COMPREHENSIVE ALLOCATION AMENDMENT PUBLIC SCOPING

Brunswick, Georgia

February 6, 2008

Summary Minutes

Mr. Heddley: I want to speak to the allocation amendment. Since these percentages and total allowable catches, et cetera, were installed, I've never really been able to understand where they come from, because it's been -- I've always said the same thing and so many other people have said the lack of accurate data --

We were talking here on the three alternatives on all the different species on page 23, that's Table 20, on the Comprehensive Allocation Amendment. It involves the commercial and the recreational communities and without any data being or a minimal, a very minimal, amount of data being accumulated from the recreational section, I just don't really see how any percentages could be established for either group, commercial or recreational.

Another thing that maybe could be discussed is the for-hire boats, the headboats and the sportfishing for-hire boats that are in the recreational sector. I don't really know that they -- I'm not really suggesting that they be in the commercial, but maybe a sector in between, because they are for-hire and they don't go out for funsies like the recreational man does. The recreational man does it for recreation and the for-hire people do not do it for recreation. Maybe the customers do, but the boat owner does not and the same thing with the commercial fisherman.

The commercial fisherman goes out to support himself and his family and hopefully he has a crew with the same intentions. Perhaps something could be discussed on an alternative in these percentages, taking that sector into consideration, that sector being the for-hire boats, the headboats and the sportfishing boats.

Mr. Harris: When you say "taking into consideration", tell me what you mean. What would you do if you were on the council with respect to allocating that resource amongst those -- Let's say there's three user groups, commercial, for-hire, and private. How would you allocate it?

Mr. Heddley: They could be treated as their own entity.

Mr. Harris: Each one of those be a separate entity.

Mr. Heddley: Right, you would have separate sections. That way, the people receiving the data, they could be more specific. I'm quite sure they are pretty accurate in the data they get from the

headboats and from the for-hire boats, but with them being included into the recreational, I just feel like that would throw the percentages off of the recreational and off of the commercial, also.

Alternative Number 1 is on all the species. I just really -- Having fished for twenty-odd years now, I just see no reason that -- Even Alternative 2. Even those figures are acceptable. Alternative 3 with a 50/50 percentages to the recreational and the commercial, it's just -- Somebody has to put fish in the retail market so that the person that cannot afford a \$20,000-boat or a \$200,000 boat -- That person that can't afford that boat has a place to go and buy fresh fish.

Whereas if the commercial percentages are cut to the extent that it's not worth that commercial fisherman's time, he's not going to be putting any fish in the retail markets. They're going to have to come from another source and the other sources will be imported fish, farm-raised and imported fish, which are not as good as fresh fish.

Mr. Newlin: I'm Captain David Newlin, Richmond Hill, Georgia. The allocations between the recreational sector and the commercial for sale sector, I feel like these allocations are very, very unfair.

Mr. Harris: For sale, you mean for-hire?

Mr. Newlin: No, sir. The charterboat fishermen, I feel like should very strongly be classified recreational. I'm in the charterboat business. These Georgia taxpayers I carry, just because they don't have \$100,000 tied up in a boat, they're still recreational fishermen, but when we're looking at almost a 50/50 split between a couple hundred commercial fishermen and a couple hundred-thousand recreational fishermen, I feel like that's very, very unfair and we need to look more at what these fish are worth.

A hundred pounds of fish on a charterboat is worth \$1,200. A hundred pounds of fish to the recreational angler, he just spent \$50,000 to catch those fish, a lot of times. He bought a boat and he fishes three times a year and a hundred pounds of fish on that commercial boat are anywhere from \$150 to \$300.

We need to look at an economic value of these fish and this being a 50/50 quota on the allocation of these fish is absolutely unfair. I feel like it ought to be, at a minimum, 80 percent to the recreational guys and 20 percent to the commercial guys.

Commercial guys can all get a charterboat permit and start chartering after their commercial quota is caught, if that's what it takes. I've done the commercial fishing and I've done it all and I just feel like those quota numbers are very unfair and are we talking king mackerel allocations here or is this just strictly vermilion?

Mr. Harris: You can talk about king mackerel allocations as well. When you're talking about allocations, you can talk about whatever species.

Mr. Newlin: I have to say the same thing with the king mackerel allocation. It's thirty-something percent allocated to commercial guys and king mackerel are the one fish that are

getting beat to death off the coast of Georgia, and probably everywhere else along here. There's thousands and thousands and thousands of recreational anglers out there and off of Georgia, it's very rare for me to see a commercial kingfish boat.

Those guys getting a third of the allocation for a couple hundred people -- I think Gregg told me a while ago it was a thousand permits out for the king mackerel commercial guys on the southeast coast here and it's just -- We'll have one kingfish tournament and have a thousand anglers plus in it.

The Jacksonville tournament has several thousand anglers, a thousand boats, and it's just absolutely blatantly unfair, because king mackerel are a very low money fish and they're a big time recreational fish and I feel like we need to look at the recreational end and I'll argue with you heavily on you all's numbers of fish you all are coming up with.

On the king mackerel, they're in trouble. You have a lot of anglers that just don't want to admit it and I'm the last one that's going to admit to you all that when the fish are gone, but the king mackerel are in trouble. I very strongly would like to see the commercial quota get cut way down and I would like to see the recreational limit get cut back to two fish.

This is the third horrible year. I'm not the casual guy that goes out there twelve times a year. I'm the guy that had the cover of *Georgia Sportsman* back in the mid-1980s with a 2,500 king mackerel year. This year, I had a 236 king mackerel year and the biggest that I had -- To tell you how bad it was, I had a few days that I caught the limit and so if you'll take those three or four days I caught twenty or twenty-one out of the pile and add it up, we had a lot of days that we fished all day for kingfish and caught five and six and seven fish.

Mr. Burdette: I'm Gary Burdette and I'm speaking on behalf of the North Florida Coastal Conservation Association and I would like to speak on the allocation issue. Our chapter has established excellent channels of communication with all the fishing clubs and organizations in the north Florida area.

We've arrived at kind of a common consensus of the fishermen in our area and I would like -- Hopefully, I'll be able to relate their concerns through my comments and remarks this evening. First, I would like to say thank you for being able to speak in front of the council. It is appreciated that you're solicited public input and trying to determine solutions for how to divide up our most important publicly-owned resources.

When you're discussing allocations, the fishermen and the anglers and the fishing clubs in our area become quite nervous. They're alarmed at the mention of allocation, simply because they base a lot of this on past experiences with allocations and a prime example is the inequitable allocation of the Gulf red grouper, where 81 percent went to the commercial and only 19 percent of the allocation went to the recreational fishermen.

Obviously this is an example of where the recreational fisherman kind of gets the short end of the stick. Their daily bag limit right now is only one fish per day and so the only group that benefited from the allocation of the red grouper are the commercial longliners who hold these

permits.

Twenty-five longline boats took more red grouper than all the recreational fishermen combined and so this seems like it's really one sided. An example of the one-sided use of a publicly-owned resource causes us to question the council's interpretation of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, which states the allocation of harvest must be carried out in a fair and equitable manner and carried out so that no particular individual, corporation, or other entities acquire excessive share of such privileges.

That causes us a concern, because it appears that the commercial group gets a much bigger share of the publicly-owned fisheries. Another big concern is with the using allocations is that it places too much emphasis on catch history and we believe that this is one of the weakest points - We feel that this is one of the weakest points in determining how to distribute our fish catch, our fishing catch.

The single most significant point that should require the council to establish a new allocation paradigm is that the human population along the Atlantic coast has increased over tenfold in the last twenty years and there are a greater number of anglers than ever before that expect access to their marine fisheries. This is why we respectfully request that you discontinue the use of allocations in determining a fair and equitable means of deciding how to divide up our public fisheries.

Mr. Harris: Do you have any suggestions as to how we should do it?

Mr. Burdette: Yes, sir, there are many -- One of the suggestions is obviously looking at where -- The most common thing is who owns the fish and they're all of ours and so for the commercial entity to have the greatest share -- I just came from a meeting where they're talking about 95 or 96 percent of a certain fishery and that doesn't seem equitable.

One of the things that we discovered was say lobster down in the Keys. The commercial fishermen last year made \$3.1 or \$3.2 million with their lobsters on the dock. That same industry, with the recreational divers, was something like \$21 to \$22 million.

Mr. Harris: You're suggesting then that it be based more on economics than catch history.

Mr. Burdette: Exactly and the fact that even -- Again, we view commercial fishermen as primarily the longliners. The hook and line charters and the captains, we're all for them doing what they've been doing. It's just one of those things where we think that the -- We agree that there should be a careful watching of this valuable resource.

It's got to be something that we can have for the future and in order to do this, obviously we've got to reduce the catch, but it serves no purpose for the recreational fishermen to have one fish per day and the commercial fishing group to have tons and tons and tons and then we say the fishing is still not coming back, this particular fishery. We look at everybody sharing in an equitable manner and how to bring this fishery back up to a sustainable level.

Mr. Harris: Thanks, Gary. We appreciate you being here.

Mr. Burdette: Thank you. I appreciate you allowing us to comment.

Mr. Harris: There will be many more opportunities.

Mr. Hamlin: Richard Hamlin.

Mr. Harris: You're from?

Mr. Hamlin: Up around Darien.

Mr. Harris: If you can put your comments into one or more of these categories and if you can tell me what these categories are and if you want this back, I'll give it back to you to do that. It would help us, because the way we're doing the record is we're making the comments according to the category. If you have a comment on one of these categories, it would help us to know that.

Mr. Hamlin: The biggest comment I have right now would be a general, relating to all of it. The biggest thing that I think that we have here is talking about the way they're trying to keep up with the fish that are caught and I think that --

Mr. Harris: Recreationally or commercial?

Mr. Hamlin: Recreational. The people are catching a lot more fish than anybody realizes. I think that's where the limits should be really brought down.

Mr. Harris: On the recreational fishermen?

Mr. Hamlin: On the recreational side.

Mr. Harris: On mackerel and snapper grouper both?

Mr. Hamlin: Like the black sea bass and the snapper grouper, yes.

Mr. Harris: Are you a commercial fisherman?

Mr. Hamlin: No, I'm not. As a matter of a fact, I just sold out of a business and I just moved down here about two years ago and got involved in CCA.

Mr. Harris: You got involved in CCA, but you think the limits should be placed on the recreational guys?

Mr. Hamlin: Yes, sir. I just think that's what happening is your recreational fishermen have got it as good as a lot of your professional fishermen and I think they go out and catch big, big limits and even though you may have one of them say that you shouldn't be catching over the limit, they'll turn around and catch a limit this morning and then go back out in the afternoon and catch

another limit and they think they're all right. It's kind of like church.

Mr. Harris: You think that happens on the offshore species as much as the inshore species or --

Mr. Hamlin: Definitely it doesn't happen on the offshore, but where I am when you can run out to Gray's Reef in an hour-and-a-half, if you get into the fish right, you could do it.

Mr. Harris: Do you have any comments about allocating between the for-hire sector and the recreational sector within the recreational fishery, the purely recreational fishermen or the charter fishermen? Do you have any thoughts on allocating the resource between those two groups?

Mr. Hamlin: You mean whether it be 60/40 or --

Mr. Harris: Whatever it might be. You've got basically between the recreational component of the fishery it's generally divided into the for-hire sector, the charter fishermen, and the purely recreational guys. Do you have any thoughts on allocating either snapper grouper or king mackerel or Spanish mackerel between those groups?

Mr. Hamlin: No, sir.

Mr. Harris: Anything else?

Mr. Hamlin: That's it.

Mr. Harris: Thanks very much for being here.

Mr. Hamlin: Like I said, I learned a lot today.

Mr. Harris: That's what this is for and so I appreciate you being here and thank you very much.

Mr. Amick: Steve Amick and as far as allocation, I mean I haven't given it much thought. I was supposed to be on the LAPP committee and I haven't been able to make any of the meetings and I'm not sure how that's working, but as far as allocation between -- We're both considered recreational and I don't know if it would be possible to say if you go on a charterboat that you will be allowed to catch this and if you're a recreational fisherman fishing on the same, you would be able to catch that.

I think it's more critical for a charterboat or headboat, to be able to survive, to have a certain amount of allocation. I don't know if it's in bag limits or poundage or I'm not sure how the council would look at it, but you can get to the point -- I said it back in meetings when we went to ten and twelve, that if you dropped the bag limit to three, three vermilion snapper, you're protecting the stocks at three vermilion snapper per person, but you're killing the for-hire sector, just because somebody won't pay the fees to go fishing.

I haven't given enough thought to come up with a good -- What I feel is it's gotten so -- I don't see anything has changed. On another subject, just to change, it's almost to the point that you

could almost do a fishing season, like you do a hunting season, and have a start date and the end date and have a complete closure, let's say, and so during that period, that season, you were allowed -- This is what you're allowed to catch and if it's in poundage or if it's bag limits or size limits, but during this course, this is what you can structure your business around these dates and these allowable catches.

That seems like the simpler solution than piecemealing we're going to close September and October and then you can do this around this and it becomes a regional -- A season in North Carolina would look a lot different than like in the Miami area or even the Jacksonville area. I don't know how you would manage it, but it's gotten so chewed up between bag limits and size limits.

We're looking at thirteen and fourteen and reducing to one and nothing has changed again and the bottom line is I think the biomass -- I talked to Michael and to get an assessment of what's really on the reefs and I only have that one section off of Georgia that I'm familiar with and I don't know how to say it and you hear it from every fisherman and it's biased interest, but what we're seeing on the reefs as far as red snapper -- It's not even coming up, but I can see it coming down the line, but we haven't seen this many red snapper, all different size classes.

The vermilions, for the last four or five years, has been incredible. Are we catching too much and it's not sustainable at the rate we're catching? I'm not sure. I believe off the coast of Georgia, with the pressure that there is, we are not hurting the biomass that's located on those reefs and they move.

We have a very fluid area, depending on water temperature and all that. It's almost to the point that I would like to see just like a general season, like there's a hunting season, where you can hunt from here or you can fish from here to there and make it easier.

Mr. Tarver: I'm Tim Tarver and I'm from Glenville, Georgia. I fish out of Shellman Bluff, the Sapelo Sound area. I'm very interested in the Comprehensive Allocation Amendment and I want us to see if we can collect the most accurate data that we can with the budget that we have and my only concern is running out of fish and I appreciate the work that everybody is doing to ensure we don't do that.

I really don't have a lot to say about the quotas, but it's just let's go by the data that we collect and let's make sure it's the best that we can obtain. One thing that I would like to see, as far as my area, is we have some offshore towers that are maintained by the Navy now that I'm under the understanding will be decommissioned next year and that's a very important part of our fishery.

We don't have a whole lot of naturally-occurring structure or artificial reefs and we have heard that they might become demolished in a way to create an artificial reef on the bottom, but those structures are very valuable as they stand, because they provide habitat all the way through the water column, all the way from the bottom to the top.

They are doing a lot more good in the condition that they are now then they would be if they

were just scattered along the bottom in some places. I would like to see some agency or even a private institution or whatever help to work it out, to where we can keep those towers in the condition that they are.

Mr. Harris: Why did you hear that from? That's the first I heard that.

Mr. Tarver: The last person that told me that was Spud.

Mr. Harris: Really? He hasn't told me that. I'll have to get on to him.

Mr. Harper: I am Captain Wendell Harper and I do want to make a comment on the Comprehensive Allocation Amendment. One of the main comments that really bothers me about this is I know the -- I see the statistics mostly 50/50 on the commercial and the sport fishermen and however you want to call it.

The commercial end, I know, has got a little bit of a dwindling. It's decreasing through the last few years. I've seen the numbers on it, but the increase on sport fishermen is really large. It's coming on strong and sometimes, I see the statistics -- You say 50/50 and I know you're looking at tonnage of fish on each species, but when you put in so many more sportsmen every year coming in our area of the Atlantic coast and fishing more and more on these species, I think that the -- I'm looking at it as it's more cost efficient for a sports fisherman to use these fish sometimes than it is a commercial fishery.

I'm looking at it as I'm a charter captain. I do this for a living and so if you start hitting that industry more and more on that specific sports fishermen, it's going to really hurt somewhere down the line on the charter captains, on the economy on our behalf.

Mr. Harris: Do you think the for-hire sector should be allowed to sell their catch?

Mr. Harper: I really don't. If you're a sports fisherman, you're a sports fisherman. You're not out there making a living by selling what you catch and that -- I used to be a commercial fisherman. My family owned a fish seafood market for years and I used to sell everything that hit the deck, just about, but I had to look at a different situation and a different way of doing it over many years.

I understand that that fishery is not just for the commercial end. There's a lot of people that's coming up that loves to fish, men, women, children, all the way through, that loves to fish and they ought to have the opportunity to catch these fish and not just to sell them to an individual market or a bigger market. That is a big thing to me on this thing.

COMPREHENSIVE ALLOCATION AMENDMENT PUBLIC SCOPING

Brunswick, Georgia

February 6, 2008

Attendees

Council Members:

Duane Harris

Staff Members:

Mike Collins

Transcribed by: Graham Transcription February 18, 2008



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201

NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

-		
King Mackerel Quota		



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Steve Anch	912-887-6759
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP	CODE)
P.O. 30x 30975	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	7
Amicles Neep Der Lich	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
King Mackerel Quota	YES NO
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201

NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA	
Wenderl Harper	912-437-86	LU 0
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF	CODE)	
Rt. 1 Box 1418 F Darien Ga	31305	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Chartert		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	L NO
King Mackerel Quota		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
Thereca Louise Mollé	8108-229-868-7971	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF	CODE)	
190 Old Long Bridge Ad.	Helena, GA 31037	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
DAVID NEWLIN	9127564578
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY STATE, & ZII	FCODE) J. 3/324
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) IN CENT MODE JY MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE) 229-868-797 PCODE) Heleng GA 31037
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	,
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17 Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	YES NO
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available) Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201

NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
CAPT. WATTER HAPMAN	912 729 5214	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP	CODE)	
141 MALLAND PT DR. Wood	bire GA. 31569	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Fish Master Charters		,,
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES N	0
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES N	0
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES N	0
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES N	0



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) CARY BURDETTE	904 285 8102
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF 243/5 MOSS CREEK LANE, PC	OUTE VEDRA BCH, FL, 3208
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17 DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
Stanley L CROWN	912 365-7529	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZII	P CODÉ)	
1201 Hu AUR		
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Offshore & Charter		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
King Mackerel Quota	YES NO	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	TES LINO	



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
Derek Brown	912 - 658-4568
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF	
	GA 3/4/0
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	L YES NO
King Mackerel Quota	YES NO
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA	(CODE)
CHARLES HEROLLY	912-269-49	83
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP	CODE)	
POBR 573 CRESCHIER GAS 3130	4 56	Phillips Sezhand
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
LADY DIGNE INC		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	✓ YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	✓ YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	✓ NO



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) BOS BOS QUP	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AR 912-598	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF	ivannah, GA	31411
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOUL REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	YES	NO
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available) Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

Public Scoping / Cocaput Grove, FL - February 4, 2008

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE) 912-654-4073	
Tim Tarver		
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE)		
310 Abbie Lane, Glennville, GA 30427		
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	YES	NO
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	TES	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	YES	NO
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)		
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
King Mackerel Quota		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO