03\% | 66.19\% | 66.08\% | 63.99\% |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.58\% | 61.96\% | 63.33\% | 61.30\% |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62.95\% | 64.33\% | 61.47\% |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.76\% | 60.67\% |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.05\% |

### 3.6 Vermilion Snapper Recreational Percentage

Table 20. Vermilion Snapper \% Recreational. Source MRFSS Web site, NMFS Headboat survey.
\% rec

| Year | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 30.64\% | 40.55\% | 39.50\% | 36.23\% | 34.16\% | 34.01\% | 33.92\% | 33.39\% | 32.73\% | 32.03\% | 31.98\% | 32.02\% | 32.26\% | 32.47\% | 32.45\% | 32.00\% | 31.63\% | 31.99\% | 32.31\% | 32.42\% | 33.03\% |
| 1987 |  | 49.27\% | 43.22\% | 37.73\% | 34.82\% | 34.49\% | 34.33\% | 33.69\% | 32.93\% | 32.16\% | 32.09\% | 32.13\% | 32.38\% | 32.59\% | 32.56\% | 32.07\% | 31.68\% | 32.06\% | 32.38\% | 32.49\% | 33.12\% |
| 1988 |  |  | 37.70\% | 32.71\% | 30.88\% | 31.67\% | 31.87\% | 31.47\% | 30.89\% | 30.24\% | 30.33\% | 30.52\% | 30.90\% | 31.25\% | 31.37\% | 30.98\% | 30.65\% | 31.11\% | 31.51\% | 31.68\% | 32.38\% |
| 1989 |  |  |  | 28.14\% | 27.96\% | 30.08\% | 30.59\% | 30.31\% | 29.81\% | 29.20\% | 29.40\% | 29.69\% | 30.18\% | 30.63\% | 30.83\% | 30.48\% | 30.17\% | 30.68\% | 31.14\% | 31.34\% | 32.10\% |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  | 27.79\% | 30.87\% | 31.36\% | 30.87\% | 30.16\% | 29.39\% | 29.60\% | 29.91\% | 30.45\% | 30.93\% | 31.10\% | 30.68\% | 30.33\% | 30.87\% | 31.35\% | 31.55\% | 32.34\% |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  | 33.51\% | 33.37\% | 32.12\% | 30.90\% | 29.80\% | 30.01\% | 30.33\% | 30.92\% | 31.41\% | 31.54\% | 31.01\% | 30.59\% | 31.17\% | 31.66\% | 31.85\% | 32.68\% |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.11\% | 30.86\% | 29.39\% | 28.21\% | 28.78\% | 29.39\% | 30.25\% | 30.95\% | 31.18\% | 30.63\% | 30.20\% | 30.88\% | 31.45\% | 31.68\% | 32.60\% |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.84\% | 27.77\% | 26.78\% | 27.80\% | 28.71\% | 29.81\% | 30.67\% | 30.98\% | 30.42\% | 29.98\% | 30.72\% | 31.35\% | 31.60\% | 32.58\% |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.79\% | 25.81\% | 27.46\% | 28.68\% | 30.01\% | 30.98\% | 31.26\% | 30.59\% | 30.09\% | 30.88\% | 31.53\% | 31.78\% | 32.81\% |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.79\% | 27.83\% | 29.39\% | 30.94\% | 31.90\% | 32.00\% | 31.07\% | 30.44\% | 31.28\% | 31.94\% | 32.17\% | 33.24\% |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.30\% | 31.97\% | 33.25\% | 33.80\% | 33.34\% | 31.94\% | 31.10\% | 31.95\% | 32.59\% | 32.77\% | 33.86\% |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32.62\% | 34.20\% | 34.56\% | 33.74\% | 32.03\% | 31.07\% | 32.01\% | 32.70\% | 32.88\% | 34.03\% |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.82\% | 35.46\% | 34.02\% | 31.93\% | 30.87\% | 31.95\% | 32.71\% | 32.90\% | 34.14\% |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.16\% | 33.43\% | 31.18\% | 30.15\% | 31.46\% | 32.38\% | 32.64\% | 34.01\% |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32.27\% | 29.93\% | 29.04\% | 30.78\% | 31.97\% | 32.32\% | 33.88\% |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.86\% | 27.46\% | 30.22\% | 31.89\% | 32.34\% | 34.19\% |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.96\% | 31.97\% | 33.81\% | 33.92\% | 36.01\% |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.42\% | 38.04\% | 36.67\% | 38.70\% |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.05\% | 35.67\% | 38.53\% |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.24\% | 39.33\% |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.95\% |

### 3.7 Allocations and quota

Using the landings data (in pounds gutted weight) and the allocation the two time period shown below results in the commercial quotas and recreational allocations shown in Table 21:

Years 1986-2005 = 68\% commercial \& 32\% recreational
Table 21. Commercial and recreational portions of the catch (pounds gutted weight) associated with allocations suggested by Council thus far.

| Vermilion Snapper |  | Allocation Alternative 2. 68\%C/32\%R |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual | Commercial | Recreational |
| Year | Catch Limit <br> (gutted weight) | Portion <br> (gutted weight) | Portion <br> (gutted weight) |
| 2008 | 566,179 | 385,002 | 181,177 |

## 4 Monthly catch and reduction provided by seasonal closure

### 4.1 Commercial

Table 22. Monthly catch (pounds gutted weight) of vermilion snapper during 1999-2005 (average), 2001, 2005, and 2006. Data are from ALS.

| Month | $1999-2005$ | 2001 | 2005 | 2006 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 57,040 | 55,877 | 86,821 | 78,217 |
| 2 | 50,318 | 79,474 | 58,870 | 53,036 |
| 3 | 80,618 | 90,728 | 70,088 | 63,142 |
| 4 | 87,076 | 120,240 | 51,378 | 46,286 |
| 5 | 87,454 | 145,416 | 110,082 | 99,173 |
| 6 | 99,185 | 168,710 | 109,706 | 98,834 |
| 7 | 82,181 | 119,121 | 88,940 | 80,126 |
| 8 | 108,711 | 149,402 | 98,886 | 89,086 |
| 9 | 110,836 | 213,295 | 124,441 | 112,109 |
| 10 | 124,303 | 139,759 | 91,086 | 82,059 |
| 11 | 113,050 | 127,552 | 89,984 | 81,067 |
| 12 | 70,317 | 105,962 | 29,016 | 26,141 |
| Total | $1,071,089$ | $1,515,535$ | $1,009,300$ | 911,283 |

To determine the effectiveness of a commercial seasonal closure five steps were taken. First, NMFS logbook data were examined to determine the most commonly taken species on trips with vermilion snapper. Second, trips were identified that caught at least 100 pounds of the most common species taken. Third, landings of vermilion snapper on trips identified in step 2 that targeted co-occurring species were determined. This would be considered to be incidental catch of vermilion snapper. Fourth, dead discards of vermilion snapper incidental catch was determined by applying a release mortality rate of 40\% (SEDAR Update\# 3 2007). Fifth, effectiveness of closure was determined by comparing the magnitude of dead discards to actual landings.

Based on an examination of the NMFS logbook data, the species most commonly taken on commercial trips with vermilion snapper during 2003-2005 were gag, scamp, gray triggerfish, greater amberjack, red grouper, almaco jack, red snapper, or black sea bass. If fishermen were to target these species during a closure and release mortality of vermilion snapper is $40 \%$ (SEDAR Update\# 3 2007), it is anticipated that a closure would be $67 \%$ effective. Tables 13 and 14 provide reduction from a seasonal closure considering $100 \%$ and $67 \%$ effectiveness of closure.

Table 23. Monthly reduction in take based on 1999-2005 data if a seasonal closure is 100\% effective.

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $5.3 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 2 |  | $4.7 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ | $94.7 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  | $7.5 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $8.1 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $8.2 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $45.6 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $9.3 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $7.7 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.1 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.3 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $11.6 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.6 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $6.6 \%$ |

Table 24. Monthly reduction in take based on 1999-2005 data if seasonal closure is $93 \%$ effective

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $5.0 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $77.1 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ |
| 2 |  | $4.4 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  | $7.0 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $7.6 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $40.3 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $7.6 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $32.8 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $8.6 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $45.6 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $7.1 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.4 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.6 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.8 \%$ | $20.6 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.8 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $6.1 \%$ |

Peak spawning is during June-August. A spawning season closure would provide a reduction of $27 \%$ if closure was $100 \%$ effective and $25 \%$ reduction if closure was $93 \%$ effective.

### 4.2 Recreational

Table 25. Average landings (pounds gutted weight) of vermilion snapper taken by headboat and MRFSS during 1999-2005.

| Month | Headboat | MRFSS | Rec ww | Rec gw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4,396 | 13,196 | 17,592 | 15,848 |
| 2 | 5,224 | 13,196 | 18,420 | 16,594 |
| 3 | 13,787 | 10,939 | 24,726 | 22,276 |
| 4 | 31,702 | 10,939 | 42,641 | 38,415 |
| 5 | 51,272 | 25,725 | 76,997 | 69,367 |
| 6 | 56,532 | 25,725 | 82,257 | 74,105 |
| 7 | 60,777 | 24,264 | 85,041 | 76,614 |
| 8 | 45,320 | 24,264 | 69,584 | 62,688 |
| 9 | 28,812 | 23,425 | 52,238 | 47,061 |
| 10 | 31,466 | 23,425 | 54,891 | 49,451 |
| 11 | 16,384 | 7,412 | 23,797 | 21,438 |
| 12 | 4,437 | 7,412 | 11,850 | 10,675 |
|  |  | 560,033 | 504,534 |  |

To determine the effectiveness of a recreational seasonal closure seven steps were taken. First, MRFSS data were examined to determine the most commonly species taken on trips with vermilion snapper during the proposed September through October closure. Second, trips were identified that caught at least 1 individual of the most common species taken identified in step 1. Third, landings of vermilion snapper on trips identified in step 2 that targeted co-occurring species were determined. This would be considered to be incidental catch of vermilion snapper. Fourth, incidental catch was compared to actual catch to determine percentage that would still be caught during a closed season. Fifth, the portion of the vermilion snapper incidental catch that would die when no retention was allowed was determined by applying a release mortality rate of 25\% (SEDAR 2 2003). Sixth, the magnitude of incidental catch was estimated if the number of trips was reduced and if fishermen were able to avoid vermilion snapper. Seven, determine effectiveness of closure by comparing the magnitude of dead discards to actual landings if a closure did not occur.

Table 26. Most common species taken on MRFSS trips during September - October that also caught vermilion snapper. Landings are totals in number (A + B1) for 1999-2005. Represents sample not total expanded landings.

| common | Obs | Mean | Sum | Percent | Cum \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| vermilion snapper | 309 | 6.177994 | 1909 | $35.16 \%$ | $35.16 \%$ |
| white grunt | 84 | 8.75 | 735 | $13.54 \%$ | $48.70 \%$ |
| black sea bass | 137 | 4.817518 | 660 | $12.16 \%$ | $60.86 \%$ |
| gray triggerfish | 88 | 2.943182 | 259 | $4.77 \%$ | $65.63 \%$ |
| red porgy | 78 | 3.307692 | 258 | $4.75 \%$ | $70.38 \%$ |
| dolphin | 25 | 5.16 | 129 | $2.38 \%$ | $72.76 \%$ |
| snowy grouper | 6 | 20.66667 | 124 | $2.28 \%$ | $75.04 \%$ |
| king mackerel | 49 | 2.489796 | 122 | $2.25 \%$ | $77.29 \%$ |
| red snapper | 71 | 1.605634 | 114 | $2.10 \%$ | $79.39 \%$ |


| scamp | 39 | 2.641026 | 103 | $1.90 \%$ | $81.29 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 27. Incidental catch of vermilion snapper during a seasonal closure (Average 1999-2005). Dead discards determined by applying $25 \%$ release mortality rate. Assumes some trips will not be made during a seasonal closure.

| Trip reduction | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incidental <br> catch | 1,557 | 1,173 | 1,082 | 832 |
| Dead <br> Discards | 389 | 293 | 271 | 208 |
| Effectiveness | $79.61 \%$ | $84.64 \%$ | $85.83 \%$ | $89.10 \%$ |

Table 28. Incidental catch of vermilion snapper assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) during a seasonal closure and fishermen can avoid vermilion snapper (range 0 to 60\%) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 1,557 | 1,246 | 747 | 299 | 1,173 | 938 | 563 | 225 | 1,082 | 866 | 519 | 208 | 832 | 666 | 399 | 160 |
| Dead Discards | 389 | 311 | 187 | 75 | 293 | 235 | 141 | 56 | 271 | 216 | 130 | 52 | 208 | 166 | 100 | 40 |
| Effectiveness | 79.61\% | 83.69\% | 90.21\% | 96.09\% | 84.64\% | 87.71\% | 92.63\% | 97.05\% | 85.83\% | 88.66\% | 93.20\% | 97.28\% | 89.10\% | 91.28\% | 94.77\% | 97.91\% |

Examination of the MRFSS database indicated the species most commonly taken on recreational trips (MRFSS) during September October with vermilion snapper during 1999-2005 were white grunt, black sea bass, gray triggerfish, and red porgy. If fishermen were to target these species during a closure and release mortality of vermilion snapper is $25 \%$ (SEDAR 2 2003), it is anticipated that a closure would be $80 \%$ effective if effort remained the same and fishermen were unable to avoid vermilion snapper. If $20 \%$ of the trips are not taken and fishermen can avoid $20 \%$ of vermilion snapper by changing fishing methods and locations then the effectiveness would be $88 \%$.

Table 29. Most common species taken on Headboat trips during September - October that also caught vermilion snapper. Landings are for 1999-2005. Represents sample not total expanded landings.

| species | specname | N | Mean | Sum |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 10 | vermilion snapper | 1994 | 166.5642 | 332129 |
| 33 | black sea bass | 2575 | 40.11456 | 103295 |
| 50 | white grunt | 1653 | 47.18935 | 78004 |
| 77 | gray triggerfish | 1940 | 29.10876 | 56471 |
| 51 | tomtate | 923 | 51.31203 | 47361 |
| 15 | yellowtail snapper | 1149 | 30.43603 | 34971 |
| 4 | spottail pinfish | 489 | 46.2229 | 22603 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | red porgy | 809 | 24.57602 | 19882 |

Table 30. Incidental catch of vermilion snapper during a seasonal closure (Average 1999-2005). Dead discards determined by applying $25 \%$ release mortality rate. Assumes some trips will not be made during a seasonal closure.

| Trip reduction | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incidental catch | 323,149 | 189,241 | 148,112 | 99,580 |
| Dead Discards | 80,787 | 47,310 | 37,028 | 24,895 |
| Effectiveness | $75.68 \%$ | $85.76 \%$ | $88.85 \%$ | $92.50 \%$ |

Table 31. Incidental catch of gag on headboat trips assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) during a seasonal closure and fishermen can avoid vermilion snapper (range 0 to 60\%) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 323,149 | 258,519 | 155,112 | 62,045 | 189,241 | 151,393 | 90,836 | 36,334 | 148,112 | 118,490 | 71,094 | 28,438 | 99,580 | 79,664 | 47,798 | 19,119 |
| Dead Discards | 80,787 | 64,630 | 38,778 | 15,511 | 47,310 | 37,848 | 22,709 | 9,084 | 37,028 | 29,622 | 17,773 | 7,109 | 24,895 | 19,916 | 11,950 | 4,780 |
| Effectiveness | 75.68\% | 80.54\% | 88.32\% | 95.33\% | 85.76\% | 88.60\% | 93.16\% | 97.27\% | 88.85\% | 91.08\% | 94.65\% | 97.86\% | 92.50\% | 94.00\% | 96.40\% | 98.56\% |

Examination of the Headboat database indicated the species most commonly taken on recreational trips during September - April with vermilion snapper during 1999-2005 were black sea bass, white grunt, gray triggerfish, and tomtate. If fishermen were to target these species during a closure and release mortality of vermilion snapper is 25\% (SEDAR 2 2003), it is anticipated that a closure would be 76\% effective if effort remained the same and fishermen were unable to avoid gag. If $20 \%$ of the trips are not taken and fishermen can avoid $20 \%$ of gag by changing fishing methods and locations then the effectiveness would be $89 \%$.

### 4.2.1 Headboat

Table 32. Average landings (pounds gutted weight) of vermilion snapper taken by headboat during 1999-2005.

| Month | Lbs gw | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 3,960 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2 | 4,706 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 3 | 12,421 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 4 | 28,560 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 5 | 46,191 | $14.6 \%$ |
| 6 | 50,929 | $16.1 \%$ |
| 7 | 54,754 | $17.4 \%$ |
| 8 | 40,829 | $12.9 \%$ |
| 9 | 25,957 | $8.2 \%$ |
| 10 | 28,347 | $9.0 \%$ |
| 11 | 14,761 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 12 | 3,998 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Total | 315,414 |  |

Table 32. Monthly reduction in Headboat take based on 1999-2005 data if a seasonal closure is $100 \%$ effective.

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1.3 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $63.9 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 2 |  | $1.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $62.6 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ | $97.5 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  | $3.9 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $97.3 \%$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $9.1 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ | $78.4 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $14.6 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $16.1 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $17.4 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $12.9 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $8.2 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.0 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4.7 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1.3 \%$ |

Table 34. Monthly reduction in Headboat take based on 1999-2005 data if a seasonal closure is $89 \%$ effective.

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1.1 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ | $75.7 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ |
| 2 |  | $1.3 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $40.3 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  | $3.5 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $54.4 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $8.1 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $13.0 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $54.4 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $14.4 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $15.5 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $11.5 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $7.3 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $20.6 \%$ |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $8.0 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4.2 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1.1 \%$ |

### 4.2.2 MRFSS All Modes

Table 35. Average landings (pounds gutted weight) of vermilion snapper taken by MRFSS (all modes) during 1999-2005.

| Month | Lbs gw | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 11,888 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 2 | 11,888 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 3 | 15,493 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 4 | 15,493 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 5 | 23,176 | $11.4 \%$ |
| 6 | 23,176 | $11.4 \%$ |
| 7 | 21,860 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 8 | 21,860 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 9 | 21,104 | $10.4 \%$ |
| 10 | 21,104 | $10.4 \%$ |
| 11 | 8,279 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 12 | 8,279 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Total | 203,599 |  |

Table 36. Monthly reduction in MRFSS (all modes) take based on 1999-2005 data if a seasonal closure is $100 \%$ effective.

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $5.8 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ | $49.7 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $81.5 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ | $95.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 2 |  | $5.8 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ | $75.7 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | $90.1 \%$ | $94.2 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  | $7.6 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $7.6 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $11.4 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $11.4 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.7 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.7 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.4 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.4 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4.1 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4.1 \%$ |

Table 37. Monthly reduction in MRFSS (all modes) take based on 1999-2005 data if a seasonal closure is $89 \%$ effective.

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $5.2 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ |
| 2 |  | $5.2 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  | $6.8 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $6.8 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $10.1 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $65.1 \%$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $10.1 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.6 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.6 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ | $31.6 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.2 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.2 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3.6 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3.6 \%$ |

### 4.2.3 MRFSS/Headboat Combined

Table 38. Average landings (pounds gutted weight) of vermilion snapper taken by MRFSS/Headboat during 1999-2005.

| Month | Lbs gw | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 15,848 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2 | 16,594 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 3 | 27,914 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 4 | 44,053 | $8.5 \%$ |
| 5 | 69,367 | $13.4 \%$ |
| 6 | 74,105 | $14.3 \%$ |
| 7 | 76,614 | $14.8 \%$ |
| 8 | 62,688 | $12.1 \%$ |
| 9 | 47,061 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 10 | 49,451 | $9.5 \%$ |
| 11 | 23,040 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 12 | 12,277 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Total | 519,013 |  |

Table 39. Monthly reduction in MRFSS/Headboat take based on 1999-2005 data if a seasonal closure is $100 \%$ effective.

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $3.1 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $93.2 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 2 |  | $3.2 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ | $90.1 \%$ | $94.6 \%$ | $96.9 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  | $5.4 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ | $93.7 \%$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $8.5 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $13.4 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $14.3 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $50.2 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14.8 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $12.1 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.1 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.5 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4.4 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2.4 \%$ |

Table 40. Monthly reduction in MRFSS/Headboat take based on 1999-2005 data if a seasonal closure is $89 \%$ effective.

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ | $74.5 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ |
| 2 |  | $2.8 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | $71.7 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  | $4.8 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $7.6 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $11.9 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $12.7 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $13.1 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.7 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $8.1 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ |


| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $8.5 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4.0 \%$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5 Commercial Trip Limit Analysis

### 5.1 Trip limit Analysis, All Snapper Grouper Permits

Table 41. Trip limit analysis (all snapper grouper permits) for data from 1999-2005.

| Trip Limit (pounds gutted weight) | Avg 1999-2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg $n o$. trips | Avg pounds gw over limit | Expected catch | \% trips over limit | \% reduction in catch from limit |
| 0 | 2,593 | 1,061,737 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 225 | 1,132 | 710,176 | 351,561 | 43.7 | 66.9 |
| 270 | 1,034 | 661,394 | 400,343 | 39.9 | 62.3 |
| 450 | 751 | 502,465 | 559,272 | 29.0 | 47.3 |
| 541 | 640 | 439,923 | 621,814 | 24.7 | 41.4 |
| 631 | 550 | 386,363 | 675,373 | 21.2 | 36.4 |
| 721 | 471 | 340,450 | 721,287 | 18.2 | 32.1 |
| 811 | 408 | 301,002 | 760,735 | 15.7 | 28.3 |
| 901 | 355 | 266,784 | 794,953 | 13.7 | 25.1 |
| 991 | 312 | 236,900 | 824,837 | 12.0 | 22.3 |
| 1,081 | 270 | 210,659 | 851,078 | 10.4 | 19.8 |
| 1,171 | 239 | 187,723 | 874,014 | 9.2 | 17.7 |
| 1,261 | 213 | 167,413 | 894,324 | 8.2 | 15.8 |
| 1,351 | 188 | 149,290 | 912,447 | 7.3 | 14.1 |
| 1,441 | 166 | 133,302 | 928,435 | 6.4 | 12.6 |
| 1,532 | 149 | 119,127 | 942,610 | 5.7 | 11.2 |
| 1,622 | 133 | 106,443 | 955,294 | 5.1 | 10.0 |
| 1,712 | 116 | 95,256 | 966,481 | 4.5 | 9.0 |
| 1,802 | 103 | 85,451 | 976,286 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| 2,027 | 76 | 65,433 | 996,304 | 2.9 | 6.2 |
| 2,252 | 58 | 50,379 | 1,011,358 | 2.2 | 4.7 |
| 2,477 | 43 | 38,998 | 1,022,739 | 1.7 | 3.7 |
| 2,703 | 33 | 30,540 | 1,031,197 | 1.3 | 2.9 |
| 2,928 | 25 | 24,092 | 1,037,645 | 0.9 | 2.3 |
| 3,153 | 19 | 19,167 | 1,042,570 | 0.7 | 1.8 |
| 3,378 | 15 | 15,322 | 1,046,415 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| 3,604 | 11 | 12,468 | 1,049,269 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| 3,829 | 9 | 10,255 | 1,051,482 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| 4,054 | 6 | 8,617 | 1,053,120 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| 4,279 | 4 | 7,393 | 1,054,344 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| 4,505 | 4 | 6,482 | 1,055,255 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| 4,730 | 3 | 5,691 | 1,056,046 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 4,955 | 2 | 5,118 | 1,056,619 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 5,180 | 2 | 4,623 | 1,057,114 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| 5,405 | 2 | 4,205 | 1,057,532 | 0.1 | 0.4 |

Table 42. Trip limit analysis (all snapper grouper permits) for data from three time periods.

| Trip Limit (pounds gutted weight) | 1999-2005 | 2001 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% reduction in catch | \% reduction in catch | \% reduction in catch |
| 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 225 | 66.9 | 70.1 | 65.2 |
| 270 | 62.3 | 65.7 | 60.6 |
| 450 | 47.3 | 51.4 | 45.9 |
| 541 | 41.4 | 45.5 | 40.3 |
| 631 | 36.4 | 40.5 | 35.4 |
| 721 | 32.1 | 36.1 | 31.3 |
| 811 | 28.3 | 32.3 | 27.9 |
| 901 | 25.1 | 28.9 | 25.0 |
| 991 | 22.3 | 25.9 | 22.4 |
| 1,081 | 19.8 | 23.2 | 20.0 |
| 1,171 | 17.7 | 20.9 | 18.0 |
| 1,261 | 15.8 | 18.8 | 16.1 |
| 1,351 | 14.1 | 16.9 | 14.4 |
| 1,441 | 12.6 | 15.2 | 13.0 |
| 1,532 | 11.2 | 13.7 | 11.7 |
| 1,622 | 10.0 | 12.2 | 10.5 |
| 1,712 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 9.4 |
| 1,802 | 8.0 | 9.8 | 8.5 |
| 2,027 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| 2,252 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 5.0 |
| 2,477 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| 2,703 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| 2,928 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| 3,153 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 3,378 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 3,604 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 3,829 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 4,054 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 4,279 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| 4,505 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 4,730 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 4,955 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 5,180 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 5,405 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

### 5.2 Trip Limit Analysis for 225 Permits

Table 43. Vermilion snapper trip limit analysis for 225 permit holders, 1999-2005.

| Trip Limit (pounds whole weight) | Trip Limit (pounds gutted weight) | Avg 1999-2005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg no. trips | Avg pounds over limit | \% trips over limit | \% reduction in catch from limit |
| 0 | 0 | 31 | 1,450 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 25 | 23 | 17 | 906 | 55.1 | 62.5 |
| 30 | 27 | 15 | 824 | 49.5 | 56.9 |
| 50 | 45 | 9 | 573 | 29.6 | 39.5 |
| 60 | 54 | 8 | 488 | 24.5 | 33.6 |
| 70 | 63 | 7 | 416 | 21.3 | 28.7 |
| 80 | 72 | 5 | 357 | 15.7 | 24.6 |
| 90 | 81 | 4 | 313 | 13.0 | 21.6 |
| 100 | 90 | 3 | 277 | 10.2 | 19.1 |
| 110 | 99 | 2 | 249 | 7.4 | 17.2 |
| 120 | 108 | 2 | 229 | 6.5 | 15.8 |
| 130 | 117 | 2 | 211 | 5.1 | 14.5 |
| 140 | 126 | 2 | 195 | 5.1 | 13.5 |
| 150 | 135 | 1 | 182 | 3.7 | 12.6 |
| 160 | 144 | 1 | 171 | 3.7 | 11.8 |
| 170 | 153 | 1 | 159 | 3.2 | 11.0 |
| 180 | 162 | 1 | 150 | 2.8 | 10.4 |
| 190 | 171 | 1 | 141 | 2.8 | 9.8 |
| 200 | 180 | 1 | 133 | 2.8 | 9.2 |
| 225 | 203 | 1 | 112 | 2.3 | 7.7 |

Table 44. Vermilion snapper trip limit analysis for 225 permit holders, three time periods.

|  |  | Th99-2005 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Limit <br> pounds whole <br> weight) | Trip Limit <br> (pounds gutted <br> weight) | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> reduction <br> in catch | \% <br> reduction <br> in catch | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> reduction <br> in catch |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 62.5 | 58.9 | 60.1 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 56.9 | 52.4 | 53.2 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 39.5 | 31.6 | 28.5 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 33.6 | 24.9 | 18.1 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 28.7 | 19.0 | 11.0 |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 24.6 | 14.3 | 7.7 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | 21.6 | 11.9 | 6.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 19.1 | 9.9 | 5.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | 17.2 | 8.6 | 4.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | 15.8 | 7.6 | 3.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | 14.5 | 6.6 | 1.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ | 13.5 | 5.6 | 0.7 |


| Trip Limit (pounds whole weight) | Trip Limit (pounds gutted weight) | 1999-2005 | 2001 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% reduction in catch | ```% reduction in catch``` | \% reduction in catch |
| 150 | 135 | 12.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 |
| 160 | 144 | 11.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 153 | 11.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 162 | 10.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 171 | 9.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 180 | 9.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 225 | 203 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

### 5.3 Trip Limit Analysis for Unlimited Permits

Table 45. Trip limit analysis (unlimited snapper grouper permits) for data from three time periods.

| Trip Limit | 1999-2005 | 2001 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (pounds gutted weight) |  |  |  |
| 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 225 | 67.0 | 70.2 | 65.0 |
| 270 | 62.4 | 65.8 | 60.4 |
| 450 | 47.4 | 51.4 | 45.6 |
| 541 | 41.5 | 45.6 | 39.9 |
| 631 | 36.4 | 40.6 | 35.1 |
| 721 | 32.1 | 36.2 | 31.0 |
| 811 | 28.4 | 32.3 | 27.6 |
| 901 | 25.2 | 28.9 | 24.7 |
| 991 | 22.3 | 25.9 | 22.1 |
| 1,081 | 19.9 | 23.3 | 19.8 |
| 1,171 | 17.7 | 20.9 | 17.7 |
| 1,261 | 15.8 | 18.8 | 15.8 |
| 1,351 | 14.1 | 16.9 | 14.2 |
| 1,441 | 12.6 | 15.2 | 12.8 |
| 1,532 | 11.2 | 13.7 | 11.5 |
| 1,622 | 10.0 | 12.3 | 10.3 |
| 1,712 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 9.3 |
| 1,802 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 8.3 |
| 2,027 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| 2,252 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.0 |
| 2,477 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| 2,703 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| 2,928 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 3,153 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 3,378 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 3,604 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 3,829 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 4,054 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 4,279 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| 4,505 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 4,730 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 4,955 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 5,180 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 5,405 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

### 5.4 Trip Limit by State

Table 46. Trip limit analysis (all snapper grouper permits) by state for data from 19992005.

| Trip Limit | FL | GA | SC | NC | All States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (pounds gutted weight) | \% reduction in catch | \% reduction in catch | \% reduction in catch | ```% reduction in catch``` | \% reduction in catch |
| 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 225 | 59.9 | 82.8 | 70.6 | 55.0 | 66.9 |
| 270 | 55.3 | 79.7 | 66.3 | 49.7 | 62.3 |
| 450 | 40.5 | 68.4 | 52.0 | 33.2 | 47.3 |
| 541 | 34.7 | 63.3 | 46.2 | 27.2 | 41.4 |
| 631 | 29.7 | 58.5 | 41.1 | 22.3 | 36.4 |
| 721 | 25.6 | 54.0 | 36.6 | 18.4 | 32.1 |
| 811 | 22.1 | 49.7 | 32.6 | 15.4 | 28.3 |
| 901 | 19.1 | 45.8 | 29.0 | 12.9 | 25.1 |
| 991 | 16.6 | 42.2 | 25.8 | 10.9 | 22.3 |
| 1,081 | 14.4 | 38.8 | 23.0 | 9.3 | 19.8 |
| 1,171 | 12.6 | 35.5 | 20.4 | 8.0 | 17.7 |
| 1,261 | 10.9 | 32.5 | 18.2 | 6.9 | 15.8 |
| 1,351 | 9.5 | 29.8 | 16.1 | 6.0 | 14.1 |
| 1,441 | 8.2 | 27.1 | 14.3 | 5.3 | 12.6 |
| 1,532 | 7.1 | 24.7 | 12.6 | 4.8 | 11.2 |
| 1,622 | 6.2 | 22.4 | 11.1 | 4.3 | 10.0 |
| 1,712 | 5.5 | 20.4 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 9.0 |
| 1,802 | 4.8 | 18.6 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 8.0 |
| 2,027 | 3.5 | 14.7 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 6.2 |
| 2,252 | 2.5 | 11.6 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 4.7 |
| 2,477 | 1.8 | 9.0 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 3.7 |
| 2,703 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.9 |
| 2,928 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| 3,153 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| 3,378 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| 3,604 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 3,829 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 4,054 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 4,279 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 4,505 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 4,730 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 4,955 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 5,180 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 5,405 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Mean/Trip | 275 | 1,305 | 579 | 354 | 454 |

## 6 Recreational Size Limit Analysis

Amendment 13C increased the recreational size limit to 12" TL. The management measure went into effect in October 2006. Analyses below assumes the effect of the 12" TL would be realized in the future.

Table 47. Estimate of harvest reduction associated with the size limit for (1) headboat, (2) private MRFSS, (3) charter MRFSS, (4) private/charter MRFSS combined, and (5) all recreational sectors combined. Assumes a release mortality of 25\%. Assumes compliance with size limit.

|  | Estimated Harvest Reductions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Limit | Headboat | Private | Charter | MRFSS | Combined |
| 12 inch | 34.3 | 37.1 | 18.7 | 27.5 | 31.7 |
| 13 inch | 54.2 | 47.2 | 33.9 | 40.2 | 48.7 |
| 14 inch | 63.8 | 55.7 | 50.8 | 53.1 | 59.6 |

Table 48. Estimate of harvest reduction associated with the size limit for (1) headboat, (2) private MRFSS, (3) charter MRFSS, (4) private/charter MRFSS combined, and (5) all recreational sectors combined. Assumes a release mortality of 25\%. Assumes noncompliance with size limit.

| Size Limit | Estimated Harvest Reductions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headboat | Private | Charter | MRFSS | Combined |
|  | 23.3 | 19.6 | 14.2 | 16.8 | 20.8 |
| 13 inch | 48.5 | 34.3 | 30.6 | 32.4 | 42.2 |
| 14 inch | 60.7 | 46.8 | 48.9 | 47.9 | 55.7 |

## 7 Recreational Bag and Size Limit Analysis

Amendment 13C increased the recreational size limit to 12" TL. Analyses below assumes the effect of the 12" TL would be realized in the future. Combination \% reduction $=1$-(1-size limit $\%$ reduction)*(1-bag limit $\%$ reduction).

### 7.1 MRFSS

### 7.1.1 Charter

Table 49. Percent reductions in recreational harvest (MRFSS Charter) under different combinations of bag limits and size limits. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12 " TL. Assumes compliance with size limit. Assumes 25\% release mortality.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 20.3 | 22.2 | 24.5 | 27.2 | 30.3 | 34.1 | 38.6 | 44.3 | 52.8 |
| 13 inches | 35.2 | 36.7 | 38.6 | 40.8 | 43.3 | 46.4 | 50.1 | 54.7 | 61.6 |
| 14 inches | 51.8 | 52.9 | 54.3 | 56.0 | 57.9 | 60.1 | 62.9 | 66.3 | 71.4 |

Table 50. Percent reductions in recreational harvest (MRFSS Charter) under different combinations of bag limits and size limits. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL. Assumes non-compliance with size limit. Assumes $25 \%$ release mortality.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 12 inches | 15.9 | 17.9 | 20.3 | 23.2 | 26.5 | 30.4 | 35.2 | 41.2 | 50.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 inches | 32.0 | 33.6 | 35.6 | 37.9 | 40.5 | 43.7 | 47.6 | 52.4 | 59.7 |
| 14 inches | 49.9 | 51.1 | 52.5 | 54.2 | 56.2 | 58.6 | 61.4 | 65.0 | 70.3 |

Table 51. Percent reductions in recreational harvest (MRFSS Charter) under different combinations of bag limits and size limits. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL. Assumes non-compliance with size limit and excludes captain and crew. Assumes 25\% release mortality.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 16.9 | 18.7 | 21.5 | 23.5 | 26.5 | 30.6 | 35.8 | 42.5 | 53.9 |
| 13 inches | 32.8 | 34.2 | 36.5 | 38.1 | 40.5 | 43.8 | 48.1 | 53.5 | 62.8 |
| 14 inches | 50.5 | 51.6 | 53.2 | 54.4 | 56.2 | 58.6 | 61.8 | 65.7 | 72.6 |

### 7.1.2 Private

Table 52. Percent reductions in recreational harvest (MRFSS Private) under different combinations of bag limits. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL.
Assumes compliance with size limit. Does not consider non-compliance with size limit.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 37.3 | 37.5 | 38.2 | 39.0 | 40.2 | 42.0 | 44.5 | 48.0 | 53.4 |
| 13 inches | 47.3 | 47.5 | 48.0 | 48.8 | 49.8 | 51.2 | 53.4 | 56.3 | 60.8 |
| 14 inches | 55.8 | 56.0 | 56.4 | 57.0 | 57.9 | 59.1 | 60.9 | 63.4 | 67.1 |

Table 53. Percent reductions in recreational harvest (MRFSS Private) under different combinations of bag limits. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL. Assumes non-compliance with size limit. Takes into consideration non-compliance with size limit.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 19.8 | 20.1 | 20.9 | 22.1 | 23.6 | 25.9 | 29.1 | 33.6 | 40.4 |
| 13 inches | 34.4 | 34.7 | 35.4 | 36.3 | 37.5 | 39.4 | 42.0 | 45.7 | 51.3 |
| 14 inches | 46.9 | 47.1 | 47.6 | 48.4 | 49.4 | 50.9 | 53.0 | 56.0 | 60.5 |

### 7.1.3 Charter/Private Combined

Table 54. Estimate of MRFSS harvest reduction (percent) under various catch limits using data from 1999-2005. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL. Based on proportion of landings represented by Charter (52\%) and Private (48\%) sectors. Assumes compliance with size limit.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 28.3 | 29.4 | 30.8 | 32.5 | 34.6 | 37.3 | 40.8 | 45.4 | 52.3 |
| 13 inches | 40.9 | 41.8 | 42.9 | 44.4 | 46.1 | 48.3 | 51.2 | 55.0 | 60.7 |
| 14 inches | 53.7 | 54.3 | 55.3 | 56.4 | 57.7 | 59.5 | 61.8 | 64.7 | 69.2 |

Table 55. Estimate of MRFSS harvest reduction (percent) under various catch limits using data from 1999-2005. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL. Based on proportion of landings represented by Charter (52\%) and Private (48\%) sectors. Assumes non-compliance with size limit.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 17.7 | 18.9 | 20.6 | 22.5 | 25.0 | 28.1 | 32.1 | 37.4 | 45.3 |


| 13 inches | 33.1 | 34.1 | 35.4 | 37.0 | 39.0 | 41.5 | 44.8 | 49.1 | 55.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 inches | 48.5 | 49.2 | 50.2 | 51.5 | 53.0 | 55.0 | 57.5 | 60.8 | 65.7 |

Table 56. Estimate of MRFSS harvest reduction (percent) under various catch limits using data from 1999-2005. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL. Based on proportion of landings represented by Charter (52\%) and Private (48\%) sectors. Assumes non-compliance with size limit and excludes captain and crew.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 18.3 | 19.3 | 21.1 | 22.7 | 25.0 | 28.2 | 32.4 | 38.0 | 47.2 |
| 13 inches | 33.6 | 34.4 | 35.9 | 37.2 | 39.0 | 41.6 | 45.1 | 49.6 | 57.1 |
| 14 inches | 48.8 | 49.5 | 50.6 | 51.6 | 53.0 | 55.0 | 57.7 | 61.2 | 66.9 |

### 7.2 Headboat

Table 57. Estimate of Headboat harvest reduction (percent) under various catch limits using data from 1999-2005. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL.
Assumes compliance with size limit.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 36.5 | 38.6 | 42.3 | 45.9 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 59.3 | 65.1 | 71.8 |
| 13 inches | 55.7 | 57.2 | 59.7 | 62.2 | 65.1 | 68.0 | 71.6 | 75.7 | 80.3 |
| 14 inches | 64.9 | 66.1 | 68.1 | 70.1 | 72.4 | 74.7 | 77.5 | 80.7 | 84.4 |

Table 58. Estimate of Headboat harvest reduction (percent) under various catch limits using data from 1999-2005. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL.
Assumes non-compliance with size limit.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 25.8 | 28.3 | 32.6 | 36.8 | 41.6 | 46.5 | 52.5 | 59.3 | 67.1 |
| 13 inches | 50.2 | 51.9 | 54.7 | 57.6 | 60.8 | 64.1 | 68.1 | 72.7 | 77.9 |
| 14 inches | 62.0 | 63.3 | 65.5 | 67.6 | 70.1 | 72.6 | 75.7 | 79.1 | 83.1 |

Table 59. Estimate of Headboat harvest reduction (percent) under various catch limits using data from 1999-2005. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL.
Assumes non-compliance with size limit, excludes captain and crew.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 26.8 | 30.3 | 32.5 | 37.4 | 41.6 | 46.3 | 50.6 | 56.4 | 62.9 |
| 13 inches | 50.9 | 53.2 | 54.7 | 58.0 | 60.8 | 64.0 | 66.8 | 70.7 | 75.1 |
| 14 inches | 62.5 | 64.3 | 65.4 | 67.9 | 70.1 | 72.5 | 74.7 | 77.6 | 81.0 |

### 7.3 Headboat/MRFSS Combined

Table 60. Estimate of Headboat/MRFSS harvest reduction (percent) under various catch limits using data from 1999-2005. Amendment 13C increased the size limit to 12" TL. Based on proportion of landings represented by Headboat (61\%) and MRFSS (39\%) sectors. Assumes compliance with size limit.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 33.5 | 35.2 | 38.1 | 41.0 | 44.4 | 48.1 | 53.2 | 58.1 | 64.9 |
| 13 inches | 50.2 | 51.5 | 53.7 | 55.9 | 58.4 | 61.2 | 65.0 | 68.6 | 73.7 |
| 14 inches | 60.8 | 61.8 | 63.5 | 65.2 | 67.3 | 69.4 | 72.4 | 75.3 | 79.3 |

Table 61. Estimate of Headboat/MRFSS harvest reduction (percent) under various catch limits using data from 1999-2005. Based on proportion of landings represented by Headboat (61\%) and MRFSS (39\%) sectors. Includes effect of increasing size limit to 12" TL. Takes into consideration non-compliance with size limit.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 ~ i n c h e s ~}$ | 29.2 | 31.1 | 34.2 | 37.3 | 40.9 | 44.8 | 50.2 | 55.4 | 62.7 |
| 13 inches | 47.0 | 48.4 | 50.6 | 53.0 | 55.7 | 58.7 | 62.7 | 66.6 | 72.0 |
| 14 inches | 58.6 | 59.7 | 61.5 | 63.3 | 65.4 | 67.7 | 70.9 | 73.9 | 78.1 |

Table 62. Estimate of Headboat/MRFSS harvest reduction (percent) under various catch limits using data from 1999-2005. Based on proportion of landings represented by Headboat (61\%) and MRFSS (39\%) sectors. Includes effect of increasing size limit to 12" TL. Takes into consideration non-compliance with size limit, excludes captain and crew.

| Min Size | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 inches | 29.4 | 31.2 | 34.3 | 37.4 | 40.9 | 44.9 | 49.8 | 55.7 | 63.3 |
| 13 inches | 47.1 | 48.5 | 50.8 | 53.1 | 55.7 | 58.7 | 62.4 | 66.8 | 72.5 |
| 14 inches | 58.7 | 59.7 | 61.6 | 63.3 | 65.4 | 67.7 | 70.6 | 74.0 | 78.5 |

## 8 Combined Effects of Size Limit, Bag Limit, and Seasonal Closure

Amendment 13C increased the recreational size limit to 12" TL. Analyses below assumes the effect of the 12 " TL would be realized in the future. Combination \% reduction for bag limit and seasonal closure = 1-(1-bag limit \% reduction)*(1-closure $\%$ reduction). Combination \% reduction for bag limit/seasonal closure and size limit = 1-(1bag limit, closure \% reduction)*(1-size limit \% reduction).

Table 63. Reduction from size limit, bag limit, and seasonal closure. Assumes 25\% release mortality, non compliance with size limit, and excludes captain and crew.
Vermilion Snapper 12" TL size limit; $89 \%$ effectiveness of seasonal closure

| closure | open | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sept-may | June-Aug | $66.40 \%$ | $67.27 \%$ | $68.75 \%$ | $70.19 \%$ | $71.89 \%$ | $73.76 \%$ | $76.11 \%$ | $78.89 \%$ | $82.52 \%$ |
| sept-april | May-Aug | $58.01 \%$ | $59.09 \%$ | $60.94 \%$ | $62.74 \%$ | $64.86 \%$ | $67.20 \%$ | $70.14 \%$ | $73.62 \%$ | $78.16 \%$ |
| oct-april | May-Sept | $52.31 \%$ | $53.54 \%$ | $55.65 \%$ | $57.69 \%$ | $60.09 \%$ | $62.75 \%$ | $66.09 \%$ | $70.04 \%$ | $75.19 \%$ |
| oct-may 15 | May 16-Sept | $56.51 \%$ | $57.63 \%$ | $59.55 \%$ | $61.41 \%$ | $63.61 \%$ | $66.03 \%$ | $69.08 \%$ | $72.68 \%$ | $77.38 \%$ |
| oct-may 22 | May 23-Sept | $58.61 \%$ | $59.68 \%$ | $61.50 \%$ | $63.28 \%$ | $65.36 \%$ | $67.67 \%$ | $70.57 \%$ | $74.00 \%$ | $78.47 \%$ |
| oct-may | June -Sept | $60.71 \%$ | $61.72 \%$ | $63.46 \%$ | $65.14 \%$ | $67.12 \%$ | $69.31 \%$ | $72.06 \%$ | $75.31 \%$ | $79.56 \%$ |
| nov-april | May-Oct | $46.33 \%$ | $47.71 \%$ | $50.08 \%$ | $52.38 \%$ | $55.08 \%$ | $58.08 \%$ | $61.84 \%$ | $66.28 \%$ | $72.08 \%$ |
| nov-mar | April-Oct | $40.99 \%$ | $42.52 \%$ | $45.12 \%$ | $47.65 \%$ | $50.62 \%$ | $53.91 \%$ | $58.05 \%$ | $62.93 \%$ | $69.30 \%$ |
| dec-mar | April-Nov | $38.21 \%$ | $39.80 \%$ | $42.53 \%$ | $45.17 \%$ | $48.29 \%$ | $51.73 \%$ | $56.07 \%$ | $61.18 \%$ | $67.85 \%$ |
| dec-feb | Mar-Nov | $34.83 \%$ | $36.51 \%$ | $39.38 \%$ | $42.17 \%$ | $45.46 \%$ | $49.10 \%$ | $53.66 \%$ | $59.05 \%$ | $66.10 \%$ |
| jan-feb | Mar-Dec | $33.34 \%$ | $35.06 \%$ | $38.00 \%$ | $40.86 \%$ | $44.22 \%$ | $47.93 \%$ | $52.61 \%$ | $58.12 \%$ | $65.32 \%$ |
| jan-mar | Apr-Dec | $36.72 \%$ | $38.35 \%$ | $41.14 \%$ | $43.85 \%$ | $47.05 \%$ | $50.57 \%$ | $55.01 \%$ | $60.24 \%$ | $67.08 \%$ |
| jan-apr | May-Dec | $42.05 \%$ | $43.55 \%$ | $46.10 \%$ | $48.58 \%$ | $51.51 \%$ | $54.74 \%$ | $58.80 \%$ | $63.59 \%$ | $69.85 \%$ |
| sept-oct | nov-aug | $41.10 \%$ | $42.62 \%$ | $45.21 \%$ | $47.74 \%$ | $50.71 \%$ | $53.99 \%$ | $58.12 \%$ | $62.99 \%$ | $69.36 \%$ |
| no closure | All year | $29.41 \%$ | $31.23 \%$ | $34.35 \%$ | $37.37 \%$ | $40.93 \%$ | $44.87 \%$ | $49.81 \%$ | $55.65 \%$ | $63.28 \%$ |

Table 64. Reduction from size limit, bag limit, and seasonal closure. Assumes 25\% release mortality, non compliance with size limit, and excludes captain and crew. Vermilion Snapper 13" TL size limit; 89\% effectiveness of seasonal closure.

| closure | open | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sept-may | June-Aug | $74.82 \%$ | $75.47 \%$ | $75.47 \%$ | $77.66 \%$ | $78.93 \%$ | $80.33 \%$ | $82.10 \%$ | $84.18 \%$ | $86.90 \%$ |
| sept-april | May-Aug | $68.52 \%$ | $69.34 \%$ | $69.34 \%$ | $72.07 \%$ | $73.66 \%$ | $75.41 \%$ | $77.62 \%$ | $80.22 \%$ | $83.63 \%$ |
| oct-april | May-Sept | $64.25 \%$ | $65.18 \%$ | $65.18 \%$ | $68.28 \%$ | $70.09 \%$ | $72.08 \%$ | $74.58 \%$ | $77.54 \%$ | $81.40 \%$ |
| oct-may 15 | May 16-Sept | $67.17 \%$ | $68.02 \%$ | $68.02 \%$ | $70.87 \%$ | $72.53 \%$ | $74.36 \%$ | $76.66 \%$ | $79.38 \%$ | $82.92 \%$ |
| oct-may 22 | May 23-Sept | $67.40 \%$ | $68.24 \%$ | $68.24 \%$ | $71.08 \%$ | $72.72 \%$ | $74.54 \%$ | $76.82 \%$ | $79.52 \%$ | $83.04 \%$ |
| oct-may | June -Sept | $68.97 \%$ | $69.77 \%$ | $69.77 \%$ | $72.47 \%$ | $74.04 \%$ | $75.77 \%$ | $77.94 \%$ | $80.51 \%$ | $83.86 \%$ |
| nov-april | May-Oct | $59.77 \%$ | $60.80 \%$ | $68.02 \%$ | $64.30 \%$ | $66.33 \%$ | $68.58 \%$ | $71.39 \%$ | $74.72 \%$ | $79.07 \%$ |
| nov-mar | April-Oct | $55.77 \%$ | $56.91 \%$ | $56.91 \%$ | $60.76 \%$ | $62.99 \%$ | $65.45 \%$ | $68.55 \%$ | $72.21 \%$ | $76.99 \%$ |
| dec-mar | April-Nov | $53.68 \%$ | $54.87 \%$ | $54.87 \%$ | $58.90 \%$ | $61.24 \%$ | $63.82 \%$ | $67.07 \%$ | $70.90 \%$ | $75.90 \%$ |
| dec-feb | Mar-Nov | $51.15 \%$ | $52.41 \%$ | $52.41 \%$ | $56.65 \%$ | $59.12 \%$ | $61.84 \%$ | $65.27 \%$ | $69.31 \%$ | $74.59 \%$ |
| jan-feb | Mar-Dec | $50.03 \%$ | $51.32 \%$ | $51.32 \%$ | $55.67 \%$ | $58.19 \%$ | $60.97 \%$ | $64.47 \%$ | $68.61 \%$ | $74.01 \%$ |
| jan-mar | Apr-Dec | $52.57 \%$ | $53.79 \%$ | $53.79 \%$ | $57.91 \%$ | $60.31 \%$ | $62.95 \%$ | $66.27 \%$ | $70.20 \%$ | $75.32 \%$ |
| jan-apr | May-Dec | $56.56 \%$ | $57.68 \%$ | $57.68 \%$ | $61.46 \%$ | $63.65 \%$ | $66.07 \%$ | $69.12 \%$ | $72.71 \%$ | $77.40 \%$ |
| sept-oct | nov-aug | $55.85 \%$ | $56.99 \%$ | $56.99 \%$ | $60.82 \%$ | $63.05 \%$ | $65.51 \%$ | $68.61 \%$ | $72.26 \%$ | $77.03 \%$ |
| no closure | All year | $47.09 \%$ | $48.45 \%$ | $48.45 \%$ | $53.05 \%$ | $55.72 \%$ | $58.67 \%$ | $62.38 \%$ | $66.76 \%$ | $72.47 \%$ |

Table 65. Reduction from size limit, bag limit, and seasonal closure. Assumes 25\% release mortality, non compliance with size limit, and excludes captain and crew.
Vermilion Snapper 14" TL size limit; 88\% effectiveness of seasonal closure.

| closure | open | 9 fish | 8 fish | 7 fish | 6 fish | 5 fish | 4 fish | 3 fish | 2 fish | 1 fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sept-may | June-Aug | $80.09 \%$ | $80.60 \%$ | $80.60 \%$ | $82.33 \%$ | $83.34 \%$ | $84.45 \%$ | $85.84 \%$ | $87.49 \%$ | $89.64 \%$ |
| sept-april | May-Aug | $75.23 \%$ | $75.87 \%$ | $75.87 \%$ | $78.02 \%$ | $79.27 \%$ | $80.65 \%$ | $82.39 \%$ | $84.44 \%$ | $87.11 \%$ |
| oct-april | May-Sept | $71.93 \%$ | $72.65 \%$ | $72.65 \%$ | $75.09 \%$ | $76.51 \%$ | $78.08 \%$ | $80.04 \%$ | $82.36 \%$ | $85.40 \%$ |
| oct-may 15 | May 16-Sept | $74.36 \%$ | $75.02 \%$ | $75.02 \%$ | $77.25 \%$ | $78.54 \%$ | $79.97 \%$ | $81.77 \%$ | $83.89 \%$ | $86.66 \%$ |
| oct-may 22 | May 23-Sept | $75.58 \%$ | $76.21 \%$ | $76.21 \%$ | $78.33 \%$ | $79.56 \%$ | $80.92 \%$ | $82.63 \%$ | $84.66 \%$ | $87.29 \%$ |
| nov-april | May-Oct | $68.47 \%$ | $69.28 \%$ | $69.28 \%$ | $72.02 \%$ | $73.61 \%$ | $75.37 \%$ | $77.58 \%$ | $80.19 \%$ | $83.60 \%$ |
| nov-mar | April-Oct | $65.38 \%$ | $66.27 \%$ | $66.27 \%$ | $69.28 \%$ | $71.03 \%$ | $72.96 \%$ | $75.39 \%$ | $78.25 \%$ | $81.99 \%$ |
| dec-mar | April-Nov | $63.77 \%$ | $64.70 \%$ | $64.70 \%$ | $67.85 \%$ | $69.68 \%$ | $71.70 \%$ | $74.24 \%$ | $77.23 \%$ | $81.15 \%$ |
| dec-feb | Mar-Nov | $61.81 \%$ | $62.79 \%$ | $62.79 \%$ | $66.11 \%$ | $68.04 \%$ | $70.17 \%$ | $72.85 \%$ | $76.01 \%$ | $80.13 \%$ |
| jan-feb | Mar-Dec | $60.95 \%$ | $61.96 \%$ | $61.96 \%$ | $65.35 \%$ | $67.32 \%$ | $69.50 \%$ | $72.24 \%$ | $75.47 \%$ | $79.68 \%$ |
| jan-mar | Apr-Dec | $62.90 \%$ | $63.86 \%$ | $63.86 \%$ | $67.09 \%$ | $68.96 \%$ | $71.03 \%$ | $73.63 \%$ | $76.69 \%$ | $80.70 \%$ |
| jan-apr | May-Dec | $65.99 \%$ | $66.87 \%$ | $66.87 \%$ | $69.83 \%$ | $71.54 \%$ | $73.44 \%$ | $75.82 \%$ | $78.63 \%$ | $82.31 \%$ |
| sept-oct | nov-aug | $65.44 \%$ | $66.33 \%$ | $66.33 \%$ | $69.33 \%$ | $71.08 \%$ | $73.00 \%$ | $75.43 \%$ | $78.29 \%$ | $82.02 \%$ |
| no closure | All year | $58.68 \%$ | $59.74 \%$ | $59.74 \%$ | $63.33 \%$ | $65.42 \%$ | $67.72 \%$ | $70.62 \%$ | $74.04 \%$ | $78.50 \%$ |

## 9 Recreational Boat Limits

### 9.1 Headboat

Table 66. Reduction in harvest of vermilion snapper caught based on boat limit (number) for headboats using data from 1999-2005. Includes reduction from 12" size limit.
Assumes 25\% release mortality.

| Vessel Limit <br> Number | Reduction <br> Compliance | Reduction Non <br> Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 63.9 | 57.9 |
| 95 | 63.5 | 58.8 |
| 90 | 61.8 | 59.7 |
| 85 | 48.6 | 60.6 |
| 80 | 49.8 | 61.5 |
| 70 | 52.3 | 63.5 |
| 65 | 53.7 | 64.5 |
| 60 | 55.0 | 65.5 |
| 55 | 56.4 | 66.6 |
| 50 | 57.8 | 67.7 |
| 45 | 59.4 | 68.8 |
| 40 | 60.9 | 70.0 |
| 35 | 62.5 | 71.2 |
| 30 | 64.1 | 72.4 |
| 25 | 65.8 | 73.8 |
| 20 | 67.6 | 75.1 |
| 15 | 69.5 | 76.6 |
| 10 | 71.5 | 78.2 |
| 9 | 72.0 | 78.5 |
| 8 | 72.4 | 78.8 |


| 7 | 72.9 | 79.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 73.3 | 79.5 |
| 5 | 73.8 | 79.9 |
| 4 | 74.3 | 80.3 |
| 3 | 74.8 | 80.7 |
| 2 | 75.3 | 81.1 |
| 1 | 75.8 | 81.5 |

### 9.2 Charter

Table 67. Reduction in harvest of vermilion snapper caught based on boat limit (number) for charter boats (MRFSS) using data from 1999-2005. Includes reduction from 12" size limit. Assumes 25\% release mortality.

| Vessel Limit <br> Number | Reduction <br> Compliance | Reduction Non <br> Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 24.7 | 20.5 |
| 45 | 26.9 | 22.9 |
| 40 | 28.7 | 24.7 |
| 35 | 31.2 | 27.4 |
| 30 | 33.8 | 30.1 |
| 25 | 37.1 | 33.7 |
| 20 | 40.6 | 37.3 |
| 15 | 45.2 | 42.2 |
| 10 | 51.0 | 48.3 |
| 9 | 52.5 | 49.9 |
| 8 | 54.2 | 51.6 |
| 7 | 56.0 | 53.5 |
| 6 | 57.9 | 55.6 |
| 5 | 60.0 | 57.8 |
| 4 | 62.3 | 60.2 |
| 3 | 64.7 | 62.8 |
| 2 | 67.4 | 65.6 |
| 1 | 70.4 | 68.8 |

### 9.3 Private

Table 68. Reduction in harvest of vermilion snapper caught based on boat limit (number) for private boats (MRFSS) using data from 1999-2005. Includes reduction from 12" size limit. Assumes $25 \%$ release mortality.

| Vessel Limit <br> Number | Reduction <br> Compliance | Reduction <br> Non <br> Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 37.4 | 14.6 |
| 20 | 38.0 | 15.4 |
| 15 | 39.9 | 18.0 |
| 10 | 43.3 | 22.6 |


| 9 | 44.8 | 24.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 46.1 | 26.5 |
| 7 | 47.6 | 28.5 |
| 6 | 49.3 | 30.7 |
| 5 | 51.3 | 33.5 |
| 4 | 53.6 | 36.7 |
| 3 | 56.3 | 40.4 |
| 2 | 59.7 | 45.0 |
| 1 | 64.2 | 51.1 |

## 10 Post Quota Bycatch Mortality

Regulations in Amendment 16 will initially decrease the allowable commercial catch of gag from 18 to 37\%, depending on the allocation alternative selected. In addition, a 61\% reduction in commercial harvest could occur for vermilion snapper based on a recent assessment update; however, this value could change since a new age based assessment is being conducted. A variety of management measures are available to end overfishing of these species, including a commercial quota. If a commercial quota is met for gag or vermilion snapper, it is expected there would still be some catch when fishermen target co-occurring species. These species would have to be released and a percentage of the incidentally caught gag and vermilion snapper would die, depending on depth of capture. The magnitude of incidentally caught gag and vermilion snapper that die after a quota is met is referred to as post quota bycatch mortality (PQBM). The range of management measures used, how fishermen will behave in response to reduced harvest levels, and ability to avoid a species after the quota is met will affect PQBM.

## Assumptions

- Trip based logbook data are used to estimate incidental catch of vermilion snapper and gag when fishermen target co-occurring species.
- Vermilion snapper and gag are taken by many fishermen on the same trip.
- If a fisherman cannot net at least $\$ 50.00 /$ day, the trip is not included in analyses.
- In determining incidental catch of gag or vermilion snapper, a co-occurring species is targeted if at least 100 lbs whole weight is taken on a trip.
- If vermilion snapper or gag make up greater than $75 \%$ of the catch on a trip, it is not included in analyses.
- Fishermen will not use diving gear to target gag after a quota is met or during a seasonal closure.
- There will not be an increase in fishing effort before or after a seasonal closure.
- Some trips that target co-occurring species will not be taken after a quota is met. A range of 20 to $60 \%$ is used.
- Fishermen can avoid vermilion snapper and gag to some degree by changing hook size, method of fishing, and location. A range of 20 to $60 \%$ in reduction of catch is used.
- Dead discards are determined by applying release mortality rate of $40 \%$ for commercially caught vermilion snapper and gag.


## Estimate of PQBM with Quota

$50 \%$ of quota Jan-June and $50 \%$ of quota July-Dec
Table 69. Incidental catch of vermilion snapper after a January-June quota is met assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) are not taken after quota is met and fishermen can avoid vermilion snapper (range 0 to $60 \%$ ) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 136,426 | 109,141 | 65,485 | 26,194 | 74,794 | 59,835 | 35,901 | 14,361 | 74,794 | 59,835 | 35,901 | 14,361 | 46,584 | 37,267 | 22,360 | 8,944 |
| Dead Discards | 54,571 | 43,656 | 26,194 | 10,478 | 29,918 | 23,934 | 14,361 | 5,744 | 29,918 | 23,934 | 14,361 | 5,744 | 18,634 | 14,907 | 8,944 | 3,578 |

Table 70. Incidental catch of vermilion snapper after a July-December quota is met assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) are not taken after quota is met and fishermen can avoid gag (range 0 to $60 \%$ ) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 202,108 | 161,686 | 97,012 | 38,805 | 114,338 | 91,470 | 54,882 | 21,953 | 114,338 | 91,470 | 54,882 | 21,953 | 71,264 | 57,011 | 34,207 | 13,683 |
| Dead Discards | 80,843 | 64,675 | 38,805 | 15,522 | 45,735 | 36,588 | 21,953 | 8,781 | 45,735 | 36,588 | 21,953 | 8,781 | 28,506 | 22,805 | 13,683 | 5,473 |

## $40 \%$ of quota Jan-June and $60 \%$ of quota July-Dec

Table 71. Incidental catch of vermilion snapper after a January-June quota is met assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) are not taken after quota is met and fishermen can avoid vermilion snapper (range 0 to $60 \%$ ) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 148,833 | 119,067 | 71,440 | 28,576 | 82,833 | 66,267 | 39,760 | 15,904 | 72,146 | 57,717 | 34,630 | 13,852 | 53,402 | 42,722 | 25,633 | 10,253 |
| Dead Discards | 59,533 | 47,627 | 28,576 | 11,430 | 33,133 | 26,507 | 15,904 | 6,362 | 28,858 | 23,087 | 13,852 | 5,541 | 21,361 | 17,089 | 10,253 | 4,101 |

Table 72. Incidental catch of vermilion snapper after a July-December quota is met assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) are not taken after quota is met and fishermen can avoid gag (range 0 to $60 \%$ ) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 190,917 | 152,734 | 91,640 | 36,656 | 108,677 | 86,942 | 52,165 | 20,866 | 84,602 | 67,682 | 40,609 | 16,244 | 63,545 | 50,836 | 30,502 | 12,201 |
| Dead Discards | 76,367 | 61,094 | 36,656 | 14,662 | 43,471 | 34,777 | 20,866 | 8,346 | 33,841 | 27,073 | 16,244 | 6,497 | 25,418 | 20,334 | 12,201 | 4,880 |

50\% of quota Jan-Aug and 50\% of quota Sept-Dec
Table 73. Incidental catch of vermilion snapper after a January-August quota is met assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) are not taken after quota is met and fishermen can avoid vermilion snapper (range 0 to $60 \%$ ) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 237,776 | 190,221 | 114,133 | 45,653 | 134,180 | 107,344 | 64,406 | 25,763 | 107,590 | 86,072 | 51,643 | 20,657 | 76,287 | 61,029 | 36,618 | 14,647 |
| Dead Discards | 95,111 | 76,088 | 45,653 | 18,261 | 53,672 | 42,938 | 25,763 | 10,305 | 43,036 | 34,429 | 20,657 | 8,263 | 30,515 | 24,412 | 14,647 | 5,859 |

Table 74. Incidental catch of vermilion snapper after a September-December quota is met assuming a range in trips ( 0 to $60 \%$ ) are not taken after quota is met and fishermen can avoid gag (range 0 to $60 \%$ ) by changing fishing methods.

| Trip reduction after quota | 0\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of discards avoided | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% |
| Discards | 122,189 | 97,751 | 58,651 | 23,460 | 67,003 | 53,602 | 32,161 | 12,865 | 39,544 | 31,635 | 18,981 | 7,592 | 41,728 | 33,383 | 20,030 | 8,012 |
| Dead Discards | 48,876 | 39,101 | 23,460 | 9,384 | 26,801 | 21,441 | 12,865 | 5,146 | 15,817 | 12,654 | 7,592 | 3,037 | 16,691 | 13,353 | 8,012 | 3,205 |
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