

SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

DOLPHIN WAHOO COMMITTEE

**Renaissance Orlando Airport Hotel
Orlando, FL**

June 11, 2012

SUMMARY MINUTES

Dolphin Wahoo Committee:

Tom Swatzel, Chair	Mel Bell
Tom Burgess	Dr. Roy Crabtree
Dr. Michelle Duval	Ben Hartig
Dr. Wilson Laney	Charlie Phillips

Council Members:

David Cupka	Mac Currin
Lt. Robert Foos	Duane Harris
Doug Haymans	John Jolley
Jessica McCawley	

Council Staff:

Bob Mahood	Gregg Waugh
Mike Collins	John Carmichael
Dr. Kari MacLauchlin	Andrea Grabman
Kim Iverson	Dr. Mike Errigo
Julie O'Dell	Roger Pugliese
Anna Martin	Dr. Brian Chevront
Myra Brouwer	

Observers/Participants:

Monica Smit-Brunello	Dr. Jack McGovern
Dr. Bonnie Ponwith	Bob Gill
Otha Easley	Phil Steele
Andy Strelcheck	Martha Bademan
Ginny Fay	Jennifer Lee

Additional Observers Attached

The Dolphin Wahoo Advisory Panel of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council convened in the Vienna Ballroom of the Renaissance Orlando Airport Hotel, Orlando, Florida, Monday afternoon, June 11, 2012, and was called to order at 4:00 o'clock p.m. by Chairman Tom Swatzel.

MR. SWATZEL: We'll call the Dolphin Wahoo Committee to order. It has been almost two years since we've met. Let me just read the committee roster. It is Mel Bell, Tom Burgess, Roy Crabtree, Michelle Duval, Ben Hartig, Wilson Laney, Charlie Phillips and Red Munden. The first item is approval of the agenda. Are there any modifications to the agenda?

Hearing none, the agenda is approved. Next is approval of our June, 2010 committee minutes. Are there any modifications to the minutes? Hearing none, the minutes are approved. That brings us to the status of the commercial catches versus quota for dolphin and wahoo. Jack is going to present that to us.

DR. McGOVERN: The commercial landings for dolphin and wahoo can be found with the snapper grouper landings under Tab 5, Attachment 1A. These commercial landings along with the recreational landings; with respect to the commercial and recreational ACLs are on our website. The commercial landings that we have now are output from the science center's new commercial landings monitoring system, or CLM.

I believe Dr. Ponwith is going to provide an overview of that system tomorrow. Based on landings information through May 31, 10 percent of the dolphin commercial ACL has been met and 23 percent of the wahoo ACL has been met. The new CLM system also provides some indication of whether the ACL is going to be met this year or not, and Dr. Ponwith will talk about that tomorrow. Right now it doesn't look like either one of those ACLs will be met this fishing year.

MR. SWATZEL: Any questions for Jack? Hearing none, the next item is the status of the recreational catches.

DR. PONWITH: There is a presentation for this and while we bring that up I will just begin that for the dolphin recreational landings the numbers are in whole weight. The caveats are found in the bottom of that slide, and that is that the data represent the Maine to Florida landings. The numbers in 2011 are considered final.

The numbers for 2012 are just for Wave 1 and represent the MRFSS data alone. They don't include the headboat data. With those caveats, the landings in whole weight to date in 2012 is 165,000 pounds, a little over. The same caveats go for the wahoo landings. Again, it is just the MRFSS data alone; the headboat data aren't included, and it is Wave 1 alone. The landings thus far in pounds in whole weight is just under 139,000 pounds. Those are just the bar charts that show both the poundage and the effort. The wahoo is the next slide. You can see the annual landings; the final landings for 2011 and the Wave 1 landings for 2012 just under 139,000 pounds.

MR. SWATZEL: Thank you, Bonnie; are there any questions? Hearing none, we will move to the next agenda item which is the advisory panel report, Brian.

DR. CHEUVRONT: The advisory panel met on April 23 of this year. The main reason why they were getting together was to talk about the differences between the estimations of recreational landings in recent years from MRIP and from MRFSS. As it turns out, actually they were extremely close in the estimations for dolphin and wahoo both.

I think they were basically a statistical dead heat. There was no difference at all, and in some years the estimates were nearly exactly identical. At the time that this AP meeting was established, we had no idea what those numbers were going to turn out to be, so that really sort of turned out to be a non-issue for these two species.

However, the AP took that time to talk about other dolphin and wahoo issues that they were seeing. They had a couple of motions and they are in the AP minutes and all that you have that were distributed in your briefing book. I just wanted to point out the two issues that they wanted the council to consider some time in the future; the first of which they wanted the council to reconsider revising its sector allocation between commercial and recreational sectors for dolphin.

The council used what we had termed Boyles' Law to come up with the dolphin allocation. The AP was wondering why they didn't stick with something that was closer to what had been used in the past, which I believe was about 13 percent, or a 1.5 million pound soft cap for dolphin. The AP's feeling was that what they had before seemed to be working and they would have preferred that the council had stuck with some kind of a method that would keep the allocation somewhere similar to that for dolphin.

They also asked the council to reconsider the issue of for-hire sale of both dolphin and wahoo, so that they could do that once again as they had done historically in the past. They didn't see that there was a problem with that. If the concern was that the for-hire sales would come off the commercial sector, they thought that perhaps a separate for-hire sector ought to be established for these fisheries coming out of the recreational sector, and that is where their sales would come from. Mr. Chairman, that ends the report from the AP.

MR. SWATZEL: Thank you, Brian. It looks like we have some discussion. Doug.

MR. HAYMANS: Mr. Chairman, I'm not on your committee but I do have a question. Brian, it looks like the second motion that is there is in conflict with what is written a couple paragraphs above it where the AP reiterated their support of the choice of sector allocation methods. In other words, it looks like to me in the discussion they didn't want to – I'm confused.

DR. CHEUVRONT: I'm sorry; no, they did want the sector allocation to be reconsidered.

MR. HAYMANS: Even though it says the AP decided there is no justification for changing the allocation method that seemed to be working, they still made a motion to go back on that.

DR. CHEUVRONT: I'll have to go back and look at that. Are you looking at the actual minutes or are you looking at the report? I don't know if that is a typo in the report; but if you go back and look in the minutes you will see they are very clear that they wanted their sector allocation to be reconsidered.

DR. DUVAL: I think, Doug, just looking at the report, the sentence reads, "The AP reiterated their support of their choice of sector allocation methods". I think that their choice was the 13 percent commercial with a soft cap of 1.5 million pounds. That is the sentence that I'm reading in the report, which indicates to me that they were supporting the 13/87 percent split.

DR. CHEUVRONT: That is correct; that is what the AP had recommended to the council that they use for the sector allocations, and that was their recommendation for sector allocations and the council went with a different method.

DR. DUVAL: Just considering these two things; I was one of those folks who did not support the use of Boyles' Law to calculate the sector ACLs here. I thought that what we had in place was sufficient. I'm just wondering just given that we are going to be receiving MRIP re-estimates; do we have a plan for an ACL Amendment to update existing sector allocations or a framework or something like that? It seems that reconsideration of this issue would be appropriate for that type of amendment.

DR. CHEUVRONT: I think that there is something in the works to look at that; but I think if you're going to look at a change in sector allocations, it might have an impact on what type of amendment could be done. I believe if you're just going to – I'm not sure how that is going to play out. I know that the council probably may have to consider that sort of thing in the future.

It may impact the amount of pounds that go from one sector to the other; but if you are going to change the method of how you derived your sectors, then I believe that requires a different kind of action. Am I correct in saying that, Monica or Roy? One can be done as a framework, I believe and the other cannot.

DR. CRABTREE: I don't think we can do allocation through the framework. I think it has to be a plan amendment.

DR. CHEUVRONT: That is what I was thinking as well.

DR. DUVAL: I guess this might be a question for a different committee, but we've had a little bit of discussion about using the MRIP numbers and updating our ACLs. Is that going to be done through a framework or is that going to have to be a full amendment to do that?

DR. CRABTREE: Well, if we go through and update the ACLs just recalculating the numbers based on we have new estimates, here are the new estimates, plug them in, the numbers all come out; that I think we could do through an abbreviated type of framework process, and I don't know that we would need to do a NEPA document to do that. Now if you want to go through and make changes to the underlying formulas, then that is a whole different thing. We could do

changes to the underlying ACL formulas potentially through a framework; but if you want to do changes to the underlying allocations, I think that has to be done through a plan amendment.

MS. SMIT-BRUNELLO: To answer your first question, which was allocations, there is a framework for dolphin and wahoo but allocations aren't encompassed in the framework so you would need to do a plan amendment. The framework section I'm looking at does not include changes to annual catch limits or accountability measures, because I don't believe that the dolphin and wahoo framework was amended to include those.

My first thought is if you are going to change the dolphin and wahoo ACLs you may need to do a plan amendment, at which point I would urge you to maybe add a framework action in there so in the future you could change those via framework. It may be that you're looking at a plan amendment no matter what.

MR. CUPKA: Couldn't you get, what do they call it, a categorical exclusion to just update the ACLs based on recalculating the MRIP numbers versus MRFSS? It would be a lot simpler than changing the actual allocation, which would take an amendment, I believe.

MS. SMIT-BRUNELLO: Well, under NEPA I think that you've got a good argument for that. I really do, so you are right, it could be a more simplistic kind of a plan amendment that wouldn't need other alternatives for that particular action.

MR. CURRIN: I'm not on your committee, but I was on the prevailing side when that measure was put in allocating the dolphin among the sectors as it stands today. In fact, I spent the last week in Hatteras and it reinforced, in my mind at least, the need to watch carefully not only the allocations but the landings among those sectors.

I had a conversation with a guy from Hatteras whose family has lived there for generations. I've had a lot of conversations with people in Hatteras who have families that have lived there for generations, but I've had very few that were similar to this one. Granted he is a charterboat captain, but he has commercially fished in his past.

He was extremely upset about the continued goings on of the longline fleet up there with large numbers of longliners. What he was explaining to me were catches that are not being watched carefully by anyone, for that matter. He indicated that he was aware of 30,000 pounds of dolphin being brought in by a single individual longlining out of there.

We did not, unfortunately, set any kind of trip limits on the commercial sector when we completed the Comprehensive ACL. There would seem to be some need to consider that in the future. A number of trips he indicated to me were well over 10,000 pounds a day, and that was this year. I think not only enforcement needs to be vigilant in those areas, but I think the council needs to be vigilant about some of the activities of that particular gear on this particular species.

MR. CUPKA: Yes, Mac, and I believe that whole issue of localized depletion has come up before from that part of our jurisdiction in North Carolina, that we've gotten complaints before about that, if I'm not mistaken.

DR. DUVAL: One of the other questions I had had to deal with the other two motions regarding the properly licensed for-hire sector to be able to sell either their dolphin catch or their wahoo catch to a licensed dealer. I know that with the Comprehensive ACL Amendment, the ban on bag limit sales on dolphin when it into place, how long have we had – I mean, that was already in place for wahoo; how long has that been in place?

DR. CHEUVRONT: I think it went into place, what was it, Roy, April 16 or something?

DR. DUVAL: No, not for dolphin and I know that. That was part of the Comprehensive ACL; I'm talking about for wahoo.

MR. CURRIN: That went into place the same time.

DR. DUVAL: Was it the same time?

DR. CHEUVRONT: I was going to say that went in earlier; wahoo went into place.

DR. DUVAL: That's what I was asking about was wahoo. I'm well aware of dolphin.

MR. HAYMANS: Was there any discussion amongst the AP of splitting that allocation out, the for-hire?

DR. CHEUVRONT: Do you mean – when you say for-hire, you are talking about separating out headboats from charter?

MR. HAYMANS: Yes, the charter basically, if they are going to sell, having a separate allocation or either putting the charter allocation over into the commercial side and counted against.

DR. CHEUVRONT: No, what they wanted to do is have a separate allocation, but I believe it was pulled out from the recreational sector, which then if it is sold it gets basically counted as a commercial transaction, but they wanted to have a separate accounting for what was being sold by the for-hire sector.

DR. CRABTREE: The issue of bag limit sales of fish keeps coming up and we've been on a path now for the last few years of eliminating bag limit sales. We did it for snapper grouper in 2009 and we did it with dolphin in the ACL Amendment. We are working on mackerel, is it 19 I think that would do it now. If that is where we are going, we ought to be consistent on that. Given that we've just made that decision with dolphin and we are proceeding down that path with king mackerel, I'm not sure why we would start backtracking on it at this point.

MR. BELL: I agree with Roy. Also, we've been kind of dealing with this with king mackerel. It is problematic for law enforcement. When we say properly licensed, well, you are properly licensed technically as a recreational fisherman; you are not necessarily properly licensed as a commercial fisherman.

I know in our laws specifically in South Carolina it is very clear. If you are going to sell fish and they are going to enter commerce, there is a route that they take. There is documentation through our landings system. It is a maybe a little more complex than properly licensed. I was just wondering kind of if they sort of thought that through; is there going to be a special license for this for the allocation? But I know when it hits the state level, we are very clear on commercial, recreational, how we track this, how we track that. Then getting the data to cross over into the – say the ACCSP – I don't know how those data get in there.

DR. CHEUVRONT: I can tell you that the AP did not make any specific recommendations on how that licensing ought to occur. I think they were just sort of leaving that up to the council to figure out, just giving the council what they felt were their wishes on how they would like to allow these bag limit sales or for-hire sector sales to continue, but they were very specific about not wanting a private individual hook-and-line fisherman to be able to sell their fish.

MR. CUPKA: I was just going to point out I think at one time we talked about doing a comprehensive amendment which would disallow bag limit sales all at one time, but instead we made a decision to do it individually as we amended the various FMPs, and that is why we've been kind of doing it piecemeal-wise I guess over the last couple of years. We did at one time consider doing it all at once through a comprehensive amendment but decided to go this other route instead.

MR. CURRIN: Again, I'm not on your committee, but David is exactly right, but it has not just been a couple years, it has been more like eight or nine years. I think since I've been on this council there have been efforts and attempts and stops and starts to address this in a uniform manner or address all of our fisheries. We finally got 15B through.

We've been working on mackerel for at least eight or nine. That is still in the works for one of these upcoming amendments from mackerel. We finally got it done for dolphin and I guess unbeknownst to me it was already in place for wahoo. It has been a goal of mine I think to move in that direction for every one of our species so that the recreational landings do not impact the commercial quotas, and that makes a lot of sense to me. If you all start changing this and reversing it after I'm gone, I am going to come back and haunt every one of you involved in all this.

MR. CUPKA: I said a couple years. Time is relative, Mac, to different people.

MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, the motion where they said not to exceed 1 million 500 pounds; what was the rationale for that if they changed the allocation?

DR. CHEUVRONT: That was based on the separation between the sectors prior to ACLs. What they had was a soft cap, which I believe was 1.5 million pounds. What they could do – I believe the way it was set up was that the commercial sector could land 13 percent total of whatever was caught that year, but not to exceed 1.5 million pounds regardless of how many pounds, because there was no overall quota for dolphin at the time.

That was a soft cap. If they had exceeded it, nothing would have happened. They were supposed to come back to the council and the council was supposed to discuss it and figure out what they were going to do. That almost happened, I believe, in either 2009 or 2010. It came very close to that, but it didn't actually trigger that scenario.

But when we came into ACLs and the language that we had to follow in establishing ACLs; that kind of a soft cap system wouldn't work, so they reiterated what had been in place prior to the ACL amendment or the ACL regulations and just somehow wanted the council to figure out something that would fit in close to what had been in place prior to ACLs.

DR. DUVAL: The one thing we haven't talked about is the motion to recommend implementation of a competitively priced tag program for dolphin and wahoo that would indicate accurate harvest for all recreational components. I know that this was – a similar item was included in scoping for CE-BA 3 originally.

We elected to pass that on to CE-BA 4 simply because we didn't have enough time for it. I don't know; would staff see something like this being tied into that particular issue in CE-BA 4? I guess I am just trying to find an appropriate place for some of the recommendations that we have received from the AP.

DR. CHEUVRONT: I don't know where you would – I think it is up to the council to decide where you want to put it, and I don't have a recommendation for you what to do on that.

MR. CURRIN: It's not to this point, Tom, but it was to Charlie's question. One of the problems, Charlie, with the soft cap of 1.5 million and 13 percent of the recreational harvest was you never knew what the recreational harvest was until months after the fishing year was over. It was meaningless. If you choose to go down that road and reallocate, please avoid that kind of situation that is based on information that you're not going to have in order to allow the council or NMFS to act to constrain the harvest if that is the way you decide to go.

MR. CUPKA: Yes, when we were talking about a tag program before, I thought it was more along the lines of being able to track low ACLs where there were very low amounts of fish involved. Obviously, with dolphin we aren't in that same situation. Unless I'm mistaken, that was the original intent was to devise a method to track these ACLs at just a small number of weight of fish. That would be one difference.

MR. SWATZEL: Does anybody want to make a motion to take any actions?

DR. DUVAL: Well, I will do my best here. I would make a motion that the tag program as recommended by the AP as well as the issue of a sector allocation as recommended by the AP be addressed in CE-BA 4.

MR. SWATZEL: We have a motion on the floor, do we have a second?

DR. CHEUVRONT: Let's get this motion up here first before we go any further. Michelle, if you could do me a favor and say that again a little more slowly so I can type it.

DR. DUVAL: The motion is to recommend that the tag program for dolphin and wahoo as well as the sector allocation for dolphin and wahoo be addressed in CE-BA 4.

DR. CHEUVRONT: Does that get it?

MR. SWATZEL: Okay, we have a motion; I think, Tom Burgess, you offered to second. Any discussion? David.

MR. CUPKA: Well, again, my concern would be trying to implement a tag program for a species where there are really large captures. I think it would be a lot more involved in doing that with some of these species like snowy grouper where there is a small number of fish. We could certainly discuss it and come about, but I would be concerned about some of the problems and the cost in implementing a program like that on a species where there are large catches.

DR. CRABTREE: I don't really understand why we would look at a tag program. It seems to me dolphin is probably the fishery we least need one. It is very resilient and not subject to overfishing. It has probably the highest harvest of any recreational fishery we have. It probably has the best catch estimates almost of any fishery that we have.

I think it would be administratively costly to do it and burdensome. I just don't understand other than some notion that somehow all of our recreational catch estimates are not any good. I'm not sure what the motivation is. I think we do have needs for recreational tag programs, but I don't see that they are in this fishery.

The sector allocation issue, we are talking about the commercial/recreational allocation? I think we just went through that a few months ago, and I don't have any interest in revisiting that issue at this point. It seems to me the council made a decision and set the allocation on that. I wouldn't support the motion.

DR. DUVAL: I appreciate the comments about the tag program. I guess it's my thinking that we scoped the issues for our Comprehensive Ecosystem-Based Amendments in the spring. We're receiving significant public comment that indicates that this tag program is not going to be feasible from a resource perspective. Certainly, there is no need for us to pursue it. I was really just trying to find an appropriate vehicle by which the recommendations of the AP could receive some additional input.

MR. JOLLEY: Just a reminder that the public already thinks that all the regulations they are suffering under are complicated enough, so I would agree with Dave and Roy.

DR. LANEY: I would agree with Roy, also. It looks like from reading the minutes that at least part of the interest in having tags was for enforcement purposes, maybe. I'll just make the point that with regard to another abundant species, that being striped bass, there was a tagging program in Chesapeake Bay on the commercial side and that didn't seem to make much of a difference at all with regard to enforcement. It is just as subject to abuse as no tagging program. I don't know that you would gain any great advantage by requiring tags for commercially caught dolphin either.

MR. SWATZEL: Okay, I'm going to let Charlie have the last word and then we're going to vote.

MR. PHILLIPS: I understand the issues of tagging dolphin is probably a lot of sugar for a dime. It wouldn't hurt to let the public scope it and see what they thought. The other part that might be more useful is to look at the allocation and then possibly figure out if there are ways to spread out that commercial harvest so it is not all localized, affecting one group of headboats, say, of North Carolina or something, and see if you could possibly spread that commercial effort out so it made a little more sense. Maybe public scoping could come up with some answers for that. I'm willing to go along with it at least through scoping.

DR. CRABTREE: I would just point out that over the past years we've caught up to a million dolphin in a year. You're talking about potentially issuing a million tags? I don't see any point in going out to the public with something that is just not in the cards. I don't think that is what this is about. It sounds good in the abstract, but I just can't see it.

MR. SWATZEL: Okay, let's vote. All those in favor of the motion raise your hand; I count three. Those opposed, raise your hand; I count four. **The motion fails.** Are there any other actions that this committee desires to take? Charlie.

MR. PHILLIPS: Michelle, would you want to make a motion to just take the allocation out without the tagging?

DR. DUVAL: Yes, I'd do that.

MR. PHILLIPS: Well, then I would make a motion that we do scoping on the allocation issue only.

MR. SWATZEL: Charlie, does that capture your motion okay?

MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

MR. SWATZEL: Do we have a second; Michelle second. **The motion is take the dolphin allocation issue brought by the advisory panel out to scoping as part of CE-BA 4.** Any discussion, is there any opposition to the motion? Hearing none, **the motion is approved.** Is there any other business to come before the committee? Hearing none, this committee meeting is adjourned.

(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 4:37 o'clock p.m. June 11, 2012.)

Certified By: _____ Date: _____

Transcribed By:
Graham Transcriptions, Inc.
July 14, 2012

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council

2011 - 2012 Council Membership

COUNCIL CHAIRMAN:

✓ **David M. Cupka**
P.O. Box 12753
Charleston, SC 29422
843/795-8591 (hm)
843/870-5495 (cell)
palmettobooks@bellsouth.net

VICE-CHAIRMAN

Ben Hartig
9277 Sharon Street
Hobe Sound, FL 33455
772/546-1541 (ph)
mackattackben@att.net

Robert H. Boyles, Jr.
S.C. Dept. of Natural Resources
Marine Resources Division
P.O. Box 12559
(217 Ft. Johnson Road)
Charleston, SC 29422-2559
843/953-9304 (ph)
843/953-9159 (fax)
boylesr@dnr.sc.gov

Tom Burgess
P.O. Box 33
Sneads Ferry, NC 28460
910/327-3528
tbburgess@embarqmail.com

Dr. Roy Crabtree
Regional Administrator
NOAA Fisheries, Southeast Region
263 13th Avenue South
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
727/824-5301 (ph); 727/824-5320 (f)
roy.crabtree@noaa.gov

✓ **Benjamin M. "Mac" Currin**
801 Westwood Drive
Raleigh, NC 27607
919/881-0049 (ph)
maccurrin@gmail.com

Dr. Michelle Duval
NC Division of Marine Fisheries
3441 Arendell St.
PO Box 769
Morehead City, NC 28557
252/726-7021 (ph); 252/726-0254 (f)
michelle.duval@ncdenr.gov

✓ **LT Robert Foos**
U.S. Coast Guard
Brickell Plaza Federal Building
909 S.E. First Avenue
Room 876/ DRE
Miami, FL 33131-3050
305/415-6768 (ph)
305/415-6791 (f)
Robert.W.Foos@uscg.mil

✓ **Charles Duane Harris**
105 Demere Retreat Lane
St. Simons Island, GA 31522
912/638-9430 (ph)
seageorg@bellsouth.net

✓ **Doug Haymans**
Coastal Resources Division
GA Dept. of Natural Resources
One Conservation Way, Suite 300
Brunswick, GA 31520-8687
912/264-7218 (ph); 912/262-2318 (f)
Doug.Haymans@dnr.state.ga.us

✓ **John W. Jolley**
4925 Pine Tree Drive
Boynton Beach, FL 33436
561/346-8426 (ph)
jolleyjw@yahoo.com

Deirdre Warner-Kramer
Office of Marine Conservation
OES/OMC
2201 C Street, N.W.
Department of State, Room 5806
Washington, DC 20520
202/647-3228 (ph); 202/736-7350 (f)
Warner-KramerDM@state.gov

Dr. Wilson Laney
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
South Atlantic Fisheries Coordinator
P.O. Box 33683
Raleigh, NC 27695-7617
(110 Brooks Ave
237 David Clark Laboratories,
NCSU Campus
Raleigh, NC 27695-7617)
919/515-5019 (ph)
919/515-4415 (f)
Wilson_Laney@fws.gov

✓ **Jessica R. McCawley**
Director,
Florida Fish and Wildlife
Conservation Commission
2590 Executive Center Circle E.,
Suite 201
Tallahassee, FL 32301
850/487-0554 (ph); 850/487-4847(f)
jessica.mccawley@myfwc.com

John V. O'Shea
Executive Director
Atlantic States Marine Fisheries
Commission
1050 N. Highland St., Suite 200 A-N
Arlington, VA 20001
703/842-0740 (ph); 703/842-0741 (f)
voshea@asmfc.org

Charles Phillips
Phillips Seafood / Sapelo Sea Farms
1418 Sapelo Avenue, N.E.
Townsend, GA 31331
912/832-3149 (ph); 912/832-6228 (f)
Ga_capt@yahoo.com

Tom Swatzel
P.O. Box 1311
Murrells Inlet, SC 29576
843/222-7456 (ph)
tom@swatzel.com

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council

2011-2012 Committees

ADVISORY PANEL SELECTION

Doug Haymans, Chair
Robert Boyles
Roy Crabtree
Michelle Duval
Ben Hartig
Jessica McCawley
Staff contact: Kim Iverson

CATCH SHARES

Ben Hartig, Chair
Robert Boyles
Tom Burgess
David Cupka
Michelle Duval
Doug Haymans
John Jolley
Jessica McCawley
Vince O'Shea
Charlie Phillips
Tom Swatzel
Staff contact:
Kari MacLauchlin / Brian Chevront

DOLPHIN WAHOO

✓ Tom Swatzel, Chair
✓ ~~Robert Boyles~~ **MEL BELL**
✓ Tom Burgess
✓ Roy Crabtree
✓ Michelle Duval
✓ Ben Hartig
✓ Wilson Laney
✓ Charlie Phillips
Red Munden Mid-Atlantic Council
New England Council Rep
Staff contact: Brian Chevront

ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT

Duane Harris, Chair
Robert Boyles
Tom Burgess
Roy Crabtree
David Cupka
Mac Currin
Michelle Duval
Ben Hartig
Doug Haymans
John Jolley
Wilson Laney
Jessica McCawley
Charlie Phillips
Tom Swatzel
Staff contact: Roger Pugliese- FEP
Anna Martin- Comp. Ecosystem-based
Amendment

EXECUTIVE/FINANCE

David Cupka, Chair
Ben Hartig, Vice-Chair
Robert Boyles
Mac Currin
Duane Harris
Staff contact: Bob Mahood

GOLDEN CRAB

David Cupka, Chair
Mac Currin
Wilson Laney
Jessica McCawley
Charlie Phillips
Tom Swatzel
Staff contact: Brian Chevront

HABITAT & ENVIRON. PROTECTION

Robert Boyles, Chair
Tom Burgess
Wilson Laney
Jessica McCawley
Vince O'Shea
Charlie Phillips
Staff contact: Roger Pugliese
Anna Martin- Coral

HIGHLY MIGRATORY SPECIES

David Cupka, Chair
Tom Burgess
Roy Crabtree
Mac Currin
Michelle Duval
Robert Foos
Duane Harris
John Jolley
Staff contact: Brian Chevront

INFORMATION & EDUCATION

Robert Boyles, Chair
Mac Currin, Vice-Chair
Robert Foos
Duane Harris
John Jolley
Jessica McCawley
Tom Swatzel
Staff contact: Kim Iverson

KING & SPANISH MACKEREL

Ben Hartig, Chair
David Cupka, Vice-Chair
Tom Burgess
Mac Currin
Michelle Duval
Duane Harris
John Jolley
Jessica McCawley
Charlie Phillips
Tom Swatzel
Red Munden, Mid-Atlantic
Representative
Staff contact: Gregg Waugh

LAW ENFORCEMENT

Duane Harris, Chair
Mac Currin, Vice-Chair
Robert Boyles
Robert Foos
Ben Hartig
John Jolley
Staff contact: Myra Brouwer

PERSONNEL

Robert Boyles, Chair
David Cupka
Michelle Duval
Duane Harris
Doug Haymans
Staff contact: Bob Mahood

PROTECTED RESOURCES

David Cupka, Chair
Wilson Laney, Vice-Chair
Doug Haymans
Jessica McCawley
Staff contact: Roger Pugliese

SCI. & STAT. SELECTION

Roy Crabtree, Chair
Robert Boyles
Michelle Duval
Doug Haymans
Jessica McCawley
Staff contact: John Carmichael

SEDAR Committee

David Cupka, Chair
Ben Hartig, Vice-Chair
Michelle Duval
Duane Harris
John Jolley
Jessica McCawley
Vince O'Shea
Tom Swatzel
Staff contact: John Carmichael

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council Staff

Executive Director

✓ Robert K. Mahood
robert.mahood@safmc.net

Deputy Executive Director

✓ Gregg T. Waugh
gregg.waugh@safmc.net

Public Information Officer

✓ Kim Iverson
kim.iverson@safmc.net

Assistant Public Information Officer

✓ Andrea Grabman
andrea.grabman@safmc.net

Senior Fishery Biologist

✓ Roger Pugliese
roger.pugliese@safmc.net

Fishery Scientist

✓ Myra Brouwer
myra.brouwer@safmc.net

Coral Reef Scientist

✓ Anna Martin
anna.martin@safmc.net

Fishery Biologist

✓ Dr. Mike Errigo
mike.errigo@safmc.net

Fisheries Social Scientist

✓ Dr. Kari MacLauchlin
kari.maclauchlin@safmc.net

Staff Economist

✓ Dr. Brian Chevront
brian.chevront@safmc.net

Science and Statistics Program Manager

✓ John Carmichael
john.carmichael@safmc.net

SEDAR Coordinators

Dr. Julie Neer - julie.neer@safmc.net
Kari Fenske - kari.fenske@safmc.net

Administrative Officer

✓ Mike Collins
mike.collins@safmc.net

Financial Secretary

Debra Buscher
deb.buscher@safmc.net

Admin. Secretary /Travel Coordinator

Cindy Chaya
cindy.chaya@safmc.net

Purchasing & Grants

✓ Julie O'Dell
julie.odell@safmc.net

BONNIE PONWICH
PHIL STEELE
MONICA SMET-BRUNELLO
BOB GILL
OTHA EASLEY
JACK MCGOVERN
GINNY FAY
ANDY STRELCHECK
JENNIFER LEE

Generated

Jun 12, 2012 04:33 AM PDT

General Information

Webinar Name
SAFMC Council Meeting - Day 1 of 5 (Monday)

Webinar ID
222234954

Actual Start Date/Time
Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT

Actual Duration (minutes)
399

Clicked Registration Link
78

Opened Invitation
42

Total Attended
30

Session Details

Gore,Karla	karla.gore@noaa.gov
Attended Yes	
Registration Date	Jun 11, 2012 10:09 AM EDT
City	Sarasota
State	FL
Unsubscribed	No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 06:28 PM EDT	391.67

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 46

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Austin,Anthony	redress@ec.rr.com
Attended Yes	
Registration Date	Jun 11, 2012 02:47 PM EDT
City	hubert
State	NC
Unsubscribed	No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 02:50 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 05:23 PM EDT	153.1

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 69

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Waymer,Jim jwaymer@floridatoday.com

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 02:42 PM EDT

City Melbourne

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 02:42 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 03:10 PM EDT	27.1

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 35

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

DeVictor,Rick rick.devictor@noaa.gov

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 01:49 PM EDT

City St. Pete

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:50 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 05:01 PM EDT	190.93

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 27

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Whitaker,David whitakerd@dnr.sc.gov

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 02:52 PM EDT

City Charleston

State SC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 02:52 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 05:35 PM EDT	163

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 24

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

klostermann,joe grkjk@aol.com

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 09:00 AM EDT

City ft.pirece

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 04:03 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 06:35 PM EDT	151.8

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 52

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Whitaker,David whitaked@dnr.sc.gov

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 01:58 PM EDT

City Charleston

State SC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:58 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 02:00 PM EDT	1.23

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 69

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Merrifield,Jeanna jeannam@wildoceanmarket.com

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 09:29 AM EDT

City Titusville

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 06:36 PM EDT	399.85

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 63

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

klostermann,joe **grjffk@aol.com**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 08:38 AM EDT

City ft. pierce

State FL

Unsubscribed Bounce

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 03:22 PM EDT	205.97

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 43

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Mims,Chuck **imims@comcast.net**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 02:24 PM EDT

City Edisto

State SC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 02:25 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 03:18 PM EDT	52.97

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 58

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Beckwith,Anna **anna@pamlicoguide.com**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 09:06 AM EDT

City Morehead City

State NC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 06:35 PM EDT	447.63

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 64

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Byrd,Julia **byrdj@dnr.sc.gov**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 03:51 PM EDT

City Charleston

State SC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 03:52 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 05:16 PM EDT	84.77

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 27

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

fenske,kari kari.fenske@safmc.net

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 08:28 AM EDT

City charleston

State SC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:14 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 03:43 PM EDT	148.88

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 23

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Lamberte,Antonio tony.lamberte@noaa.gov

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 01:39 PM EDT

City St. Petersburg

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:40 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 02:56 PM EDT	75.97

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 54

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Eich,Anne Marie		annemarie.eich@noaa.gov
Attended	Yes	
Registration Date	Jun 11, 2012 08:52 AM EDT	
City	Saint Petersburg	
State	FL	
Unsubscribed	No	

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 04:07 PM EDT	237.67

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 34

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

sandorf,scott		scott.sandorf@noaa.gov
Attended	Yes	
Registration Date	Jun 11, 2012 01:56 PM EDT	
City	st petersburg	
State	FL	
Unsubscribed	No	

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:57 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 04:54 PM EDT	175.7

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 26

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

MacLauchlin,Bill **billmac@charter.net**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 12:02 PM EDT

City Stockbridge

State GA

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 12:02 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 06:06 PM EDT	363.35

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 64

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Mehta,Nikhil **nikhil.mehta@noaa.gov**

Attended Yes

Registration Date May 25, 2012 10:54 AM EDT

City St. Petersburg

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 04:38 PM EDT	282.65

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 50

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

thompson,mary jean **mjthompson860@gmail.com**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 11:01 AM EDT

City titusville

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 06:34 PM EDT	398.62

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 76

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Mueller,Mark **mark.mueller@gulfcouncil.org**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 01:58 PM EDT

City Tampa

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:59 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 06:36 PM EDT	277.27

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 27

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Williams,Erik erik.williams@noaa.gov

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 03:29 PM EDT

City MHC

State NC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 03:29 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 04:25 PM EDT	55.83

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 26

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Travis,Michael mike.travis@noaa.gov

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 01:32 PM EDT

City St. Petersburg

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:36 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 06:06 PM EDT	270.75

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 38

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Meyers, Steve steve.meyers@noaa.gov

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 10:39 AM EDT

City Silver Spring

State MD

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time **Leave Time** **In Session Duration* (minutes)**

Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT Jun 11, 2012 03:59 PM EDT 241.02

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 32

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

c,mike mec181@yahoo.com

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 12:02 PM EDT

City mtp

State SC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time **Leave Time** **In Session Duration* (minutes)**

Jun 11, 2012 12:02 PM EDT Jun 11, 2012 06:36 PM EDT 393.32

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 41

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

pugliese,roger **roger.pugliese@safmc.net**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 02:15 PM EDT

City charlston

State SC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time **Leave Time** **In Session Duration* (minutes)**

Jun 11, 2012 02:15 PM EDT Jun 11, 2012 06:35 PM EDT 259.62

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 32

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Thomas,Janie **fecsapi@aol.com**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 08:25 AM EDT

City Fernandina Beach

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time **Leave Time** **In Session Duration* (minutes)**

Jun 11, 2012 11:56 AM EDT Jun 11, 2012 05:11 PM EDT 315.38

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 54

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

DeLancey,Larry **delanceyl@dnr.sc.gov**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 01:29 PM EDT

City Charleston

State SC

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:30 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 05:08 PM EDT	218.25

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 60

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Merrifield, Mike **mikem@wildoceanmarket.com**

Attended Yes

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 01:26 PM EDT

City Titusville

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:26 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 03:57 PM EDT	150.78

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 62

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Michie,kate **kate.michie@noaa.gov**

Attended Yes

Registration Date May 25, 2012 11:03 AM EDT

City St. Petersburg

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
Jun 11, 2012 01:29 PM EDT	Jun 11, 2012 03:30 PM EDT	120.85

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest: 25

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Kelly,Bill **fkcf1@hotmail.co**

Attended No

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 09:34 AM EDT

City Marathon

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
-----------	------------	--------------------------------

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest:

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Daniel,Louis		louis.daniel@ncdenr.gov
Attended	No	
Registration Date	May 25, 2012 02:01 PM EDT	
City	Morehead City	
State	NC	
Unsubscribed	No	

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
-----------	------------	--------------------------------

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest:

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

Hudson,Russell		dsf2009@aol.com
Attended	No	
Registration Date	Jun 11, 2012 09:42 AM EDT	
City	Daytona Beach	
State	FL	
Unsubscribed	No	

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
-----------	------------	--------------------------------

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest:

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Sedberry, George george.sedberry@noaa.gov

Attended No

Registration Date Jun 11, 2012 01:06 PM EDT

City Savannah

State GA

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------------

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest:

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

FARMER,NICK nick.farmer@noaa.gov

Attended No

Registration Date May 25, 2012 10:58 AM EDT

City ST PETERSBURG

State FL

Unsubscribed No

[In Session](#)

Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)
------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------------

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest:

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

youngman,jeff

jyoungman1@gmail.com

Attended No

Registration Date

Jun 11, 2012 11:36 AM EDT

City

rockledge

State

FL

Unsubscribed

No

[In Session](#)

Join Time

Leave Time

In Session Duration* (minutes)

Interest Rating

Attendee's In-Session Level of Interest:

[Registration Q & A](#)

[Questions Asked by Attendee](#)

[Poll Questions](#)

[Post Session Survey Questions](#)

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

PLEASE SIGN IN

So that we will have a record of your attendance at each meeting and so that your name may be included in the minutes, we ask that you sign this sheet for the meeting shown below.

DOLPHIN WAHOO COMMITTEE MEETING
 June 11, 2012
 Orlando, FL 32812

P.O. BOX/STREET
 CITY, STATE & ZIP

NAME & ORGANIZATION

AREA CODE & PHONE NUMBER

EMAIL ADDRESS

<u>NAME & ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>AREA CODE & PHONE NUMBER</u>	<u>EMAIL ADDRESS</u>
SAVANNAH SPA-WINNER	407-369-6613	SPORT-WINNER@BRAINCONSERVATION.ORG
DICK BRAUER	910-544-5245	CCIA
RUSBY JUDSON	386-239-0948	ASFL2009@aol.com
Dale Reinhardt (Spartan Conservation)		1311 W. 71st Ave., Golden, CO 80401
MARK BARBERA (OACS)	850 488-5471	MARK.BARBERA@ESSA.FLORIDA.GOV
MARK BARBERA	843-327-1114	Kquigley@southwestfl.com
Katie Quigley		CHS, SC
		TREASURER SC

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council
 4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201
 North Charleston, SC 29405
 843-571-4366 or Toll Free 866/SAFMC-10