#### Science - Strategic Goal for Draft Vision Blueprint

#### **Background:**

In December 2012, the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) began its Visioning Project to construct a long-term vision for the snapper grouper fishery through development of a strategic plan for the fishery that would guide management actions into the future. This strategic plan, called the *Vision Blueprint*, consists of four strategic goals – *Science*, *Communication, Management, and Governance*. Each strategic goal will have specific goals, objectives and action strategies that will be used by the Council to guide future management of the snapper grouper fishery.

Following an approach similar to what the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council used to draft a strategic plan for its managed fisheries, the Council sought stakeholder input early in the process and held a series of 26 informal meetings to solicit stakeholder input in coastal communities throughout the South Atlantic region. Because stakeholder needs and perceptions can vary widely, the Council has been careful not to exclude any input pertaining to the management of the snapper grouper fishery. Therefore, at this stage of the process, items that have been considered in the past as possible management tools but have not been developed further, will continue to be included among the many tools the Council may consider for long-term management of the snapper grouper fishery in the South Atlantic region. As such, the table below encompasses management actions/strategies as suggested by stakeholders in the fishery as well as those brought forth by the Council members themselves. The goals and objectives are not in order of priority and are all considered draft at this time. As the draft Vision Blueprint for the Snapper Grouper Fishery takes shape in 2015, the Council will provide their rationale for management strategies that are eventually excluded from further consideration.

#### **SCIENCE**

GOAL: Management decisions are based upon robust, defensible science that considers qualitative and quantitative data analyzed in a timely, clear,			
		t builds stakeholder confidence.	
Objective 1.	Strategy 1.1	Evaluate existing data collection, monitoring, and reporting	
Promote collection of quality		programs affecting fisheries managed by the Council.	
data to support management	Actions:	A. Evaluate fishery dependent and independent data	
plans and programs		programs.	
considered by the Council.		<b>B.</b> Evaluate SEDAR.	
considered by the council.		C. Validate data collection programs.	
		D. Identify sampling resources needed to support data programs.	
		E. Improve the timeliness of SAFE reports.	
		F. Consider utilizing third party assessments using a	
		standard stock assessment process.	
	Strategy 1.2	Encourage consistency in data collection programs that	
		incorporates standardized methods, reporting requirements and formats across the South Atlantic region.	

	Actions:	A. Utilize ACCSP standards for data collection.
	Actions.	
		B. Support efforts to create a uniform reporting
		mechanism for trip tickets and logbooks (C,FH).
	Strategy 1.3	Support improvement and expansion of fishery independent
		programs.
	Actions:	<ul> <li>A. Identify alternative sources of funding to support</li> </ul>
		expansion of fishery independent surveys.
		<b>B.</b> Work with management partners to secure long-term
		funding for the MARMAP survey.
		<b>C.</b> Support creation of a comprehensive data portal that
		provides access to all fishery independent data.
Objective 2.	Strategy 2.1	Promote and expand opportunities for cooperative research
Encourage development of		and surveys in the South Atlantic region.
	Actions:	A. Identify sources of funding (both traditional and non-
mechanisms to effectively	Actions.	traditional) for cooperative research and surveys.
engage and collaborate with		B. Improve partnerships between potential researchers
stakeholders on cooperative		
research, data collection and		and fishermen. (ALL)
analysis.		<b>C.</b> Support partnerships to enhance habitat and ecosystem
		mapping in the region.
		<b>D.</b> Support a multi-disciplinary body to oversee and guide
		cooperative fishery independent surveys, monitoring,
		and research.
		<b>E.</b> Consider use of an industry research set-aside funding
		program to support fishery research and monitoring
		needs. (C)
		F. Utilize fishing vessels and captains as alternative
		observer platforms. (ALL)
	Strategy 2.2	Support development of citizen science programs for data
		collection needs in the snapper grouper fishery.
	Actions:	A. Support a volunteer angler training program to collect
		specific data to address a science or management need.
		(ALL)
		<b>B.</b> Develop methods to incorporate volunteer data for use
		in stock assessments, and other management measures.
		(FH,R)
		C.
Objective 3.	Strategy 3.1	Support collection of relevant economic and social data to
Improve knowledge about	3	produce analyses that allows Council to consider effects of
		management on fishing communities.
the social and economic	Actions:	A. Evaluate cumulative social and economic impacts of
elements of the snapper	ACCIONS:	proposed and existing management measures and
grouper fishery in the South		alternatives.
Atlantic.		
		<b>B.</b> Support data collection that considers economics when
		determining allocation strategies.
		<b>C.</b> Develop partnerships with research institutions,
		agencies and other organizations with appropriate
		expertise to collect relevant and timely social and economic data to support the data needs of the Council.

Objective 4.	Strategy 4.1	Consider a wide range of monitoring options for the snapper
Support improved and	3	grouper fishery that will meet a specific management
expanded monitoring and		objective.
reporting programs for the	Actions:	A. Consider the use of observers in the fishery to monitor
snapper grouper fishery.		for a specific management issue.
shapper grouper hishery.		<b>B.</b> Support the use of observer data to improve discard
		rate estimates.
		<b>C.</b> Consider development and use of appropriate
		electronic monitoring methods (scale, cost, approach,
		etc.)
		<b>D.</b> Support for law enforcement to enforce monitoring
		requirements.
	Strategy 4.2	Support further development of reporting mechanisms for
		all sectors in the snapper grouper fishery.
	Actions:	Reporting mechanisms that could be improved and
		considered include:
		A. Development of a reporting program for the recreational sector.
		B. Use of electronic reporting mechanisms for all sectors of
		the fishery (mobile apps, cellphones, web-based, etc.)
		<b>C.</b> Swipecards for the recreational sector.
		<b>D.</b> A recreational fishing stamp/permit/license for the
		snapper grouper fishery.
		E. Increase dockside biological sampling for the
		recreational sector.
		<b>F.</b> Catch card reporting program for specific species.
		<b>G.</b> Improvements to existing logbook programs (Better
		resolution on logbook grids, Vessel Trip Report in
		discard logbook, etc.)
		H. Incentives for reporting in all sectors.
		I. Consequences for lack of reporting.
		J. Support for law enforcement to enforce reporting
		requirements.
		K. Increase bycatch/discard reporting.
		L. Implement Standard Bycatch Reporting Methodology
		M. Develop a model to improve discard rate estimates for
Ohio stirre E	Chunt	all sectors.
Objective 5.	Strategy 5.1	Consider assessment of ecosystem and habitat data needs
Promote data collection and	Actions:	for the snapper grouper fishery.
analysis to support ecosystem	Actions:	<b>A.</b> Improve understanding and consider species interaction with habitats and ecosystems.
and habitat considerations		B. Study the non-fishing ecosystem drivers.
for the snapper grouper		C. Consider how to utilize ocean monitoring to support
fishery.		management decisions.
		<b>D.</b> Improve understanding of the effects of contaminants
		on habitats/ecosystems.
		E. Evaluate ocean dumping and impacts to habitat/
		ecosystems.
		<b>F.</b> Improve timeliness and accuracy of bottom mapping.
		<b>G.</b> Determine how habitats contribute to production of
		managed species and the distribution of different life

		1
	н.	Evaluate habitat/ecosystem damage from disturbance
		(anchors, gear, fishing activities, etc.)
Strategy 5.2	Cons	sider climate change impacts when developing
	man	agement decisions for the snapper grouper fishery.
Actions	A.	Support development of metrics to evaluate climate
		change.
	В.	Evaluate the impact of sea level rise on the fishery.
	C.	Evaluate impacts of cold water intrusion on the fishery.
	D.	Evaluate impacts of ocean acidification on the fishery.
Strategy 5.3	Supp	port modeling efforts that incorporate habitat and
	ecos	ystem considerations for management of the snapper
	grou	per fishery.
Actions	A.	Utilize ecosystem modeling.
	В.	Use climate change impacts on species in assessments.
	C.	Support a simulation model showing ecosystem impacts
		between fisheries.
	D.	Consider expanding the geographical boundary of the
		Snapper Grouper Fishery Management Unit as species spread.
	E.	Monitor changes in species distribution and
		abundance.
	F.	Address impacts of non-indigenous species on the
		fishery and habitats that support the fishery.
	G.	Analyze the impacts of management on non-targeted
		species.
	н.	Improve understanding of predator-prey interactions on
		snapper grouper species.
	I.	Consider species habitat models.
	J.	Consider external sources of recruitment.

#### **Governance - Strategic Goal for Draft Vision Blueprint**

#### **Background:**

In December 2012, the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) began its Visioning Project to construct a long-term vision for the snapper grouper fishery through development of a strategic plan for the fishery that would guide management actions into the future. This strategic plan, called the *Vision Blueprint*, consists of four strategic goals – *Science*, *Communication, Management, and Governance*. Each strategic goal will have specific goals, objectives and action strategies that will be used by the Council to guide future management of the snapper grouper fishery.

Following an approach similar to what the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council used to draft a strategic plan for its managed fisheries, the Council sought stakeholder input early in the process and held a series of 26 informal meetings to solicit stakeholder input in coastal communities throughout the South Atlantic region. Because stakeholder needs and perceptions can vary widely, the Council has been careful not to exclude any input pertaining to the management of the snapper grouper fishery. Therefore, at this stage of the process, items that have been considered in the past as possible management tools but have not been developed further, will continue to be included among the many tools the Council may consider for long-term management of the snapper grouper fishery in the South Atlantic region. As such, the table below encompasses management actions/strategies as suggested by stakeholders in the fishery as well as those brought forth by the Council members themselves. The goals and objectives are not in order of priority and are all considered draft at this time. As the draft Vision Blueprint for the Snapper Grouper Fishery takes shape in 2015, the Council will provide their rationale for management strategies that are eventually excluded from further consideration.

#### **GOVERNANCE**

GOAL: Commit to a transparent, balanced, and timely decision-making process that allows flexible yet well-defined protocols and strategies.			
Objective 1. Create an accountable and	Strategy 1.1	Support an efficient decision making process for development of management measures for the snapper	
flexible decision making process for development and evaluation of management measures.	Actions:	<ul> <li>A. Evaluate the current Council process.</li> <li>B. Consider mechanisms for streamlining the process of amendment development.</li> <li>C. Consider alternative methods for collecting public input on proposed management actions.</li> <li>D. Ensure timely access of amendment documents and other materials to the Council and the public.</li> <li>E. Develop mechanisms for evaluating the decision making process to ensure consistency and accountability.</li> <li>F. Consider how scientific information is incorporated into management actions and provide flexibility in this process.</li> </ul>	

Objective 2. Build capacity to streamline management efforts and better coordinate with management partners.	Actions:	Enhance existing and develop new partnerships with agencies, academic institutions, and other organizations to support comprehensive management strategies for the snapper grouper fishery.  A. Establish working relationships with non-fishery entities and agencies (offshore energy development, etc.) for future marine management issues.  B. Strengthen relationships with existing fishery management partners to clearly establish roles and responsibilities.  C. Consider involvement with regional planning initiatives
		affecting the snapper grouper fishery in the region. <b>D.</b> Expand partnerships with academic and research
		institutions to coordinate fisheries science research to address data needs within the snapper grouper fishery.
Objective 3.	Strategy 3.1	Support a formal and informal process for engaging
Improve communication with		stakeholders in the snapper grouper fishery.
stakeholders to ensure the	Actions:	A. Provide information to stakeholders that is timely and in
needs of the fishery are		an appropriate format about proposed management
understood and considered		actions.
throughout the Council		B. Consider alternative methods for collecting public input.
process.		<b>C.</b> Evaluate the composition of advisory panels, committees, etc. to ensure representation meets the
		interests and needs of the fishery.

#### **Management - Strategic Goal for Draft Vision Blueprint**

#### **Background:**

In December 2012, the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) began its Visioning Project to construct a long-term vision for the snapper grouper fishery through development of a strategic plan for the fishery that would guide management actions into the future. This strategic plan, called the *Vision Blueprint*, consists of four strategic goals – *Science*, *Communication, Management, and Governance*. Each strategic goal will have specific goals, objectives and action strategies that will be used by the Council to guide future management of the snapper grouper fishery.

Following an approach similar to what the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council used to draft a strategic plan for its managed fisheries, the Council sought stakeholder input early in the process and held a series of 26 informal meetings to solicit stakeholder input in coastal communities throughout the South Atlantic region. Because stakeholder needs and perceptions can vary widely, the Council has been careful not to exclude any input pertaining to the management of the snapper grouper fishery. Therefore, at this stage of the process, items that have been considered in the past as possible management tools but have not been developed further, will continue to be included among the many tools the Council may consider for long-term management of the snapper grouper fishery in the South Atlantic region. As such, the table below encompasses management actions/strategies as suggested by stakeholders in the fishery as well as those brought forth by the Council members themselves. The goals and objectives are not in order of priority and are all considered draft at this time. As the draft Vision Blueprint for the Snapper Grouper Fishery takes shape in 2015, the Council will provide their rationale for management strategies that are eventually excluded from further consideration.

#### **MANAGEMENT**

GOAL: Adopt management strategies that rebuild and maintain fishery		
resources, adapt to regional differences in the fishery, and consider the		
social and economic needs of fishing communities.		
Objective 1.	Strategy 1.1	Consider development of different types of quota-based
Develop management		management systems.
measures that consider sub-	Actions:	A. Consider species specific quota-based management
regional differences and issues within the fishery.		<ul> <li>such as,</li> <li>state-by-state commercial quotas for vermilion snapper</li> <li>state-by-state quota-based management system for the recreational sector.</li> <li>state by-state recreational quotas for black sea bass.</li> <li>sub-regional management for deepwater species to include but not limited to snowy grouper, blueline tilefish and golden tilefish.</li> </ul>

GOAL: Adopt management strategies that rebuild and maintain fishery

		<b>B.</b> Consider voluntary sector share management,
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		community-based quota management (commercial and
		for-hire), and individual fishing quota management
		systems.
		<b>NOTE:</b> Action B also applies to objectives 2 (access to the
		fishery) and 4 (reducing discards).
	Strategy 1.2	Identify the design elements needed for development of
		different types of quota-based management systems.
	Actions:	A. Consider different design elements for quota-based
		management systems such as,
		<ul> <li>quota transfer by subregion</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>using average landings over a certain time period</li> </ul>
		as a system design element;
		<ul> <li>developing criteria for species to consider.</li> </ul>
		B. Consider different management elements for quota-
		based management systems such as,
		<ul> <li>allowing the sub-region (however defined) to set</li> </ul>
		landings limits and openings/closures;
		<ul> <li>managing sub-regions by effort.</li> </ul>
		C. Set management boundaries based on the
		biogeography of the fishery (i.e., species or categories).
	Strategy 1.3	Consider use of alternative sub-regional management
		strategies that are not quota-based.
	Actions:	A. Use staggered spawning season closures to address
	7101101101	latitudinal differences in spawning activity.
		B. Set regulations based on designated sub-regions
		(areas/zones), not on quota allocations.
		<b>C.</b> **Set state-by-state regulations for the either sector.
		<b>D.</b> Apply sub-regional management strategies seasonally
		based on fish availability.
		E. Establish alternating 2-week windows for fishing (by
		sub-region)
		**added after December 2014 workshop
Objective 2.	Strategy 2.1	Support development of management approaches that
Develop innovative		address retention of snapper grouper species.
management measures that	Actions:	A. Consider bag limit and trip limit adjustments such as,
allow consistent access to the		Use a step-down approach when a species is
fishery for all sectors.		approaching the ACL for either sector;
ilstiery for all sectors.		Consider smaller trip limits and bag limits for
		<ul> <li>Institute commercial trip limits and recreational</li> </ul>
		·
		do not have limits.
		on area.
		C. Consider number of days allowed to fish vs. bag limits
		for the recreational sector
		<ul> <li>certain species.</li> <li>Institute commercial trip limits and recreational bag limits for those snapper grouper species that do not have limits.</li> <li>Use a bag limit step down as a post-season accountability measure for the recreational sector.</li> <li>B. Re-evaluate the grouper aggregate and manage based</li> </ul>

	I
	E. Consider aggregate trip limits for the commercial sector (# of boxes with no size limit)*
	F. Implement a charter boat limit instead of a per person
	limit on charter trips.*
	G. Consider in-season bag limit reduction to avoid
	closures.*
	*NOTE: These actions also apply to Objective 4 (reducing
	discards).
Strategy 2.2	Support development of management approaches that
3,	address the amount of effort in the snapper grouper fishery.
Actions:	A. Consider a recreational stamp/license for the snapper
	grouper fishery.
	<b>B.</b> Implement a limited number of days for fishing for
	deepwater species. (R, FH)
	C. Manage effort/permits in the commercial and for-hire
	sectors.
	<b>D.</b> **Evaluate the 2-for-1 permit requirement in the
	commercial sector
	<b>E.</b> Evaluate the use of days-at-sea for the commercial
	sector.
	<b>F.</b> Evaluate the level of overcapitalization in the fishery
	(carrying capacity); What are actual profits? How much
	resource is available? What is the gap?
	**added after December 2014 workshop
Strategy 2.3	Support development of management approaches that
	account for the seasonality of the snapper grouper fishery.
Actions:	A. Establish a recreational season for harvest of deepwater
	species by region.
	<b>B.</b> Establish a "time-out" period of no fishing for the
	recreational fishery.
	Funded the use of solit seasons for the commercial
	C. Expand the use of split seasons for the commercial fishery
	fishery.
	fishery. <b>D.</b> Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger
	fishery. <b>D.</b> Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and
	fishery. <b>D.</b> Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).
	fishery.  D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).  E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water
	fishery. <b>D.</b> Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).
	fishery.  D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).  E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow
	<ul> <li>fishery.</li> <li>D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).</li> <li>E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>fishery.</li> <li>D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).</li> <li>E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure throughout the region.</li> </ul>
Strategy 2.4	<ul> <li>fishery.</li> <li>D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).</li> <li>E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure throughout the region.</li> <li>F. Shift the red snapper season outside of their known</li> </ul>
Strategy 2.4	<ul> <li>fishery.</li> <li>D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).</li> <li>E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure throughout the region.</li> <li>F. Shift the red snapper season outside of their known spawning season.</li> </ul>
Strategy 2.4  Actions:	fishery.  D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).  E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure throughout the region.  F. Shift the red snapper season outside of their known spawning season.  Support development of management approaches that consider flexibility in setting Annual Catch Limits.  A. Shift sector allocations in–season.
	fishery.  D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).  E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure throughout the region.  F. Shift the red snapper season outside of their known spawning season.  Support development of management approaches that consider flexibility in setting Annual Catch Limits.  A. Shift sector allocations in—season.  B. Use adaptive management for almaco jack and
	fishery.  D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).  E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure throughout the region.  F. Shift the red snapper season outside of their known spawning season.  Support development of management approaches that consider flexibility in setting Annual Catch Limits.  A. Shift sector allocations in—season.  B. Use adaptive management for almaco jack and rudderfish to slowly increase the ACL.
	fishery.  D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).  E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure throughout the region.  F. Shift the red snapper season outside of their known spawning season.  Support development of management approaches that consider flexibility in setting Annual Catch Limits.  A. Shift sector allocations in—season.  B. Use adaptive management for almaco jack and rudderfish to slowly increase the ACL.  C. Use multi-year ACLs that use blocks of years to manage
	fishery.  D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).  E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure throughout the region.  F. Shift the red snapper season outside of their known spawning season.  Support development of management approaches that consider flexibility in setting Annual Catch Limits.  A. Shift sector allocations in–season.  B. Use adaptive management for almaco jack and rudderfish to slowly increase the ACL.  C. Use multi-year ACLs that use blocks of years to manage the ACL for a species (multi-year catch specifications).
	fishery.  D. Establish seasons for co-occurring species and stagger the seasons (use depth as a criteria – mid-shelf and deepwater).  E. Adjust the seasonal spawning closure for shallow water grouper and consider; shortening by 1-month; allow fishing but reduce bag limit; or a rolling closure throughout the region.  F. Shift the red snapper season outside of their known spawning season.  Support development of management approaches that consider flexibility in setting Annual Catch Limits.  A. Shift sector allocations in—season.  B. Use adaptive management for almaco jack and rudderfish to slowly increase the ACL.  C. Use multi-year ACLs that use blocks of years to manage

	Strategy 2.5	Consider development of alternative management		
		арр	roaches to expand access to the fishery.	
	Actions:	A.	Investigate expansion of fisheries for under-utilized species.	
		В.	Evaluate applicability and develop policies for	
			aquaculture of snapper grouper species in the region.	
		C.	Evaluate the use of harvest tags for specific snapper	
			grouper species.	
		D.	Use depth to set zones for recreational harvest of	
		_	snapper grouper species.	
		Ε.	Consider measures to simplify regulations for both	
Ohio ativo 2	Church and 2.1	Cana	sectors. (i.e. limits, aggregates, etc.)	
Objective 3.	Strategy 3.1		sider development of management approaches that st fishery-dependent businesses to operate efficiently	
Ensure that management			profitably.	
decisions help maximize	Actions:		Consider market availability when making management	
social and economic	Actions.	۸.	decisions.	
opportunity for all sectors.		В.	Consider predictability in for-hire business planning	
			when making management decisions.	
		C.	Consider non-traditional stakeholders/ businesses when	
			making management decisions (chefs, eco-tourism	
			operators, bait/tackle shops, marinas)	
	Strategy 3.2		onsider development of management approaches that	
			oort recreational fishing opportunity.	
	Actions:		NEED ACTIONS	
			added after December 2014 workshop	
Objective 4.	Strategy 4.1		sider management approaches that consider catch	
Develop management		limits, seasons, and the biology of the fishery in order to minimize bycatch of snapper grouper species.		
measures that reduce and	Actions:	Α.		
mitigate discards.	Actions.	В.	Use time-area closures (either by region or a specific	
		-	area).	
		C.	Consider a spawning closure for all snapper grouper species with a low ACL.	
		D.	Set a fishing season at the beginning of the fishing year	
			with known open and close dates.	
		E.	Set a recreational fishing season for co-occurring	
			species.	
		F.	Set a season for deepwater species and shallow water	
			species by area.	
		G.	Consider time/area closure for all snapper grouper	
			species (whole region or area specific)	
		_		
	Strategy 4.2		sider management approaches that address the impact	
		of d	epth on bycatch of snapper grouper species.	
	Strategy 4.2  Actions:	of d	epth on bycatch of snapper grouper species.  Consider full retention of deepwater species.	
		of d A. B.	epth on bycatch of snapper grouper species.  Consider full retention of deepwater species.  Establish a season for deepwater species.	
		of d A. B. C.	epth on bycatch of snapper grouper species.  Consider full retention of deepwater species.  Establish a season for deepwater species.  Consider removal of size limits for deepwater species.	
		of d A. B. C.	epth on bycatch of snapper grouper species.  Consider full retention of deepwater species.  Establish a season for deepwater species.  Consider removal of size limits for deepwater species.  Consider alternate electronic monitoring methods for all	
		of d A. B. C.	epth on bycatch of snapper grouper species.  Consider full retention of deepwater species.  Establish a season for deepwater species.  Consider removal of size limits for deepwater species.  Consider alternate electronic monitoring methods for all sectors to obtain data on depth to monitor catch	
		of d A. B. C.	epth on bycatch of snapper grouper species.  Consider full retention of deepwater species.  Establish a season for deepwater species.  Consider removal of size limits for deepwater species.  Consider alternate electronic monitoring methods for all	

	Strategy 4.3	Reconsider management strategies that use size limits to
		reduce bycatch.
	Actions:	A. Re-evaluate and change size limits on a species by
		species basis.
		<b>B.</b> Consider no-size limits for snapper grouper species.
		C. Use differential size limits by area.
	Strategy 4.4	Develop management approaches that support "Best
		Fishing Practices" to help avoid bycatch and reduce discard
		mortality.
	Actions:	A. Promote opportunities for research, development, and
		evaluation of gear and technology to reduce bycatch
		(i.e., hook type/use, gear competitions, descending
		devices).
		<b>B.</b> Consider gear requirement using "weak gear" or degrading hooks.
		C. Create an incentive program for avoiding bycatch in the
		recreational sector and consider development of a
		Bycatch Avoidance Network for the commercial sector
		(to communicate "bycatch hot spots").
	Strategy 4.5	Support development of management approaches that
	0,	consider the use of bycatch quotas and allowances.
	Actions:	A. Allow a bycatch set-aside limit per commercial trip.
		<b>B.</b> Consider a bycatch allowance of up to 5% for species
		with a low ACL (C, FH)
		C. Consider multi-year catch specifications (averaged for
		accountability measures).
Objective 5.	Strategy 5.1	Support the enhancement of habitat for the snapper
Support management		grouper fishery.
measures that incorporate	Actions:	A. Create new habitat using artificial reefs.
ecosystem and habitat		<b>B.</b> Evaluate the use of artificial reefs as a mechanism to
considerations for the		improve fishery production.  C. Consider artificial reefs with limited or no fishing
snapper grouper fishery.		C. Consider artificial reefs with limited or no fishing allowed.
	Strategy 5.2	Evaluate biologicial, economic, and social impacts when
	Strategy 3.2	developing ecosystem and habitat management
		approaches.
	Actions:	A. Consider the impacts of human population growth and
		distribution on habitats.
	Strategy 5.3	Consider management approaches that support monitoring
		and enforcement of managed areas established to protect
		and conserve ecosystems and habitat.
	Actions:	A. Consider the use of alternative electronic monitoring
		systems or other innovative technology (surveillance
		buoys, drones, etc.) to monitor fishing activity in all
		sectors.

	Strategy 5.4	Consider spatial management approaches to protect and
		conserve ecosystems and habitats for the snapper grouper
		fishery.
	Actions:	A. Consider development of managed areas (MPAs,
		spawning SMZs) that allow no harvest of snapper
		grouper species.
		B. Consider additional restrictions on existing managed
		areas (MPAs, etc.).  C. Evaluate areas that may be suitable for spawning
		Special Management Zones (SMZs).
		<b>D.</b> Establish clear goals for creation of Habitat Areas of
		Particular Concern (HAPCs).
		<b>E.</b> Create no-anchor zones to protect fragile habitat.
Objective 6.	Strategy 6.1	Support management approaches that consider the
Develop management		mechanics of designing allocation strategies (who, what,
measures that support		how, and social/economic considerations).
optimal sector allocations for	Actions:	A. Consider separate allocation for charter/headboat
the snapper grouper fishery.		sectors.
the shapper grouper hishery.		<b>B.</b> Evaluate existing sectors and current harvest to help
		determine allocation strategies.
		<b>C.</b> Truncate the recreational allocation time series from
		2007 onwards due to the economy.
		D. Manage by economic levels (primary, secondary,
		tertiary) in the fishery (1-harvesters, 2-dealers, 3-
		support industries).  E. Incorporate fairness and economics as part of the
		<b>E.</b> Incorporate fairness and economics as part of the allocation equation.
		F. Evaluate use of a mutual allocation pool (allocation is
		shared between sectors) for possible use for certain
		species.
		<b>G.</b> After evaluation of existing sectors and current harvest
		consider options to:
		i. set multiple ACLs/allocation
		ii. set only 1 ACL/allocation
		H. Consider setting ACLS/allocations for multiple years.
		I. Consider individual quotas based on individual
		allocations or harvest levels (C, FH).
		<ul> <li>J. Consider revising allocations on a species-by-species basis.</li> </ul>
		<ul><li>K. Consider longer timeframe for developing allocations.</li></ul>
		L. Consider allocations by gear type.
	Strategy 6.2	Identify alternative methods for determining allocation shifts
		and managing allocations within the fishery.
	Actions:	A. Consider sub-allocation shifts (for example, golden
		tilefish sectors).
		B. Establish allocation shifts for species not reaching
		Optimal Yield (gag, vermilion, etc.).
		<b>C.</b> Establish framework for in-season allocation shifts.
		<b>D.</b> Consider establishing allocations by permit (each permit
		holder gets an allocation that fluctuates based on the
		ACL).
		<b>E.</b> Examine reallocation for species with low ACLs.

F. G. H. J. K.	species.  Specify the allocation focus for each sector (Commercial-offshore; Recreational-nearshore).  Examine recreational harvest for species that are not reaching their recreational ACL.  Consider other methods for establishing sub-allocations (IFQs, EFPs, sectors, etc.).  Before reallocation, consider increasing bag limits or other management measures first for the recreational sector.
----------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

#### **Communication - Strategic Goal for Draft Vision Blueprint**

#### **Background:**

In December 2012, the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) began its Visioning Project to construct a long-term vision for the snapper grouper fishery through development of a strategic plan for the fishery that would guide management actions into the future. This strategic plan, called the *Vision Blueprint*, consists of four strategic goals – *Science*, *Communication, Management, and Governance*. Each strategic goal will have specific goals, objectives and action strategies that will be used by the Council to guide future management of the snapper grouper fishery.

Following an approach similar to what the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council used to draft a strategic plan for its managed fisheries, the Council sought stakeholder input early in the process and held a series of 26 informal meetings to solicit stakeholder input in coastal communities throughout the South Atlantic region. Because stakeholder needs and perceptions can vary widely, the Council has been careful not to exclude any input pertaining to the management of the snapper grouper fishery. Therefore, at this stage of the process, items that have been considered in the past as possible management tools but have not been developed further, will continue to be included among the many tools the Council may consider for long-term management of the snapper grouper fishery in the South Atlantic region. As such, the table below encompasses management actions/strategies as suggested by stakeholders in the fishery as well as those brought forth by the Council members themselves. The goals and objectives are not in order of priority and are all considered draft at this time. As the draft Vision Blueprint for the Snapper Grouper Fishery takes shape in 2015, the Council will provide their rationale for management strategies that are eventually excluded from further consideration.

#### Communication

GOAL: Employ interactive outreach strategies that encourage continuous stakeholder participation, supporting two-way engagement between managers and stakeholders while building a greater understanding of science and management.		
Objective 1.  Develop communication approaches that provide	Strategy 1.1	Expand the use of innovative technology to improve stakeholder participation and to communicate the Council process.
streamlined and timely information to increase awareness and engage stakeholders.	Actions:	<ul> <li>A. Expand the format for public hearings through the use of web-based hearings facilitated by staff.</li> <li>B. Utilize remote listening stations for public hearings and educational meetings to increase participation from remote groups of stakeholders.</li> <li>C. Change the format of in-person public hearings to match the format of the visioning port meetings (interactive, less formal, less staff).</li> </ul>

	1		
		D.	Utilize web-based tools (webinars, video conferencing,
			etc.) and other technology to collect public comment
			and provide educational workshops.
		E.	Provide a comment box on the website for
			stakeholders to submit comment after viewing the
			recorded scoping presentation.
		F.	Continue Q&A webinars with Council staff to discuss
			upcoming amendments for public hearings and
			scoping.
		G.	Consider hosting webinars just for snapper grouper
			permit holders on various topics.
		Н.	On the Constant Contact sign-up form, add a check-off
			box for indication of the sector they participate in.
	Strategy 1.2	I I+ili	ze the Council's website as a clearinghouse for easy to
	Strategy 1.2		ss information on Council news, regulations, fishery
_	A -4:		agement plans, and management actions.
	Actions:	A.	Consider use of staff-moderated chat boards for each
			sector on the Council website.
		В.	Incorporate a real-time calendar that displays current
		_	fishery closures for each sector.
		C.	Create a separate page for educational
			resources/materials produced by the Council on
			various topics.
		D.	Establish a comment and suggestion box on the
			website that allows users to provide comments on a
			specific topic or constructive comments on the
			Council's activities and process.
		E.	Track website analytics on presentation downloads
			and other documents to evaluate effectiveness and
			access of materials on the website.
\$	Strategy 1.3	Cons	sider use of alternative outreach approaches to further
		enga	age stakeholders in the management process.
	Actions:	A.	Consider using Public Service Announcements via VHF
			radio and/or local radio stations for meeting/issue
			announcements and regulation changes.
		В.	Consider an advertising campaign highlighting Council
			activities and success stories.
		C.	Send posters/flyers to fish houses to announce
		Ů.	· · · · · ·
		Ċ.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation
			meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes.
		D.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes. Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for
			meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes.  Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management
		D.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes.  Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management process.
			meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes. Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management process. Consider using recorded telephone messages to reach
		D. E.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes. Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management process. Consider using recorded telephone messages to reach active stakeholders about Council activities.
		D.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes. Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management process. Consider using recorded telephone messages to reach active stakeholders about Council activities. Determine how to use web-based surveys to solicit
		D. E. F.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes. Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management process. Consider using recorded telephone messages to reach active stakeholders about Council activities. Determine how to use web-based surveys to solicit input on specific management topics.
		D. E.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes. Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management process. Consider using recorded telephone messages to reach active stakeholders about Council activities. Determine how to use web-based surveys to solicit input on specific management topics. Support expansion of the Marine Resource Education
		D. E. F.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes. Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management process. Consider using recorded telephone messages to reach active stakeholders about Council activities. Determine how to use web-based surveys to solicit input on specific management topics. Support expansion of the Marine Resource Education Program SouthEast (MREP-SE) to other areas of the
		D. E. F.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes. Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management process. Consider using recorded telephone messages to reach active stakeholders about Council activities. Determine how to use web-based surveys to solicit input on specific management topics. Support expansion of the Marine Resource Education
		D. E. F.	meetings/issue announcements and regulation changes. Consider creation of an incentive rewards program for stakeholders that remain active in the management process. Consider using recorded telephone messages to reach active stakeholders about Council activities. Determine how to use web-based surveys to solicit input on specific management topics. Support expansion of the Marine Resource Education Program SouthEast (MREP-SE) to other areas of the

		Н.	Collaborate with local port agents to serve as
			community liaisons to convey management
			information to stakeholders.
		ı.	Participate in area festivals on a regular basis.
		J.	Consider using paid angler focus groups to solicit input
			on specific management issues.
		K.	Support more informal port meetings for educational
			purposes on relevant fishery issues/topics.
		L.	Conduct annual informal outreach sessions in each
			state to discuss current and upcoming management
			issues.
		N/I	Diversify the locations of in-person meetings (public
		IVI.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			hearings, scoping, etc.) to allow for one-on-one
			interaction with Council members.
		N.	Expand the Council's social media efforts to include
			use of YouTube and a blog.
Objective 2.	Strategy 2.1 Use targeted communication strategies to maintain and		
Ensure that Council			ease stakeholder engagement with the Council.
communication encourages	Actions:	A.	<b>5</b> ,
and supports engagement			strategies targeting snapper grouper permit holders
with a diverse audience of			for significant management/regulatory actions.
stakeholders.		В.	Develop a list of key industry stakeholders in the
Stakenoraers.			snapper grouper fishery and basic community profiles
			for the region.
		C.	Develop and maintain a database of recreational
			fishing focused groups (fishing clubs, bait/tackle shops,
			dive clubs, etc.) for use in communication and
			outreach programs.
		D.	Maintain a database of seafood dealers/retailers and
			other commercial fishing businesses for use in
			communication and outreach programs.
		E.	Develop 1-page fishery management resources (print
			and electronic) for distribution to targeted
			audiences/sectors.
		F.	Consider use of appropriate outreach materials that
			meet the needs of a wide generational range of
			stakeholders.
Objective 3.	Strategy 3.1	Supp	oort collaboration with academic and research
Improve awareness and		insti	tutions, non-governmental organizations and agency
understanding of fishery			ners on development of fishery-related outreach
science and research and how		prog	grams and materials.
	Actions:	Α.	Develop recreational angler education programs about
these inform management.			how to reduce discards (i.e., barotrauma, best fishing
			practices for handling/releasing fish, descending
			devices, etc.).
		В.	Consider developing youth outreach materials
			(electronic) and educational field trips related to
			fisheries and fisheries management.
	l .	1	

	Strategy 3.2	Support outreach programs that address the process of a stock assessment and how results are used in management.
	Actions:	<ul> <li>A. Develop targeted outreach programs aimed at all sectors about the Council process, including the role of the Scientific &amp; Statistical Committee.</li> <li>B. Develop angler education programs about fisheries science, stock assessments and data collection.</li> <li>C. Expand the use of educational webinars to convey information about stock assessments, data collection, and other fishery science concepts.</li> </ul>
Objective 4.	Strategy 4.1	Work with agency partners to provide stakeholders with
Improve awareness and		information on seafood and fishing business marketing
understanding of how social and economic issues are linked to fisheries management measures.  Action	A -41	strategies that may increase profits.
	Actions:	A. Add information to the website about seafood
		marketing strategies for commercial fishing businesses (i.e., traditional model, direct marketing, etc.)
		B. Work with MREP partners to add a seafood and fishing
		business marketing module to the annual MREP-SE
		Management Workshop.
		C. Provide informational resources to fishermen about marketing of alternative/ underutilized species.

# GAP Analysis Summary: Issues from port meetings not addressed by Council to date

#### **Commercial:**

- Train dealers on new weekly reporting requirements and forms
- Allow for 3<sup>rd</sup> party review of stock assessments & aim for real time assessments
- Use underwater cameras on vessels to collect data on fish/fishing activity
- Give credits towards the Annual Catch Limit for the use of descending devices
- Implement a 12-inch minimum size limit on red porgy
- Address latent commercial effort in the fishery to determine true capacity of the fishery.
- Address and consider the value of owner-operators when making management decisions.
- Address methods and eligibility requirements for allowing new entrants to the fishery; specifically the endorsement programs for black sea bass and golden tilefish; no new endorsement programs
- \*Separate the Jacks complex and implement individual species level Annual Catch Limits for these species
- \*\*Consider limited harvest of goliath grouper (1 fish per boat during certain times of the year; harvest tags, etc.)
- Mixed opinions on catch share programs; majority in opposition to catch shares.
- Develop separate joint agreements between federal and state management agencies for regional management.
- Consider sunset clauses on closed areas (MPAs, etc.)
- No new MPAs

#### **Recreational:**

- Use underwater cameras on vessels to collect data on fish/fishing activity
- Develop joint agreements with the states for data improvements (similar to the Joint Enforcement Agreements currently in place for law enforcement).
- Create complex-specific permits for recreational (and for-hire) sectors based on the HMS model -- This would help identify users fishing for snapper grouper resources.
- Black sea bass management size limits and bag limits need to be readdressed.
- Increase the recreational minimum size limit for lane snapper to 10-inches.
- Increase the recreational minimum size limit for mangrove snapper (gray) to 12-inches.
- Use a slot limit for mutton snapper (no recommendation on range for slot).
- Implement a recreational bag limit of 5 fish per species across all species.
- \*\*Address size limit for hogfish

<sup>\*</sup> Being addressed through another management action by the Council.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Being addressed by the Joint South Florida Issues Committee

- Allow limited harvest of goliath grouper; slot limit; harvest tags through lottery system; open fishery when shallow-water groupers are closed
- Mixed opinions on catch share programs; majority in opposition to catch shares.
- Regional stock assessments on economically important species.
- SC: Allow the state to manage certain species (black sea bass and red snapper) for the recreational sector.
- Regional stakeholder meetings with forecasting during meetings (similar to format of port meetings); Ask each stakeholder what is happening in each area at these meetings – also goes under incorporating fishermen's knowledge into data collection

#### For-Hire:

- Create complex-specific permits for the for-hire sector based on the HMS model -- This would help identify users fishing for snapper grouper resources.
- Improve recreational discard estimates headboat vs. charter/for-hire vs. private recreational
- Mixed opinions on catch share programs; majority in opposition to catch shares.
- No new MPAs

#### Chefs:

- Develop a "one-stop shop" for chefs/consumers to access region by region information on available quota, fishery openings and closures.
- More representation of this sector in Council advisory panels, activities, and outreach programs.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Being addressed by the Joint South Florida Issues Committee