SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Mackerel Amendment 18 &
Spiny Lobster Amendment 10

April 2011

Public Hearings

Jacksonville, FL
New Bern, NC
North Charleston, SC
Pooler, GA
Cape Canaveral, FL
Duck Key, FL
Key West, FL

JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA CROWNE PLAZA RIVERFRONT

APRIL 14, 2011

MR. HOLT: I'm Charles Holt and I'm here to speak on Spanish mackerel, king mackerel, and cobia issues. Right now with the data that you have, the three species are doing so well; and according to what your guys are coming up with numbers that show that, then I really feel like given all the other problems that the state of Florida has had and the fisheries that have been cut back, that you don't make any changes right now. You don't have any data that says that you have to; you don't have anybody forcing you to do it. The recreational industry and the commercial industry, too – I really speak more on the recreational side, but the recreational industry has been slaughtered in the state of Florida. We need all the help we can get. There is nothing forcing you to do it; stick with what you've got and let's them at least catch something. I appreciate you all listening and being here.

MR. HAGAN: My name is Dave Hagan. I represent myself and eleven other South Atlantic permits that I hold. I like the fact that you're not going to do anything on king mackerel. My preferred alternative on the Spanish mackerel is reduce the recreational limit to twelve per person, and I totally agree with sixty fish per boat as a maximum on that. Now we're going to go to spiny lobster; and on the spiny lobster in order to possess or acquire a tailing permit; I think that the duration of the trip should be a minimum of 72 hours, because that's when you really start to need this tailing permit. We're looking at the cobia, and Gregg is under the impression that there is no boat limit in the EEZ. In other words, if you had two fish per person and if you had five people on your boat, then you could have ten fish, in which that has not been the case that the FWC has been enforcing. They have been enforcing a six fish-per-boat trip limit. Now the cobia again, on the trip limit, there is no commercial harvest, per se, for cobia. I think Gregg said they are going to start trying to do something on your Amendment 19 for cobia to get a commercial trip limit, whatever; because trips in this area, Northeast Florida and further north, are of such long durations, we would like to see a trip have a three-day limit or possess two fish per person per day times three; so if there are three people on a boat, you can get six fish, then you could bring in 18 fish in your trip. In the past that's been a problem. They say how do we know when you leave the dock? Well, all you've got to do is look at my trip tickets that I've turned in for years and years and everybody else has, too, and see how long they fish. If they they've been out four days, they've been out four days. It ain't hard to find out they've been out. So, we need some relief on that. We only get paid -- as commercial fishermen we only get 8 percent of the total allowable catch for cobia. And with the advent of the closure of red snapper, we need to get any and all help that we can in any area that we can. That's pretty much it. I had some comments about the spiny lobster, but mainly it's further south in the Monroe County area, so I'm going to keep those to myself. Thank you so much for the opportunity and I appreciate it.

On the tailing permit that is issued by the federal government, I did say that I wanted to see that pushed to 72 hours, three-day duration. Also, in order to acquire a tailing permit from the federal government, I think that it should be mandatory that you have a crawfish number from the state of Florida; thereby, you would be a commercial crawfish fisherman, and you would need that tailing permit for the duration that we do like over three days. I would also like to see the boats in excess of thirty feet have permanent berths and a galley.

MR. COOK: I'm here representing the Jacksonville Offshore Sportfishing Club. I'm the president of the club this year. I'm Don Cook. Basically, I'm just going to come up and talk about the cobia thing. Your last conversation had a couple things I wanted to comment on. On the black sea bass, reaching the allocated catch set for recreational fishermen, if I'm not mistaken, that weight was based on non-gutted weight, and also on a pretty good-sized sea bass as an average. I think the data was skewed little bit. I don't think the recreational fisherman caught quite what was determined that we had caught. Would you like to comment on that?

MR. GEIGER: No.

MR. COOK: The other thing I -- you were talking about offshore Jacksonville as being the center of biomass of red snapper, and I'd like to submit that is because of the offshore point, because we put all of those reef-building projects out there since the late fifties. If these other areas had been as busy putting out artificial reefs as we have, I think the snapper population in their areas would equal ours as well. A lot has been said about the habitat, that it doesn't increase the biomass, it just has fish move from one place to another, but as far as my study in ecology has shown, I don't know of anywhere, when you increase habitat, you don't increase the biomass available. I haven't studied marine biology, but I have a degree in biology, and on land that is certainly true. Otherwise, we wouldn't be concerned about preserving estuaries and marshes and breeding grounds for these juvenile fish. If you increase the areas that they have, then you've increased the number of fish. I understand the logic of closing that area -- and I know it wasn't done and it wasn't going to happen, but when you were talking about closing here, it felt like punishment for doing a good job. It puts the council at odds with the fishermen, and it seems like the resource would be better served with a cooperative rather than an oppositional sort of work. As far as my life as a sportsman, I feel like fishermen in general are more conservationists then anybody. But, as things become arbitrary and things seem out of kilter, that conservationism is more of a conflict rather than a cooperative. This is my opinion. I did come up with comment about the cobia, and that's mainly what we are dealing with here, My comment on the cobia is that I would like to see the council go with option one, which would basically be no option; no change at this point. We are seeing tons of fish. We had one of the banner years ever last year for catching cobia, and at two per person, it doesn't seem that change is necessary at this time. Thank you.

MR. DARNER: My name is Rob Darner. I'm a St. Augustine resident here to talk about king mackerel. I'm a king mackerel fisherman. As many times as I've been up here talking to folks in the council, the thing I do the most and I think I'm most proficient at is catching king mackerel. On your proposal there are some things that I like about it, and folks are I guess keeping the 10.4 million pounds together, I like that proposal. I don't see where that is a threat to the fishery; however, there is an option within that. I don't know which particular option that goes to the framework on broad and narrow; when we're going to be able to look and readdress assessments, I think it's the council's option to go for a more narrow framework because it might be a little bit more flexible, As I would enjoy the flexibility on red snapper, I do believe that is a drawback for the negative, because if you get one I think there would be great pressure brought upon the council to change things really fast for the negative. As such, I kind of like it slow. I will go from the broad, to the three, maybe even compromise on the two different assessments and then weigh in. But, one, I think is just way too quick to make a fundamental change on whatever sustainable yields they want to look at for biological catch in terms of what we do.

Right now I think the mackerel fishery is healthy. One of the things that I've noticed over the years is the return of bait fish to our beaches. I've seen more and more pogie pods over the last two to three years. I think that is, my understanding, out of North and South Carolina; that some of the commercial fishermen for these pogies have been taking place off the beaches. We're seeing kingfish particularly in tournaments return back to the beaches in larger numbers, where they used to be offshore about five or six years ago. So I appreciate the council's efforts to keep the ABC, I think it was then called TAC, set at 10.4; I think what we have allocated to the recreational fishery is fine. People that I've talked to do not really believe that is a big, huge problem, and from your statistical data -- I bite my tongue on that -- it does not seem that we're having too much of a problem. Spanish mackerel -- move on to that -- I'm kind of conflicted where we are not been catching our limits on that; we want have a reduction of some fifteen fish to ten fish. When we go after Spanish mackerel, up here in Northeast Florida, we tend to have maybe a brief window because as you know the migratory do not stick around all year. They might come and go. So on my boat that would be 75 fish if we did a per person, because I have family of five. If anything, I would be in favor of a boat limit, whether it's fifty or sixty; I think that might be wise and also maybe a per person so we do not go over the boat limit. I personally never try to keep 75 of anything; I just don't enjoy cleaning that much fish all at once. Cobia, that's kind of interesting. I would probably encourage the council to wait for the SEDAR assessment to come out. I understand that maybe you do have some landing limits and your threshold landing on cobia. I would probably like to see a complete assessment on that. Right now, from what we are seeing at the two fish per person for commercial and recreational, I don't think this really damaged or even hurt the population as what it said. So with that I cannot see changing what we are doing until we have a decent assessment that we can argue with you on. So that would kind of be my recommendation. Let us argue with you, let us get the data in an assessment and see what goes on. Backing up, when we come out to some of these assessments, I will make a plug for the red snapper, probably for always, even though it's not part of it. The commercial folks will probably bring more pressure back to some of these other species, such as Spanish mackerel, maybe into the king fish, because they are not allowed to catch a nice fish like the red snapper. Where I think we are going to disagree on a lot on these data, they are out there folks. I do believe that there is enough room that we can have the commercial and recreational – recording ends here and Part 2 starts here – have a sustainable biomass on the snapper. It is out there. This is where I would love to have just the one per boat and go on, but, no, let's get through the process, go for a couple more. I hope you guys change your tune on the red snapper, because taking that away from the commercial you're going to put more pressure back toward kingfish. They're going to probably hit the ACLs a lot sooner, and that is going to probably create a problem of overfishing. I think it's a ball of yarn or snowball that will feed upon itself. I do wish you folks would change that because of future problems that I think we will have in the fishery. Hopefully I didn't ramble on too long, and it made some sense to you. Thank you all.

MR. SURRENCY: Good evening, my name is Ron Surrency. I commercial fish; born and raised right here in Mayport. I own the boat, Joyce Marie. On the king mackerel I want to thank you for no changes and support no changes. On the Spanish mackerel, the same thing; on the recreational 12 fish per person, a boat limit of 60, I would support that. On the cobia I follow Dave's comment. On the part of the recreational side, what Gregg was explaining that I guess it was the last few years that the recreational side was starting to get their TAC, so to speak, and you were looking at allocations to reducing that. If that were the case, the majority would stay from Canaveral south when they hit that. So I would ask that the council look at that for the recreational side and make a cutoff line like they do the king mackerel, so to speak, or other

species to put the line from Canaveral south. That's when those fish are migrating, so to speak, that's why you see so many, and it's a lot closer to land and they're a lot easier to target versus when they are north. By the time they get up here, they're all spooked and scared, and it's a lot longer run for the most part. Even running up and down the beach, it's a lot further run. Then on the commercial side with the cobia, there's not really a commercial fishery. We're allowed to sell our recreational catch, so if anything, kind of like what Dave was saying, wherever we brought down our trip limit, if it was a three-day trip or a four-day trip or a five-day trip, if we could have it worded in there where we're allowed to have our recreational limit on the boat -- or how we filled out our state report – we have to fill out the state trip ticket and our federal trip ticket, and both have to be the duration of the on the trip and how many people were on the trip and how many hours, so there is not really any _______ boat. They would take our word, and then great science on our log reports that certainly would work as far as how you're fishing on board. That would definitely help out on the cobia part. I guess that was about it.

MR. CAVIN: (Recording started here) You're talking about the other species that are already under I guess restricted regulations right now, the sea bass that you've mentioned and some of the other species. I was born here back — I've been here all my life, almost 50 years now and I don't think I catch any less sea bass today or any more sea bass today than I did when I was a kid and first started fishing. I hope I got a little bit better at catching fish, but still we're dropping them from ten to five and I guess the data says that they're being overfished. I have yet to catch more or less —

MR. GEIGER: What happened with sea bass was back in Amendment 13-C, it was a stock assessment which assessed the stock as being overfished. To bring that stock back we had to begin a rebuilding plan, which is required as a result of the law. So we had a rebuilding plan which established an annual catch level which established an annual catch limit for black sea bass at X number of pounds, 409,000 pounds I believe it was. It was a constant catch strategy that was adopted by the council, so that meant that during this rebuilding process, until the stock recovered sufficiently, there was a constant catch of X amount of pounds every year. And both the recreational sector under its allocation and the commercial section under its quota had to fish under that aggregate amount of poundage. What has happened as a result of the constant catch strategy, the stock is recovering, the biomass is increasing and we have more and more people fishing. They are encountering more and more sea bass; therefore, the encounters are increasing, and the data indicates that the recreational sector has exceeded its allocation of X 400 whatever poundage it is for black sea bass. That is why we have to take action to rein in the effort associated with black sea bass. And it has to be done and there is a stock assessment that's currently ongoing now to evaluate the condition of that stock again. Once that occurs, it very well may be that the amount of landings that can be caught, the allowable biological catch can be increased as a result of that stock assessment. But until that happens – and that won't be known until around December – we have to abide by what the regulations were at that particular time when those regulations were put in place. So to address the overages that we know are current, we have to take some type of action and the reduction in the bag limit is that action.

MR. CAVIN: George, I can't disagree with you. However, like I said,, I don't get – if a stock is being overfished, I still don't catch less today than what I caught before. And another –

MR. GEIGER: I'm not going to argue the point, but we have to manage the stock through its full range, not just off of Jacksonville, not just of off Sebastian, not just off Hatteras, North

Carolina. We have to manage the stock throughout its entire range, and what you're seeing here may not be representative of what the stock looks like in other locations along that geographical range. So therein lies another huge problem.

MR. CAVIN: Well, if that's the case for red snapper fishing, I thought South Carolina opted out where they decided there wasn't a problem there. I realize this meeting isn't a red snapper thing, but I thought that one of the states chose not to – is that not true?

MR. GEIGER: No, the states can't choose to do anything.

MR. CAVIN: Okay, but maybe the council decided that there wasn't enough of a problem off of a state –

MR. GEIGER: I think what you're referring to is when we looked at the geographical area which needed to be closed to achieve the reductions in landings that were identified as a result of the stock assessment. The majority of the landings happened to occur off of Jacksonville to St. Augustine, which is the geographic center of the biomass. So when you look at the commercial catches, which are identified in grid square blocks up and down the coast, you can see where the preponderance of all those landings of red snapper take place. So if you're looking for an area to close, you want to close the smallest area possible from which you get the biggest bang for your buck; and that would be when you look at those grid squares, you identify what percentage of catch occurs from each of those grid squares, you add it up and if you're looking for an 80 percent reduction, once you get 80 percent reduction from eliminating four grid squares, that's what you do. And if South Carolina doesn't fall into it that's, why South Carolina —

MR. CAVIN: Well, George, I completely agree with that. Then that being said –

MR. ROBSON: Just to be clear though, that was in discussions of what we called the large area closure which is not being put into effect, directed harvest is closed throughout all four states in the South Atlantic range.

MR. GEIGER: You were talking about South Carolina opting out. They didn't opt out; they were not included because the amount of landings that occurred up there was known....

MR. CAVIN: Well, then back to what I was saying with the sea bass, here they seem to be -- like I said, I keep using my own – but I don't, I can catch the same as the day before, but yet the council doesn't want to take a spot rate restriction based upon that. They want to look at it based this whole area.

MR. GEIGER: We have regional coverage, yes..

MR. CAVIN: Okay, well, I understand that, but you're not going – it doesn't sound like you're going to be doing it with the snapper.

MR. GEIGER: We are.

MR. CAVIN: Well, you said that you can pick – you know, their area is not an area that has a great influence –

MR. GEIGER: That was to achieve this closed area, but the ban on the red snapper covers the entire geographic region in the South Atlantic Council. The moratorium is in place for the entire geographical region.

MR. CAVIN: One more quick comment then I'll let others speak. One thing I do see happening; when you start putting restrictions on some species, I really think it's going to ultimately start affecting the other species. Where you use to go out there and catch snapper, you can't catch snapper now, you can't catch sea bass now, so everybody's out to catch B-liners. I think what will happen is ultimately we'll just – it will just have a snowball effect on the other species.

MR. AUKEMAN: Good afternoon; my name is Trip Aukeman. I am representing the Coastal Conservation Association. First of all, we would like to express our concern about the release of the Amendment 18. I'm speaking on Amendment 18, about the release of Amendment 18. We do recognize the pressure that you're under with the Magnuson-Stevens Act to set annual catch limits and other management measures for the fisheries that are now undergoing overfishing, but the timelines for these public hearings is unreasonable. For king mackerel, CCA recommends that there be no changes to the management measures currently imposed. For Spanish mackerel CCA recommends that there be no changes also; and for cobia CCA maintains that for unassessed species like Spanish mackerel, unless there is clear evidence that the stock is declining. The logical option would be to cap the harvest at current levels until the data is available to do the current assessments. CCA anglers are encountering cobia more often, and we encourage the council to wait for the results of the next assessment in February of next year.

NEW BERN, NORTH CAROLINAHILTON NEW BERN RIVERFRONT

APRIL 11, 2011

RON MCPHERSON: My name is Captain Ron McPherson. I operate Highlander Exporters, and I'm concerned about the mackerel and cobia changes that you are talking about making. My concern is, whether you really want to say it or not, in both cases with the Spanish mackerel and the cobia there was not a study done the way I read the material. But somehow you kind of magic up these numbers. And so one of my main concerns is the lack of confidence that the fishing community has about the state and federal fishery and management folks. If you are going to make decisions without having good science, you're not going to regain that confidence. And so my deal is no study, no change. And the closest thing you've got to a study is the Gulf study on the cobia, and you're translating that around the corner and up the east coast. As far as I'm concerned, that's not good enough. If you don't have a study, then you just leave things as they are until you get a study that indicates that there is overfishing, or you have a study that indicates there is a tremendous number of fish that could be caught, so you raise the limits instead of lowering the limits, because it seems like every time I've come to the Hilton there is some conversation about lowering limits, changing things. I think it was wahoo, what, six weeks ago. So, what I'd like to say to the council is do not change the limits on Spanish mackerel in North Carolina and do not change the limits on cobia in North Carolina. You did not change the king so I don't have a problem there. And the truth of the matter is there is a lot of days that I have parties that I take out and they don't want the limit. In other words you catch them five or ten fish a piece they're ready to go – I mean you've got 40 or 50 fish in a box and they are ready

to go do something else. I occasionally have a crew that all they want to do is catch Spanish because it's easy and it's fast and the little kids can handle the handlines, and so it works. And you push up against the limit and you start counting, but most days most people say, nah it's okay. But let's not change it because we don't have any science that says that we should change it. I think that's all I need to say unless my position is not clear.

DR. CHEUVRONT: No, thank you, I think your position is clear. Right now the council has no preferred option that would involve changing the cobia limit, so right now that is not going – that's going to stay two fish per person. That is the preferred option right now. There are some other alternatives in there that are being analyzed that would reduce it or have a closed spawning season, etcetera, but none of those alternatives are chosen as preferred. So the only option or alternative that's a preferred right now is on Spanish mackerel to reducing the bag limit from fifteen to ten fish and I'm sure that will be a discussion again at our meeting in June.

MR. MCPHERSON: I must have misunderstood Gary because I would have sworn that he said that you wanted to change the cobia from two to one. There was somebody that wanted to make the changes from two to one, who was that?

DR. CHEUVRONT: Originally that had been a proposal, but then we were looking at some of the newer numbers that we have been able to get for this and re-estimations, and we were able to have a larger ABC for cobia. And so what we basically have is a larger biomass of fish that we can fish on, and so we are still planning on having two-fish limit for both commercial and recreational.

MR. MCPHERSON: I guess my main point here is unless you've got good science, don't change anything. You know, I'm sorry that you've got to deal with the Magnuson-Stevenson Act and it says, you know, Ye shall, or you'll die or lose your first born, or whatever, but somehow if you don't have good science, if you don't have current studies, if you don't have good science, don't change things because it just makes the regulators look bad. I don't know how else to say it. Thank you for coming and thank you for giving us a chance to say our piece and have a safe trip back to Charleston.

STAN JARUSINSKI: I am here to comment on Amendment 18. My name is Captain Stan Jarusinski, I live at 135 Millcreek Road in Stella, North Carolina, and I've been a member of the 6,000 member Southern Kingfish Association for ten years. Tonight I'm representing the Southern Kingfish Association and the 50 king mackerel tournaments held between North Carolina and Texas, more specifically the 32 sanctioned tournaments held in the South Atlantic zone from North Carolina to Key West. It is interesting to note your comment announcing this meeting, and I quote what was said. "No additional restrictions would be necessary; the stock is not overfished nor undergoing underfishing. The allocation for king mackerel would stay the same." Well we at the Southern Kingfish Association want to take a little bit of credit for that, for this stock being viable. We believe that what we have done in the past ten years that I've been a member of the organization, it went through a complete culture change. And some of the experiences we had were not good; we ticked off some of the fishermen. They left us. We canceled a few tournaments because they wouldn't follow our rules. But after reading what you people had to say in announcing this meeting, we knew we had the right plan and were on the right road. And what we did then are paying dividends now. Let me tell you about some of the things we did that were not very popular. We were not allowed tournaments to have a sanction

that weighed more than one fish. Example: tournaments have big fish weight, lady fish, junior fish, senior angler fish; four fish. We had tournaments that had two two-day tournaments, twoday aggregate. We killed a lot of fish back in those days. We don't allow photos in our magazine of anyone posing more than one fish. We suspended a member for gaffing a king mackerel, more than one, pre-fishing, almost had a fight out on the water in Biloxi, Mississippi, and in this case suspended him. We installed a rule of ten-pound minimum for fish for points. And our members have learned to net and tail fish, we even had a manufacturer make gloves for tailing fish to release from the line. Presently the South Atlantic ACL for king mackerel is 3.71 pounds. 1.3 million pounds for commercial, 2.3 million pounds for recreational. The SKA is requesting a third category. We would like to add a category called competitive fishing, competition fishing or whatever you want to call it. 99.9 percent of the SK A. fishermen are recreational fishermen. I am here tonight to ask that you allot 1 million pounds from the recreational ACL for tournament fishing, competitive fishing, whatever we are going to call it. If this occurs we will work together with the council and whatever powers to be to identify all the tournaments that are being held between Texas and North Carolina. We're asking for a million pounds when we only catch about 140 or 150,000 pounds a year in our tournaments, but there are so many tournaments out there that don't have the same limitations we do on fish and are killing a lot more fish than we are. We will help you to identify these people and when we identify these tournaments we'll put them in our data base, you put them in your data base, and we ask that we cooperate with each other. You're welcome to access our data base, come visit us in St. Augustine, Florida, see what we're doing. We have 16 years worth of information in our data base; we're glad to share it with you. We will continue to monitor the king mackerel resource and continue our aggressive agenda of conservation. We have a dual purpose in our tournament, it's a business and a second thing that we're responsible is for charity tournaments. All of our tournaments are charity tournaments. We ask for that 1 million pounds to be given only to charity tournaments. I'll give you an example of the charities that are dependent upon us and why it's important that our stocks be viable. One local tournament out of Swansboro that I'm a director of, in ten years we have raised \$192,200 for kids' charities. Some of these charities include underprivileged. We buy 252 bikes for an association called the Onslow County. Christmas – the Saturday before Christmas, we buy 252 bicycles and give them to poor kids. Terminally ill children, those in need of emergency medical treatment, we fly them around, it's a Children's Flight for Hope out of Cary, North Carolina. We also support the North Carolina Special Olympics and orphans. I am at liberty tonight to give you the phone number of a contact at the SKA. He's the managing general partner, and his name is Jack Holmes, and his phone number is 904-819-0360. We ask that you take our request under consideration; and if you have any questions, please call Jack Holmes. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak tonight.

WILLIAM RAAB: My name is William Raab. I am representing myself and the Onslow Bay Saltwater Fishing Club tonight. My comments are on Amendment 18, specifically Action 13. The position is we are opposed to establishing or specifying any management control levels or specifications using the currently available data which is known to be flawed. Continue with the current regulations until such time as viable, reliable and verifiable data is available for use in making any determination of management control specifications. Action 16 position; opposed to establishing or specifying any management control levels or specifications using the currently available data, which is known to be flawed. Continue with current regulations until such time as viable, reliable and verifiable data is available for use in making any determination of management control specifications. Action 18 position; opposed to the reduction in the individual bag limit from 15 to 10 per person; continue with the current regulations until such

time as viable, reliable and verifiable data is available for use in making any determination of management control specifications. Action 19, opposed to establishing or specifying any management control level specifications using the currently available data which is known to be flawed. Continue with the current regulations until such time as viable, reliable and verifiable data is available for use in making any determination of management control specifications. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

WILLIAM VANSCIVER: My name is William Vansciver. I'm from Morehead City, North Carolina. My concern is about the tailing permit. If I understand it correctly, it's to be eliminated from everything other then the commercial sector, is that correct?

DR. CHEUVRONT: I don't recall specifically on that, whether it—I know that there was a law enforcement issue with the commercial sector, that they are either all going to have to be tailed, or none of them tailed, but I'm not recalling how that impacts the recreational fishery, so I can't tell you right offhand.

MR. VANSCIVER: Yes, my concern is that as a recreational fisherman, diver in particular, that we do multiple day trips routinely and just throwing lobster in a whole form definitely affects and degrades the product, so tailing is certainly a preferred way to handle it. And so my concern would be that option be taken away from us, so that's my concern.

DR. CHEUVRONT: (And I can appreciate that because I know that was the same reason that why the commercial sector wanted to be allowed to tail the spiny lobsters.

MR. VANSCIVER: Especially with fuel and everything, we're having to adjust our agendas, and our agendas are going to probably switch to multiple-day trips more so then in the past to kind of help offset the additional cost of fuel. And that's my concern; thank you.

DR. CHEUVRONT: I appreciate that, and I've written that down. I'll make sure we get to talk about that. I don't think that there was any particular concern in developing this to shut out recreational sector. It was an issue in the commercial sector, and I believe down in Florida where most of the recreational spiny lobster fishing occurs, it's a day trip. I mean, it's literally offshore right there to get them. So we probably didn't have any input for recreational sector in other areas.

MR. VANSCIVER: Well, not so in this area where we go far offshore and again stay multiple days.

NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA HILTON GARDEN INN

April 12, 2011

MR. BROWN: My name is Mark Brown; I own the charter fishing boat Teaser II. I am here on behalf of the South Carolina Recreational Fishing Alliance, and I'm making comments on the king mackerel and the cobia. The king mackerel stocks; I think that according to the recommendations on the assessment or the catch limits on those, I think they should stay status quo as preferred, and everything should pretty much be good with that. The cobia, being as that

there is no recent stock assessment on that then there's really nothing that's going to be any changes with that until 2013 and that currently there is really no overfishing or any overfished status, then I would like to recommend splitting the management for the cobia into the Gulf and the Atlantic instead of jointly managing it and keeping the status quo at two per person on the cobia. And then also increasing the—as a conservation measure, because the cobia is sexually mature at 30 inches fork length according to the studies that have been done by the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, is that the minimum catch, the size limit right now is at 33 inches fork length and possibly as a management measure should not exceed any ACL. In the future we would recommend as a conservation measure to increase the size limit from 33 inches to 36 inches fork length, which would still be a good enough size fish that would fit right into a category that would hopefully keep the fish from being closed down based on any ACL that was recommended for that species. As far as that goes, I think that is everything I have to say. I just pretty much wanted to make a recommendation on that species in particular. As far as the Spanish mackerel goes, I think that the catch limit on those being 15 per person could be adjusted down to 12 per person if needed in order to keep it from going over the ACL. I think that that would fall right in line with everybody else as far as their thoughts, and that's all I have to say.

MR. JOHNSON: I'm Captain Robert Johnson. In regard to the Spanish mackerel and the king mackerel, I think they need to adopt the AP's recommendations. And really all I have to say about the cobia is I would like to see the council adopt Florida's regulations as far as the cobia. I think it's a little inequitable to have three states that are two fish per person with no boat bag limit and have another state that's one fish per person, six per boat maximum. I think that would be a good thing to do. It probably would eliminate any need for accountability measures to be kicked in in the future. I understand the numbers aren't real accurate and that's a problem, but that's a problem with a lot of these species. And if in the future it looks like that can be lessened up, I would love to see Florida maybe go to a bigger bag limit, but as it stands right now I think it would be a wise move just to adopt that for all four states. Thank you.

MR. SCOTT WHITAKER: We've got a prepared statement here, Tom; I'm just going to read it. It's about a page and a half worth. It's all on Amendment 18. Good evening, my name is Scott Whitaker. I'd like to thank the council for giving us the opportunity to address the wide assortment of actions and alternatives proposed in Amendment 18. Having said that, we must also express our concern that releasing the 333-page public hearing document on April 5th and scheduling the first public hearing on April 11th is not conducive to obtaining constructive input. That is the goal of the public hearing process. CCA recognizes the pressure to meet the 2011 deadline on the Magnuson-Stevens to set annual catch limits and other management measures for fisheries that are not undergoing overfishing. The timeline for these public hearings is simply unreasonable. Allowing less than a week to review the public hearing document is unacceptable. and once again casts doubt the council's sincere efforts to gather and utilize meaningful input from the recreational angling community. The following testimony is prepared by Coastal Conservation Association to address proposed annual catch limits and accountability measures for king mackerel, Spanish mackerel and cobia. With regard to the formula the council uses to set ACLs, CCA commends the council for its willingness to explore a more reasonable manner of setting limits for an unassessed stock. The previous proposal to set the ACL at the median of the last ten years' landing was fraught with problems. We are more encouraged by the council's current method on setting the ACL at the level of the third highest landings over the previous ten years. King mackerel: with regard to the Atlantic migratory group king mackerel, the stock was

last assessed in SEDAR 16 in '08. Subsequent council action set catch limits based on that assessment and the recreational harvest of king mackerel has remained under those limits. As a result, CCA is recommending that there be no changes in the management measures currently in place for king mackerel. Regarding the need to set an accountability measure for king mackerel as required in the MSA, in the event that the recreational sector does go over its ACL at some point in the future, CCA recommends that the council remedy the overage by modifying the recreational bag limit rather than enacting closed seasons on king mackerel. Spanish mackerel: CCA believes the most significant consideration of the council and its fisheries is the fact the recreational sector has been under its limits for the past decade, and therefore we are recommending that there be no changes in management measures currently in place for Spanish mackerel. It is also essential to note that while Spanish mackerel currently do not have an accepted peer-reviewed stock assessment and are therefore subject to the new council guidelines described earlier for setting an annual catch limit, a coastal migratory pelagic assessment for king mackerel, Spanish mackerel and cobia scheduled for 2012. CCA maintains that for unassessed species, unless there is clear evidence that the stock is declining, the control rule should not limit current harvest. The logical option would be to simply cap the harvest at current levels until data is available through a current assessment. Spanish mackerel are one of the most assessed species in the South Atlantic and there are no indications of trouble with the stock. Lastly, cobia: like Spanish mackerel, cobia is an unassessed species and is subject to the council's new method of setting the annual catch limit to the level of the third highest landings over the last ten years. CCA maintains that for unassessed species, unless there is clear evidence that the stock is declining, the control rules should not limit current harvest. The logical option would be to simply cap the harvest at current levels until data is available through a current assessment. CCA recognizes that the council has data indicating that the recreational sector went slightly over its annual catch limit in 2010, but we'd like to emphasize that the council's conservative past management of this species has produced a stock that is steadily increasing. We believe this is a situation where the stock is most likely healthy. Larger year classes are entering the system and anglers are encountering cobia more often. We encourage the council to wait for the results of the assessment schedule for next year before considering any new management measures for cobia. Thank you for this opportunity to present our comments on these issues.

MR. BARR: My name is William Siau Barr, Jr. I am an attorney in Charleston, South Carolina, and have my undergraduate degree in biology from the College of Charleston. I've grown up fishing here all my life and it's just a—it seems to me maybe it's – going fishing with my Dad as a kid, example cobia, it would be difficult to catch them in the '80's what have you, the early '80's and then, now that I've grown up it seems to me that you can't drive around a cage buoy when there won't be a plethora of them. I think that the fact that the SAFMC are using the data, which every time that I go to one of these meetings, is that they say the data is erroneous, the data's erroneous, and it's bad data. We need to get better data, but it's still being implemented with, a big dull sword and beating everything down, where people are just now having to justify going out and catching a fish to feed their family, going out and catching fish as a business to support their family. I know people who have owned headboats that have left the state because they can no longer have a viable income; and it seems to me that regardless what the black letter law of the Magnuson-Stevens Act says, that there has to be some deference to the erroneous data. In law school or environmental type situations or RICRA and other type cases, when you have completely erroneous environmental assessments, that's a means in which to overturn a decision, or prolong it or to modify it. And it seems to me that there needs to be some deference in the

marine fisheries that they know they have erroneous data, that they are taking a hard line on many species. And I realize too we're here for cobia, but this all still follows through in spiny lobster and everything in between, I realize that; but if we follow the same path on all these different tracks and just make rash decisions based on erroneous data, it seems to me that the spirit and intent of the law to end overfishing is being wielded to just stop fishing. In regards to the spiny lobster I have concern about the data that you're going to be able to collect in South Carolina. I dive off the coast of Charleston. And there are a lot—from what I understand, there more here and larger then Florida, and that deals with the difficulty to get to 80 feet of water, to travel 30 miles out to dive for them here. I would just hope that if there is going to be any kind of implementation of any kind of reassessments or any kind of catch limits or to prohibit the harvest that's more restrictive than it is now, using data from perhaps Florida, that where you can jump off the beach and catch these exact same species, that there would be an ability to cater to the local ecology in that ecosystem. I would also just like to have some common sensible approaches to these things rather than what appears to be just a knee-jerk reaction based on erroneous data, where you can go out and catch fish and still maintain a healthy population.

POOLER, GEORGIAMIGHTY EIGHTH AIR FORCE MUSEUM

APRIL 13, 2011

MR. PAGE: My name is Chris Page. I represent Team Ecstasy, which consists of my family and a bunch of friends that fish. The only concern that I have, listening in there, was regarding the cobia. I understand that you're required to set some type of limits, but it seems like you have kind of used some of the data and then just picked a number and said, okay, here, is what we are going to pick since we haven't done any research on it, you picked an arbitrary number. And then with the red snapper, there was a lot of concern about going on skewed data that I didn't follow as closely as I should have probably, but I'm just concerned that some of these decisions are going to be made, and the data that's being used is not as accurate as it really needs to be. To jus kind of copycat what the other gentleman says, I'm concerned about potential closure for a spawning season, because that really targets Georgia and South Carolina as well, but I would really like to see that the data from the research – you said it's being done when?

MR. HARRIS: The new stock assessment will be done in 2013 for cobia.

MR. PAGE: For cobia, okay, so it's going to stay the same for a couple of years unless that overall level is hit and then decisions are going to be made.

MR. HARRIS: Right and the decision will be made by the National Marine Fisheries Service based on whatever plan is put into effect right now. We don't make those decisions; we just adopt the fishery management plans; and then as soon as the Secretary of Commerce approves them or rejects them, then regulations are published, and they become enforced and effective law.

MR. PAGE: Well, I don't have anything else, just a concern about cobia.

MR. STRACUZZA: My name is Chris Stracuzza. I'm with Team Ecstasy here out of Savannah. I had a comment on cobia. With the two bag limit, I understand that we have to go to one or to two per person, but what I really would not like to see is Alternative 6 and discuss the note at the bottom about the April, May, June; just being with that being our actual – you would consider seeing them, with those fish moving through here. And then the overfishing limit, if I heard him right, there wasn't really much data as far as showing – they were just kind of going off of kind off

MR. HARRIS: It's just based on landings information; that's all there is.

MR. STRACUZZA: Landings information, yes, before you actually – I mean, but I understand that you have to, because somebody above you is asking you to do something, but it would be smarter to actually figure out first before you just know that you were going to do this because you have to. I was pretty much here for the cobia, so everything else seems all right. If you're going to 12 and 6 with the Spanish, I wouldn't see that at all being a great idea. You're pretty much going to cancel out an entire season if you did have to stop it during the spawning season. If you're going to do the whole – from New York all the way down for the cobia, I mean it would pretty much ruin it here with not being able to, but that's all.

MR. NEWLIN: I'm Captain David Newlin from Richmond Hill, Georgia. I run a charterboat business, I know you have heard of me before. I've been in it since the late '70's. I have a comment on Spanish mackerel. I am definitely in the recreational industry and we definitely need something to be done about recreational anglers selling their catches. I don't feel like the commercial quota is real correct, because I just see too many of them get sold. Gregg mentioned something a while ago needing to somehow or another figuring the shrimp boat bycatch in all these quotas, because the shrimp boat bycatch on Spanish is pretty large. I get bait from a lot of them boys right off the back deck of their boats a lot of times. It will usually comprise at times heavily of juvenile kings and Spanish. These fish are totally dead. I'm not in agreement with your dividing the quota at 55—I believe it was 55 percent commercial to Spanish. Our Spanish off the coast of Georgia are all for the better part nonexistent most of the time. I've fished an area around St. Catherine's Island most of the time and the last three or four seasons hardly ever see any big schools of Spanish on the surface and all like we use to. Last year we had a real influx of big Spanish mackerel. We had a lot of three, four, and five pound fish, not hundreds, but I caught more of them last year then I had been.

MR. HARRIS: Do you have a recommendation on the split?

MR. NEWLIN: I think it should be no more than 50/50 commercial/ recreational. And the bag limit; cutting back to ten wouldn't be a big deal at all to me; I haven't seen a limit of them in years now. And the ten, as long as it is comparable on both ends for commercial and recreational, I don't see any problem with that. I would just like to emphasize that I would like to see an – something has got to give with these recreational and commercial anglers; and a no sale on that.

MR. HARRIS: We took that out of this amendment because we felt like it would hold up, but it's definitely going in the next amendment. I shouldn't say it's going in, it will be considered with the next amendment for the Mackerel Plan. Anything else, David?

MR. NEWLIN: That's probably well it on the Spanish; a small comment on the cobia. Spanish mackerel recreational fish being sold is a small problem. Cobia recreational fish being sold is a humongous problem. It's leading to large illegal catches. I know a lot of guys over South Carolina way especially are constantly talking to me about making three or four trips a day. But you're talking a big fish, 40 pound cobia, it doesn't take a whole lot of them and some of the restaurants over there last year were paying huge prices, eleven or twelve dollars a pound for filleted cobia. It was enough to make this good boy go back. I had nothing to do with it, but I heard a lot of talk about some of the boys that were doing it. I think I caught 12 cobia last year. The bag limit, going to one per person on cobia, if that's what we have got to do, one per boat is a little too restrictive, but leaving size – I would rather see the bag limit reduced than the size increased, because one per person when you're talking a 25 pound fish is not going—as far as the charter business is concerned, that would not strangle the charter business. When I've got three people on board and I can keep three big cobia, that's—and they are an easy fish to release, especially if you just cut the hook off in them. That's pretty well it. The king mackerel stuff looks pretty cut and dried; three per person, that has worked and should continue to work.

MR. SOUTHARD: My name is Lee Southard and I am a member of the RFA. That's who I guess you can say I represent, but I represent myself. In reference to mackerel, I'm for the 12 and 60. I don't think there's any shortage in mackerel. For cobia, I recommend no change until we get data to back that up if we do need a change. If you close the cobia from April through June, that's the time that they're here and that's about the only fish you can catch out there. So, if you close during the spawning season, you're going to kill the fishing for cobia out there. And for kingfish, I would just leave it alone. Six million pounds were allocated and we were under last year. We've been under the recreational just about every year. And three kingfish, that's more than enough per person. Cobia, two per person is fine. I don't know how many I caught last year, but I probably caught — myself or with boat probably caught 40. We caught two or three per trip, probably. That's the only comment I have on those. Do we need to comment on the spiny lobster?

MR. HARRIS: If you want to, there wasn't a presentation, but you're welcome to comment.

MR. SOUTHARD: I don't understand why there is a problem because you have to release them in the water if they are small.

MR. HARRIS: Well, nobody saying there is a problem. What we have to do is comply with new law, and so we have to set annual catch limits and those kinds of things. That's what the proposed regulation on spiny lobster is. It is not going to affect you at all.

CAPE CANAVERAL, FLORIDA RADISSON RESORT AT THE PORT

APRIL 18, 2011

BOBBY CARDIN: Bobby Cardin. I just wish the council on this lobster amendment on the tailing permit, on the Action 8, modifying the tailing permit, there are two preferred, one of which is about the whole or tailed lobsters, and I wish I could make that disappear. The only

reason to tail is for marketing, to hold your product. If you're on a multi-day trip, and at the beginning of your trip you tail for the longevity of the product; and on your last day, if you kept the lobsters whole for your whole markets, there is no sense in tailing the lobsters I'm going to be landing tonight. For me to have to tail just to be tailing them all doesn't make sense to me. George, can I ask you a question; why is that in there? Do you recall why they are requesting all or the other, if you don't mind answering?

MR. GEIGER: I understand what you're saying, and I do not recall what the argument was; whether it was a law enforcement issue or whether it was a development of another alternative to add an alternative in there. We are required to have multiple alternatives, a whole suite of reasonable alternatives.

MR. CARDIN: Well, we have got two preferreds on one action here. I know you don't always have two preferreds on one action, but anyway any councilman that listens to this, I wish you'd do away with that. In my case I've learned how to work the market. Let's say you had ten pounds of whole lobsters, well, if they're females, they produce about 32 percent tail weight and males are about 27 percent. The larger the lobster, the less the tail weight; so I can take large males and tail them to make more money off the tail. Whereas, with the female, I can sell them whole - excuse me, I said that wrong. You tail the females with the great big tails. Now you have more weight for your fifteen or twenty dollar a pound customer. Whereas when you sell the whole lobster, you're getting more for the male lobster with the big heads and big legs, which is okay because that's usually to a fish market that sells to a restaurant that makes stock out of the heads. They're just wanting the flavor from the head. But I guarantee I've probably bought a couple cars off of how I market my products, the little extra product you can make. In this day and time, George, you know you're wanting us to take less and less fish, and you need to be able to make the most money we can off of what we do take, or I do. Anyway, any councilmen who are listening to this, I just really wish that this would be pulled out and just leave it the way it was. Thank you.

MR. ANDERSON: My name is Brock Anderson. I own Bottom Dollar Charter Fishing out of Port Canaveral, Florida. I'd like to speak to the cobia issue. In my estimation there should be a matching of the federal and state regulations on the recreational bag limit for cobia. There is an enforcement problem with the state of Florida trying to enforce two different rules. Also, two fish per person, in my estimation recreational is just too many fish. The people are satisfied with one per person or a maximum or six for the boat. I don't think that we need to appease the animals like we did the sea bass. If we happen to reach an ACL limit on cobia like we did on sea bass, we don't need to have the season closed. It would be much easier to get a step ahead and reduce the bag limit now before we run into that situation; and basically the same idea with Spanish mackerel; Spanish mackerel is at 15 per person. That's way too many fish. Ten is way too many fish, but ten is a start. Reduce it by that much and there again to save that step toward closing season before it needs to be closed. The king mackerel seems to be in good condition. The two per person seems to be working. There again, that's a lot of fish, but since we are not in danger of exceeding boat limits yet, I'm in favor of leaving that stay where it is at two per person. I'm going to be e-mailing my written comments in more specificity to you before the 29th, but I just wanted to -- I think it's very important on the cobia not to exceed those ACLs so we don't stop our fishing here.

MR. KANE: Hello, my name is Dan Kane. I've been a commercial king fisherman for 20 years. Today the king mackerel are really coming back really good. The problem is there are about 200 too many boats. There are so many boats we flood the market in two days. There are too many boats that is costing me about \$70,000 in the last four years. I expect to lose about \$40,000 this year and probably 50 or \$60,000 dollars next year. There are really, really way too many king mackerel permits out there. You need to reduce them. And that limit I've looked at, there are six different solutions. I really hope you reduce the number of permits on king mackerel. You've destroyed my fishery, destroyed my way of life by issuing 1,500 too many king mackerel permits 15 years ago. Anyway, anything I need to say is in that little blue folder. Please reduce the number of king mackerel permits out there; it's unreasonable. Thank you.

DAVID HEIL: My name is David Heil. I'm a recreational angler. In regards to the cobia run this year, the cobia run this year has been the strongest I've seen in my memory at least. It's been one of the best, the longest, and you don't need any changes in that. I've filed my written statements, and I'm going to go through it item by item, but I just want to hit some high points... In regards to that, we don't need any changes in the cobia at this point in time, but I do support the break of the management at the Dade/ Monroe County line. There is a separate state fishery. There are actually many different fisheries. We have the Atlantic run, they have the Gulf run, and we also have our own resident cobia out here that stay here all the time, so there are many different and distinct species -- maybe not species -- but at least different groups of the cobia that move through here. And from that standpoint the smaller the management area the better, because we are going to be able to do that. In regards to the framework of the modification of the procedures and the alternatives, I favor the broad framework. It gives the most flexibility, and it should be the one that will give us the most ability to make changes on a shorter-term level by the council instead of just having the staff do it at NOAA. In regards to the spiny lobster amendment, there shouldn't be any changes in regards to it. We have a good population of spiny lobster out here. I do support moving all the management all species of lobster back to the state of Florida. Let them regulate them since they are basically more of an authority. As far as the tailing, there shouldn't be any tailing allowed in that. There should not be any shorts allowed for the use of anything, that's a waste of lobster. There is a short lobster mortality there when they're using them for traps, and the public should be able to remove the derelict traps. We find them out there when we are diving, and we can remove them simply during that time while we're diving for other species. Thank you.

MR. HARLOW: My name is Greg Harlow. I've lived here in Cocoa Beach for 55 years. My Dad was a commercial fisherman; I learned to fish with him. I've got my son, Jason, here with me today. The fishing is better now than it ever was. He finished in the early '70s. Its unbelievable what the fishing is like right now, and these regulations that keep being applied to us I think are unfair to the average anglers. We're not out there everyday; and when we do get the opportunity to fish, because we fish small boats, it's luxury time for us as a family to get out there. I have another son and my wife; and it's a premium time for us, and now it's almost impossible to go fishing without having to travel a large amount of miles with the gas and gas prices and everything. It's not very convenient for the local people here to be eliminating all the fish. The cobia, I've seen more cobia this year than I've ever seen in my life out there. To consider reducing to one fish, that seems absolutely outlandish. Maybe some of these big party boats, when they have got eight, nine or ten people with a big tower, they have a super advantage over the average guy that just goes out there occasionally. They're hitting it pretty hard from

these boats. You might want to go to a boat limit or something like that, but one fish for a trip, you can't fish for anything else. You've eliminated sea bass, you're talking about eliminating mackerel, and they are so thick you can't even get anything down underneath the water right now without catching one. The sea bass, you put a Saviski Rig down there to get bait, and you bring up the whole line with nothing but sea bass on it. Snapper, I don't even want to get into the snapper issue, because you spend a lot of time on the water learning where to find them. People go out there and fish for them, and they're fishing on flat bottom, they're not going to find a snapper. To me it's just outlandish what's going on here. I understand that there are some management issues, but I don't think they've done their research on cobia. Last year we had cobia here all year. This year it hasn't stopped. I mean it's been a year-round fishery, You're talking about when they migrate through here. The rays migrate through here, but the cobias are here all the time. You've just got to know how to fish for them or where to go find them when you get time to go fish. The weather is maybe your consideration for the recreational angler, and I think this ruling is going to really put a hamper on our fishing. I know you're looking for future generations; well, I have a generation right now that wants to fish, and we're having a hard time finding species of fish to fish for – that are worth fishing for. So, that's all I have to say. I disapprove of Amendment 18 and I'm just totally against it. That's all I've got to say.

MR. GEIGER: Sir, have you been in the room and listened to the staff presentation?

MR. HARLOW: No, I didn't; I did not. I did not go in there. I just wanted to make my opinion knowing how I don't like any of these regulations right now, because I don't think the science is behind it. It's proven we can go out – and if I went bottom fishing, I could get my limit of snapper. I don't agree with the size limits and all the rest of that for snappers, and I'm know this isn't about snappers, but some of that stuff is outlandish because people don't -- if they are complaining about not fishing, they haven't spent their time on the water to learn where fish are. I don't know who's complaining about not being able to catch fish. You go watch television, you watch these longliners with 40 miles of longlines out, they are taking swordfish, tuna, sharks, and they are wiping out whole species out in deeper water then what we're fishing inshore, and it does have an effect on everything else. I think the longlining ought to be stopped throughout all of Florida waters. I think that's ridiculous to have longlining. It's just a slaughter of a species that are targeted. At least with cobia you know you've got a size limit of fish. You can usually see the fish you're fishing for and be selective on what you're fishing for. With longlines you can't be selective, and I think that's overlooked.

MR. GEIGER: You do know that the longlines are banned inside of 50 fathoms off of Florida.

MR. HARLOW: Yes, but I've seen them right off the coast here, they do it all the time.

MR. GEIGER: Have you reported them to the law enforcement?

MR. HARLOW: I have not. I can say that I have not, but they come out of port all the time and do that. Longline boats go out that port --

MR. GEIGER: Longline boats might go in and out of port, as they go in and out of Ft. Pierce --

MR. SEAVER: My name is Jeff Seaver. I am the owner and operator of the Charterboat Rendezvous. I'm a member of Central Florida Offshore Anglers and FSFA as well. With

regards to Coastal Pelagics Amendment 18, I support preferred Alternative Number 3. With regards to Action Number 2, we would like to modify the framework procedure. I would like to suggest a broad framework procedure. Action 13.3, I would like to suggest no action, do not establish an ABC control rule on the pelagic migratory group king mackerel. For Action 19, ABC control rule and ABC for the Atlantic migratory group cobia, I support Alternative 1, no action at this time. I would suggest further greater study of the cobia population before moving on into anymore closures. It may be, in fact, that it could be ideal for all in concern to move the bag limits from twelve per day on the six-pack down to six per day or one fish per person limit. I think that might in fact bring us in line with some of the other southern states and still provide an adequate fishery. This is my personal feeling. On this I'm not speaking for any clubs that I belong to in regards to that. The only other fish I'd like to speak to is spiny lobster, Amendment 10, the utilization of the --

MR. GEIGER: If I may, can we go back to the cobia issue? I'm confused by your statement that you didn't want any changes to the bag limit until there was more study, and then you went on to say that perhaps --

MR. SEAVER: My personal feeling is six fish per day per boat on the six-pack charter boat is appropriate; that's enough. The clubs and other organizations are going to come down and say that no changes are appropriate, but if you look at 92 percent recreational catch share versus an 8 percent commercial, it probably would behoove the recreational sector to get ahead of the curve and work with this rather than against it. Again, these are my personal feelings. With regard to spiny lobster, the only issue I'd like to speak to is the issue of utilizing shorts in spiny lobster traps, short or illegal size crawfish with six weeks in a trap. At one time there were 900,000 traps to be reduced by 10 percent per year until a federal injunction blocked that. If you take the several hundred thousand traps per year and the number of doing the math of small crawfish in most of the traps for six weeks times nine months a season, you get a fairly high kill rate of immature and illegal size crawfish. I would like to suggest that practice be eliminated. That's the end of my testimony.

JOHN CONNELY: My name is John Connely; I reside in Orlando, Florida. I am a recreational diver, primarily hunting for lobster. My main base where I dive from is in Jupiter, West Palm, but I also turn around and go down to the Keys a couple of times a year. I just have three areas of the action plan that I want to comment on. Working backwards, under number nine, limiting the fishing area due to the staghorn coral; there has been no widespread documented problems with the traps being laid on staghorn coral. Most of the traps are laid out into the sand areas in front of the reefs but not on the reefs. Most of the trap people understand that. By limiting any of the fishing areas due to staghorn coral, we really won't see any improvement whatsoever. Even turtles, loggerhead turtles, green turtles and such down that are under there, I've seen eating the coral and such and the sponges. They will do more damage to the staghorn coral than what any of the traps and such would turn around and do. Under my next action item is Action Item Number 6, the framework. A SEDAR group of scientists were not able to up with an ACL for lobsters. The reason for this is because the recruits that come into Florida come in from the outer Caribbean, Cuba; they analyzed this by the DNA it turned around and came through. The lobsters are not born here in Florida; therefore, we don't know what our existing birth rates are and stuff for that. Until we have solid information on where our recruits are coming from and how long and what the process is from -- how any of them are coming through -- then it is not wise to go ahead and change the framework in number six; to take it out of the council members'

voting rights and give it to a limited number of managers. It should stick with the whole council so that they can analyze and question SEDAR and the other scientists to make sure the data that they have is correct in its finding.

MR. GEIGER: So, you're not in favor of turning control of the spiny lobster over to the state of Florida?

MR. CONNELY: No, I still think that because it also affects so many of the other areas, and we all know that what's going to happen eventually is there is going to be a cooperative agreement with Nicaragua and Cuba eventually to turn around and look at those recruits and everything else.. That's going to be in the federal government's jurisdiction; that's not going to be in the state of Florida. They are not going to go ahead and allow them to go ahead and do that. Even though they possibly could share that information and stuff, but in my opinion we still need to sit there and stick with the full council. Lastly, is not so much of an action item, but the biggest problem with our lobster populations now; and why back down in 2005, we turned around and we saw numbers rapidly decreasing, as explained by Mr. Carmichael and Mr. Hunt, that they firmly believe that the biggest problem that we have is the TAB-1 virus. They think that is one of the biggest reasons why we have that. The virus is stated that it's transmitted by having lobsters that are in close proximity of each other for three days. A lot of turnaround in having both traps and also seeding those traps with shorts, where those shorts could also turn around and already harbor the TAB-1 virus, you're going and you're spreading that disease more and more to your adults, even if they are egg bearing or not by going ahead and having traps. And people sitting there removing those lobsters, putting them back into the water and stuff, they're getting back on the ledges, getting back into the traps again, and they are spreading that virus. It's no different than what we have from our AIDS syndrome in humans and such, et cetera, right now.

MR. GEIGER: So what you're alleging is that if you have a trap and you have a short in it that has the virus, and it attracts other lobsters which may be short, and they are taken to the surface and then handled by the trapper and then released because they are shorts —

MR. CONNELY: Or even if they are turned around and the soak period for the trap is longer than three days, since they say that it takes three days for the virus to go ahead and be translated when the lobsters are in close proximity – while in a reef they can go ahead and they can avoid the other lobster that has a virus, which they have said that does happen, but in that trap situation, or even in holding shorts overnight and for two nights so that they can go ahead and they can replant into their traps and everything, we're turning around and we're spreading that virus with the attractants or also just turn around and just getting in the actual lobster trap itself. If those lobster traps are not pulled in three days, which we all know that they are not, they soak for much, much longer, it only takes three days and it's out there. We're killing our lobster population by having just a single lobster inside the trap that will go ahead and spread that disease now to everybody else that is inside that trap; whether it finally goes for consumption or if that lobster is short, under three inches and such, or it's egg-bearing, it will turn around and will be returned to the sea. John Hunt, Mr. Carmichael and I sat in the SEDAR meetings, and there was a lot of very, very good information there. That is where our biggest problems are.

MR. GEIGER: What did John Hunt have to say about your comments?

MR. CONNELY: He agrees that the TAB-1 virus is one of the number one causes for why our populations and our landings --

MR. GEIGER: What did he say about your hypothesis with spreading that virus with traps?

MR. CONNELY: He agreed; he said that is where viruses turn around; that is where it is coming from. That is called a turnaround. It takes a three-day soak period, to turn around what they've done, and it only takes those three days. Those traps are not pulled in three days. Whereas where we do not have traps up here in the eastern part of it -- I'm sorry, the northern part of Florida up here, we do not have traps up here, 90 percent of the catch limit is down in the Keys with all the traps and everything else. The problem is not up here, the problem is down there with the traps. About 90 percent of the lobster –

MR. GEIGER: Are you on our Spiny Lobster AP?

MR. CONNELY: No, I'm not.

MR. GEIGER: You just went down to the Keys and attended on your own down there?

MR. CONNELY: I learned that's where it starts.

MR. GEIGER: Are you going to be at the AP meeting in June in Key West?

MR. CONNELY: Yes.

MR. BOWEN: My name is Mason Bowen and I do belong the SFA as well, ETFS Chapter. I just want to address one thing, George. Well, actually, I want to address more than one thing. In our mixing zone in the wintertime, we're looking to get possible increases in the commercial hook-and-line allocation of king mackerel. Now that is supposed to come from the Gulf, but the problem with that whole thinking is that in November and December, these are clearly Atlantic fish. What we want is we want some increases coming from the Atlantic as well; it's a mixing zone. Certainly, whatever increases would be due should be looked at as coming from the Atlantic stock as well as the Gulf stock. I feel like it's a very important point; even your field researchers agree with November and December as being Atlantic fish. If there is a presence of Gulf fish, it starts happening in January, and at different times there is a high presence of Gulf fish in January and February, but any increases that may take place would be, in my view, a responsibility of both stocks of fish. I also want to address sector management. You've heard me before, and when it comes to king mackerel you're talking about a species of fish that's so highly migratory. They move with water temps and food source. They're not a fish like the salmon that have to go back to the same place. They're as happy in 27 fathoms as they are in 40 or 50 feet of water. My point is how can you sector management on a pelagic fish that you have no idea where he's going to be from one year to the next? I certainly wish no ill will to the North Carolinian fishermen, but you can see by their absence of landings in the last couple years that obviously the fish ain't been where they thought they were because they haven't been catching them. We know that they're there; they're just in some other place. You cannot sector manage these migratory fish in my estimation, George.

MR. GEIGER: Now, when you talk about sector management, you're referring to regional management, correct?

Mr. BOWEN: Yes. The last couple things – I really wish that you guys would go back and look for us in the king mackerel fishery; inactive permits defined as a permit that has not seen landings on it within the last ten years; I mean, if that is the case, let's get rid of them. There are too many permits; you say there are too many permits. We agree; let's start putting some management plans into place that the fishermen want. There are some things that we can do that you're not going to lock horns with certain groups. This is one of those things is this holding a permit and not using it; certainly, he's not depending on that permit to make even a part of his living. We would like to see the two for one go into place, George. We understand that these things take time, but you've got to start somewhere. I also understand that this will have to be a joint initiative between the Atlantic and Gulf, but somebody has got to step up to the plate and start making it happen. We, as fisherman, did not put all these permits on the market, but we do have a few solutions to the problem. If we can just get a start, then we're heading in a direction. That's all I have to say.

MR. GEIGER: If I can make a suggestion; if you guys feel strongly about that, you ought to use that Southern Fisheries Association and Rusty Hudson, whomever, to be your spokesperson, because Amendment 19 would be the appropriate place to put that?

MR. BARKER: Pat Barker of South Eastern Fisheries Association. As far as a short comment on the spiny lobster in 10, we'd like to see the state of Florida take as much control as possible in the management of that. We feel that they've got more of a vested interest and knowledge and could hopefully drive proper science in the management of that species. My other comments are basically just pertaining to the Spanish mackerel fishery, and we've got written comments that are being put in. We really wish for the Spanish mackerel to remain at the same quota, because the SEDAR 17 assessment was thrown out by the SSC, and so basically what the council or the SSC is having to use for the council is landing data. The problem with the landing data is that there is no correlation to fishing effort; and if you're just going to use landing data, you need to have some correlation as to number of days, number of permits used, et cetera, because of the inherent changes in the weather, market conditions that will affect it. For instance, in your information that I've seen here today, in '07, '08, the '08 and '09, you see the Spanish mackerel catch is way off, but coincided with the same time was a lot of the fleet converting over to king fishing. So, basically here you've got a big drop in those numbers. I understand if you're not going to use the assessment, then you're going to use the landing data, but the landing data has got to be correlated versus the fishing effort; otherwise, you have no idea of whether that was just really good fishing or bad fishing. And if that's the only thing you're going to use, the SSC should have been able to correlate it versus the trip tickets or some other thing so that they can really see how much effort was put into catch that amount. And that would be a good correlation, trying at least to get a little closer handle on what's out there. So, because of that, we wish for the quota to remain the same, also because it's a very healthy fishery, and the sizes that are in the fishery are very large. So, we really hope the council will stay with that until they get a full assessment.

RUSTY HUDSON: Rusty Hudson on behalf of the Hook-and-Line King Mackerel Commercial Fleet and I'll submit this preliminary written comment. To the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council about proposed actions of Draft Amendment 18 that goes to the

Migratory Pelagic Fishery Management Plan. Directed Sustainable Fisheries strongly supports Action 13.3 preferred Alternative 2, to adopt the SSC recommended acceptable biological catch control rule and establish ABC at 10.46 million pounds. DSF also supports Action 13.4 wherein annual catch limit equals optimum yield also equals the ABC of 10.46 million pounds, which is the average of the ABC values for 2011 - 13 as recommended by the SSC. The SF supports Action 13.5A, Preferred Alternative 1; do not specify commercial sector annual catch targets, ACT, for Atlantic Migratory Group king mackerel. The SF supports Action 14 preferred Alternative 2 for the commercial accountability measures for the Atlantic migratory group king mackerel; that is, to prohibit harvest, possession and retention when the quota is met or projected It further support the preferred Subalternative 3A; pay back commercial of any to be met. overage – I got that loused up – stock status. On behalf of the Spanish mackerel fishing fleet, we support Action 16.3, Alternative 1, no action; do not establish the recommended ABC control to set ABC at 5.69 million pounds since that will cause a reduction in the commercial Spanish mackerel annual quota from approximately 3.62 million pounds down to 3.13 million pounds, causing the loss of a half a million pounds of Atlantic's per year. This reduction would be a large economic impact to these fishermen's income for the next few years; and since Spanish mackerel is such a success story, quote Ben Hartig, the status quo is the only way the South Atlantic Council should choose to manage this stock. The new stock assessment for Spanish mackerel and also king mackerel is scheduled for 2012 under the auspices of the Southeast Data Assessment and Review, SEDAR 33 process. Hopefully, during SEDAR 33 the scientists will be able to set a biomass level for Spanish mackerel, something that SEDAR 17 failed to deliver that contributed to the SSC uncertainty. So that's pretty much it, George. As I say, it's all preliminary, and I'll follow up with a written final comment before I go to the SEDAR 25.

MR. GREEN: I am Scott Green. I'm representing Coastal Conservation Association, and I have submitted formal written testimony, which you have, Mr. Geiger, and my comments will be brief. The first part of it comments on the fact that we didn't have a whole lot of time to react to this. It's a pretty lengthy public hearing document that the council put out, and I think we've been able to digest it, but there are a couple areas where it would be helpful if we had a little more time to react to some of these issues. The balance of the written testimony is formal testimony, and I think I can be very brief about it. King mackerel, we recommend no change. It has been stable for a long time. The public side, I don't think they've ever met their catch limit from the public side, and we feel like if it's appropriate, just keep it where it is. It's a workable system the way it is. Spanish mackerel, I wish I could remember when we were looking at that and that stock. I don't think the public side has reached their allocation for over a decade; it's been a long time. We recommend that we just keep the allocation at the current level. The cobia issue is a little bit more troublesome. I think, since we don't have any --

MR. GEIGER: Well, you know we're not doing anything with allocations. What we are looking at for Spanish mackerel is a reduction to the bag limit, potentially, from 15 to 10.

MR. GREEN: Well, we would recommend that we don't do anything, that we leave it where it is. There are people that go out there and catch a lot of them from time to time in certain places. Certainly, it doesn't appear to have hurt the stock; and if you're going to change it despite the fact that we've studied Spanish mackerel over and over again, wait until we get more current data. I think in the case of king mackerel the last assessment was, what, 2008 or something like that?

MR. GEIGER: Yes, we've got a recent stock assessment.

MR. GREEN: You know, we can feel pretty comfortable about that and we just recommend that we leave it at current levels. The real worry, I think, at this point is cobia. I understand I guess in recent years, maybe last year, the council got data that indicated that the recreational side might have gone over the allocation, but the observations down here have been that there are a lot of fish out there. They continue to see more and more fish, and I understand that is anecdotal, but I wouldn't recommend and CCA does not recommend that we change the allocation until we actually get a stock assessment. I believe one is scheduled for next year. So, we need to have real data before we mess with the allocation. If we had a few years where we knew for certain that we went over the allocation, I can understand that and so can CCA, but under the circumstances let's leave it where it is. That's our recommendation for the time being, and that's what represented here. That concludes my comments.

MR. BURTON: My name is Robert Burton and I'm from Jupiter, Florida. I am here on behalf and I'm speaking for the Coastal Conservation Association regarding Amendment 18. I would like to thank the council for giving us this opportunity to address the wide assortment of actions and alternatives proposed on this amendment. Having said that, we must also express concern that releasing a 330-page public hearing document on April 5th and scheduling the first public hearing on April 11th is not conducive to obtaining constructive input if that is the goal of the public hearing process. CCA recognizes the pressure to meet the 2011 deadline to the MSA to set ACLs and other management measures for fisheries that are not undergoing overfishing, but the timeline for these public hearings is simply unreasonable. Allowing less than a week to review the public hearing document is unacceptable and once again casts doubt the council is sincere in its efforts to gather and utilize meaningful input from the recreational angling community. Regarding king mackerel, with regard to the Atlantic migratory group king mackerel, the stock was last assessed in SEDAR 16 in 2008. Subsequent council action set catch limits based on that assessment, and the recreational harvest of king mackerel has remained under those limits. As a result, CCA is recommending that there be no change in the management measures currently in place for king mackerel. Regarding the need to set an accountability measure for king mackerel as required under the MSA in the event that the recreational sector does go over its ACL at some point in the future, CCA recommends that the council remedy the overage by modifying the recreational bag limit rather than enacting closed seasons on king mackerel. Spanish mackerel; CCA believe the most significant consideration for the council in this fishery is the fact that the recreational sector has been under its limits for the past decade, and therefore we are recommending that there be no changes in the management measures currently in place for Spanish mackerel. It is also essential to note that while Spanish mackerel currently do not have an accepted peer-reviewed stock assessment and are therefore subject to the new council guidelines described earlier for setting an annual catch limit, a coastal migratory pelagic assessment for king mackerel, Spanish mackerel and cobia is scheduled in 2012. CCA maintains that for unassessed species – and that is for unassessed species – unless there is clear evidence that the stock is declining, the control rule should not limit current harvest. The logical option would be to simply cap the harvest at current levels until data is available through a current assessment. Spanish mackerel are one of the most assessed species in the South Atlantic and there are no indications of trouble with the stock. Regarding cobia, like Spanish mackerel, cobia is an unassessed species and is subject of the council's new method of setting the annual catch limit at the level of the third highest landings over the last ten years. CCA maintains that for unassessed species, unless there is clear evidence that the stock is

declining, the control rule should not limit current harvest. The logical option would be to simply cap the harvest at current levels until data is available through a current assessment. CCA recognizes that the council has data indicating that the recreational sector went slightly over its annual catch limit in 2010, but would like to emphasize that the council's conservative past management of this species has produced a stock that is steadily increasing. We believe this is a situation where the stock is most likely healthy. Larger year classes are entering the system and anglers are encountering cobia more often. We encourage the council to wait for the results of the assessment scheduled for next year before considering any new management measures for cobia. Thank you for this opportunity.

DUCK KEY, FLORIDA HAWKS CAY RESORT

April 19, 2011

MR. BURTON: My Robert Burton and I'm from Jupiter, Florida. I am here on behalf and I'm speaking for the Coastal Conservation Association regarding Amendment 18. I would like to thank the council for giving us this opportunity to address the wide assortment of actions and alternatives proposed on this amendment. Having said that, we must also express concern that releasing a 330-page public hearing document on April 5th and scheduling the first public hearing on April 11th is not conducive to obtaining constructive input if that is the goal of the public hearing process. CCA recognizes the pressure to meet the 2011 deadline to the MSA to set ACLs and other management measures for fisheries that are not undergoing overfishing, but the timeline for these public hearings is simply unreasonable. Allowing less than a week to review the public hearing document is unacceptable and once again casts doubt the council is sincere in its efforts to gather and utilize meaningful input from the recreational angling community. Regarding king mackerel, with regard to the Atlantic migratory group king mackerel, the stock was last assessed in SEDAR 16 in 2008. Subsequent council action set catch limits based on that assessment, and the recreational harvest of king mackerel has remained under those limits. As a result, CCA is recommending that there be no change in the management measures currently in place for king mackerel. Regarding the need to set an accountability measure for king mackerel as required under the MSA in the event that the recreational sector does go over its ACL at some point in the future, CCA recommends that the council remedy the overage by modifying the recreational bag limit rather than enacting closed seasons on king mackerel. Spanish mackerel; CCA believe the most significant consideration for the council in this fishery is the fact that the recreational sector has been under its limits for the past decade, and therefore we are recommending that there be no changes in the management measures currently in place for Spanish mackerel. It is also essential to note that while Spanish mackerel currently do not have an accepted peer-reviewed stock assessment and are therefore subject to the new council guidelines described earlier for setting an annual catch limit, a coastal migratory pelagic assessment for king mackerel, Spanish mackerel and cobia is scheduled in 2012. CCA maintains that for unassessed species – and that is for unassessed species – unless there is clear evidence that the stock is declining, the control rule should not limit current harvest. The logical option would be to simply cap the harvest at current levels until data is available through a current assessment. Spanish mackerel are one of the most assessed species in the South Atlantic and there are no indications of trouble with the stock. Regarding cobia, like Spanish mackerel, cobia is an unassessed species and is subject of the council's new method of setting the annual catch

limit at the level of the third highest landings over the last ten years. CCA maintains that for unassessed species, unless there is clear evidence that the stock is declining, the control rule should not limit current harvest. The logical option would be to simply cap the harvest at current levels until data is available through a current assessment. CCA recognizes that the council has data indicating that the recreational sector went slightly over its annual catch limit in 2010, but would like to emphasize that the council's conservative past management of this species has produced a stock that is steadily increasing. We believe this is a situation where the stock is most likely healthy. Larger year classes are entering the system and anglers are encountering cobia more often. We encourage the council to wait for the results of the assessment scheduled for next year before considering any new management measures for cobia. Thank you for this opportunity.

MR. NICHOLS: I'm Gary Nichols. I'm from Conch Key and I am president of the Marathon Chapter of the Organized Fishermen of Florida and past member of the South Atlantic Council, the Spiny Lobster Advisory Panel. I have a Conch Key family business for 37 years; a lobster fisherman. I've got just a couple things that I need to update on. The one thing that I obviously I wanted to be make a comment on is that we support a high ACL even though I don't personally. I'm totally against the idea of the program for ACL, because I believe in the spiny lobster fishery we've developed a limited entry plan. We lobbied the state – I have personally lobbied every state senator and representative to get that bill passed. We had one of the most highly regulated fisheries in the state or in the nation. We have the first fisherman limited entry system. I believe that through our current reduction program and everything else we've already accomplished just about anything you can accomplish by doing the management procedures that you're going forward with here. Although having said that, I am happy to see that you set a high number of poundage, but who is to say that we might not come back and get back up into the previous years where we were up in the 7 million pound averages. And another thing that's important to note with doing this and all the rest of the Caribbean, our lobsters are – the DNA studies that we have from the biologists, which we've been attending quite a few of the forums, our lobster do not come from here. Unfortunately our spawning, less than 2 percent has returned to us and it's very critical that basically we take care of the stock that we have, with the animals that we have here. That's kind of disturbing to me that we have – I wish that more of our spawning stock did come back here because we do take care, we care about our industry, we care - you know, the fishermen that are here, with the limits that we have, the cost of doing business that we have, it's very important to myself and our other fishermen and our families to take care of what we do have. Being in this for 37 years, you know, I consider myself a farmer of the sea as much as a fisherman of the sea. Something that's very disturbing is this trap marking. I believe that if you were going with a turtle mortality, it was, what, two turtles, if I'm not mistaken as going back on some of the data, we have had very little interaction in my 37 years of lobster fishing and crabbing and pulling – you know, with our two boats, we have about 15,000 traps. I've had one to two turtle encounters per season at the most; and of that and normally I'm releasing a turtle that I find if it's entangled. Usually it's not the lobster trap or the crab rope; it's usually like a cargo net or any other floating piece of debris. I have a couple of pictures – I actually have released two turtles this year, and they weren't in lobster rope or crab rope, but I kind of feel good about that. One thing that we do by being out on the water, it's great that as commercial fishermen we do care about our environment and we really don't want to waste anything. I just don't see a lot of turtle interaction, though. Even with all the storms that we've had and everything else and I don't think – if anything if you were going to mark our rope, I would just say mark it in black, which is what it already is, and so be it if we have turtles, sawfish, whatever

it is, blame it on the spiny lobster fishery or blame it on the stone crab fishery, whatever, it's just not enough to – we don't have the whale interaction you have up north and I follow a lot of that. I get the supplements on what's going on with the whales and stuff. We just don't have that kind of thing here, so I don't really see the point. The amount of money is unbelievable. Another thing that's kind of concerning is if you put a marking on a rope, you possibly could attract a turtle or attract a fish or attract things that aren't attracted, and we haven't really had interaction so maybe that black rope is working for us. The other thing is probably for me – I fish mainly the Atlantic side. I fish a lot of deepwater traps – probably 90 percent of my fishery takes place on the ocean side on the South Atlantic. We fish a lot of the reef track and out in the deepwater, out to 250, 300 foot of water. We've worked with the Sanctuary process diligently to identify areas to close but not for the traps. I work diligently. I have a computer system in both of my boats. My daughter runs one of my boats, and we use the best plotting you can have of the Down Net Systems and the Max-Sea Systems. We do not put our traps on the rocks. If I see that people are putting their traps on coral, we try to talk to them and try to get them to take it off the coral. That's very important to us. Our children are taught not to put traps on the coral. We've identified these areas where the prolific coral are, and I see that there are some – we're out and amongst our working group that we've worked with. Until today it's kind of disturbing because we've been working on - we're a working group, and we had a little digest as to where the staghorn elkhorn was, but we never really sat down and found the areas that they were wanting to close until today as we got these maps. I didn't really have time to look at then diligently. The best thing to do is do like Carl Lessard did is go out on the water with the scientist/biologist and the Sanctuary people who will identify these prolific coral spawnings and close them down and put the marking buoys around like we have now. I want to say if anything we may want to expand some of the areas. When you look at a map, what happens to most people, they look at a chart and they think that, oh, this is just a little dot on a chart, but if you're out there actually fishing, it could be a giant area if they just decide to arbitrarily draw a circle on a map or on a chart and close that area. When we're fishing lobsters, I'm targeting little pieces of bottom and normally it's a sand strip or a place where the lobster would migrate; and by just arbitrarily drawing a line on a chart, you're not accomplishing anything and you're closing down a big sand link or something or a foraging area for the lobster. It would be better if you're going to close down or identify an actual coral reef or coral spawning, which we don't want to put traps on, I don't think we want people anchoring on it, I don't think you want people that are diving on it disturbing the area, so I think it's a lot more important that we physically work with the biologists and the scientists and the Sanctuary people that we visibly identify and kind of shorten up – we're going to get more bang for our buck with smaller areas and maybe more of them than giant areas just to make square miles of areas. That sounds like its really important, because I'm just looking at some of the stuff and they just arbitrarily drew out places - and I know pretty much in my head that pretty much from above Alligator Light down to American Shoals, a lot of the bottom is just - you know, from being out there twelve, fourteen hours a day for 36 weeks out of the season or whatever it is. The other thing is obviously on the catch shares, I'm just really opposed to catch shares in general. I just don't see the point in lobstering and stuff. It's a political thing. Are we supposed to talk on the mackerel?

MR. GEIGER: If you choose to.

MR. NICHOLS: I just sat in on a presentation on mackerel a little bit longer, and it seemed to me that they're reducing some of the – I agree with the advisory panel's view on mackerel, and I can see them getting a little bit upset. I believe that there should be an increase in the landings

on the mackerel, both recreational and commercial, if the mackerel are available and they're being underutilized. I've seen just in my own experience, because I have mackerel permits for both of my boats, that the mackerel size density, I really believe they are an underutilized food species that is now becoming – you see the commercial landings, they're rolling, because for years we were only getting 30 cents a pound. Well, as the other species that we can catch have been taken away, a lot of the commercial guys like myself are taking our spare time and fishing for mackerel, because that price of mackerel has gone up a couple dollars a pound; at times a dollar fifty and that's why you're seeing that increase landings. I don't think you want to reduce the guys that are trying to make a living. It's actually giving the guys a little bit of – you know, in the economic times, it's actually given the guys a little bit of an uplift when they fish these mackerel. For God's sakes why on the recreational end, I don't really care about the total number of landings, I care more about the total number of the landings being taken than the individual, but why in the heck would you let the recreational fishermen go out there and catch 80 mackerel on a recreational boat; and 15 per person or 18 per person, it just doesn't make any sense at all. In today's world why do we not just take enough fish to eat? My whole idea of recreational fishing – I do it with my family and I love to fish – is to go out and get food for dinner. That gives you another incentive to go out and get food for dinner another night, nice and fresh. But, my God, 80 fish, I think that's totally - 60 fish seems crazy also but I guess if somebody wants 60 mackerel, you know, fine, if they're paying for a charter and they need the food, I understand that, but it seems like a lot of these limits are really just not going to make a lot of sense sometimes. That's about it. I think I said about all I can.

MR. BERGH: At present, I think it could be made better. I'd like to find out eventually what the data sets were that were used. What was the target for protection of the acropora corals; obviously not all of the little dots on the map have a no trapping zone proposed for them. So what was the – was it 20 percent, 10 percent, the 50 percent? What was the goal? I think It would be useful to have the members of the Sanctuary Advisory Council look at that and try to help. It would achieve the same goal more efficiently possibly by modifying the boundaries of existing protected areas, possibly by using the existing protected areas as lines in the water, so to speak, and a buffer. There would be no trapping within a hundred or two hundred or whatever the appropriate number of feet or yards is from those so that people will know where they can and where they can't use different types of gear for this fishery. Those are my general thoughts. Again, acropora needs our attention, they need our protection. I think we can do a better job than this existing draft.

MR. CRAMER: My name is Jeff Cramer. I'm the vice-president of the Florida Keys Commercial Fishermen's Association as well as the Organized Fishermen of Florida. I'm a member of the Sanctuary Advisory Council. I haven't done anything yet but I was also appointed to the South Atlantic Councils Coral Advisory Panel. I'm chair of a commercial fishermen's coral workgroup, which is about a dozen fishermen that we got together up and down the Keys; about 400 years of experience on the water. We formed this group to work with on the corals, but originally we started it and we worked with the Protected Species Division. We worked on some of these maps. Andy Herndon came down, and he sat down a couple times with us, but we sat down and we talked about the corals and what we thought would help. We had no problem with trying to protect the corals. We wanted to help him out and say this is what we think would help him out. They're over there in Tampa; they're not on the water everyday, and we are. We see areas of coral that we think should be protected that they may not know about. So we got together and everything was going pretty good and they assembled some maps,

these maps basically, but without the boxes on them. I think the last time we met with Andy was probably about a year, a year and a half ago, and we really haven't heard anything since then. Like I said, the last time I saw these maps the dots were there, but there were no boxes on them. So it's kind of a shocker that I actually heard about these boxes from someone in the Nature Conservancy asking me what I thought about them last week. We just kind of got left out of the loop a year a year and a half here. I think that we need to sit down, and I think that these boxes here aren't going to do as good of a job as we could do if we all sat down together and tried to figure it out. I also just wanted to go down the list of some of the other things on the lobster agenda. I support the highest yield for the spiny lobster, the 7.9 million pounds. I support Preferred Alternative 4 on the use of undersized lobster to bait traps, 50 per boat plus one for each trap on board. I oppose the trap line marking. It's a huge expense, a huge undertaking on part of the commercial fishermen, and really we don't know if marking this rope as a color tracer or something is actually going to – a lot of fish are going to – you know, fishing lures and stuff, you add a few colors in there and it actually attracts some marine species. Who knows it will help. But the main thing is if there were two turtle deaths and having a colored tracer in there wouldn't have made a difference. Do we really need to know that that's a lobster trap or a crab trap line that this turtle got entangled in? I don't know; it just seems like for us it is going to be a humongous burden for two turtles. We save a lot more turtles than that as commercial fishermen. During the cold event we saved a heck of a lot more; a lot of the years with the turtles. That's pretty much it. I'm done.

MR. KELLY: Bill Kelly, Florida Keys Commercial Fishermen. I've wanted to talk to you first about the spiny lobster. We would appreciate the council setting the annual catch limit on spiny lobster as high as they can. At 7.9 million pounds it looks like both councils and the state of Florida are on the same wave length based on the new genetic evidence of external recruitment and what's going on in this fishery. It's very sustainable. We need some protection for the industry. It's one of the largest in the state of Florida. The Florida Keys are the fifth largest commercial seaport in the state, and in the nation, excuse me. We are the second largest economic engine next to tourism in Monroe County; also one of the largest long-term employers. This genetic evidence I think is very dramatic. We're all very much aware of that. In essence we could harvest all of the legal spiny lobster in the Florida Keys each and every year and it would have no bearing on what the recruitment would be the following year because all of those lobster are coming from the Caribbean Basin. There are a number of rules that have been suggested based on a biological opinion that was requested by Dr. Roy Crabtree I believe in August of 2009. That biological opinion was based on studies and interaction of spiny lobster trap lines with turtles, acropora corals and smalltooth sawfish. I had a meeting yesterday with Mr. Andy Herndon, NMFS SERO; Sue Gerhart and Dr. Roy Crabtree, and we discussed some of these issues. In the biological opinion there is no jeopardy with regard to the interaction of those trap lines in any of those three species that were studied. In fact, over the four-year period there were a total of ten turtle entanglements; eight of those turtles were released alive. With regard to acropora corals, the study in over a four-year period indicated that the interaction of abrasion and so forth from those trap lines on acropora was calculated and extrapolated to be 0.0005 or 5,000ths of 1 percent; and that's with over a million traps in the water in cases of interaction with acropora coral. The amount or area is 0.032 or 3200ths of an acre of acropora corals in the entire Florida Keys and included in the Dry Tortugas and so forth that were impacted. 0.032 is a pretty small number. I'm not sure that that much of an acreage is as big as this room is. There are far greater perils to acropora corals, including climate change being rated as the number one; farm runoff, chemical runoff and sewage outflows and so forth that occur from the mainland. If you

Google any of the issues regarding acropora corals, you won't even find any interactions listed between the commercial trap fishery and acropora coral. Our suggestion to Dr. Crabtree and Mr. Herndon and Ms. Gerhart is that since there is no jeopardy involved here, possibly reasonable and prudent measures of RPNs would be much more effective, and we might have some compromise, in which case we would do maybe some varied trap line markings, but definitely not a continuous trap line marking that isn't even required under the Atlantic large whale take reduction plan where they have daily interactions between right whales and trap lines. We have no interactions whatsoever with marine mammals down here. I shouldn't say whatsoever, but they are rare or nonexistent and they primarily occur with turtles, loggerheads being the most prone to entanglement. Our anecdotal evidence from fishermen is that generally their interaction with the turtles is with the buoy and not with the line. If we start coloring lines, we're going to create additional problems. We might even incite action by loggerheads with these trap lines because they have a very high level of visual acuity and they are very easily attracted to blues. greens, light pinks and greens. So, it's kind of an open invitation to create a problem where none exists. In our conversations yesterday, again, with NMFS SERO, we said, well, maybe we could do some reasonable improvement things and that is take existing areas. We have these special preservation areas that we're developing with the help the commercial fishermen and specifically to protect acropora coral. Because these areas are well known to fishermen and already marked, that maybe we'll take those buoys and move them out some distance, maybe 50 feet in each direction or 100 feet or whatever case it would be, because we're rapidly losing real estate here faster than we did in the real estate bust of 2007. We need to preserve the industry. Secondly, with the trap line marking, we think that there are other favorable alternatives. We can take spools of line or our coiled line, we could hit them possibly black line which has the highest UV resistance, we would hit it maybe with a spray paint of the coil of white paint that would mark the line, give it a distinguished characteristic without us having to sacrifice those lines. In the horizontal bottom trawls, we use white line predominantly, so we could hit that with a black spray paint. The financial impact and the burden on the lobster fishermen in Monroe County would come to – it's calculated in our pro forma at \$12.6 million to replace those trap lines with new lines, and we would lose \$6.3 million in line value in what we're retiring in that fishery. We would be disposing of 8,267 miles of 5/16 or greater polypropylene line, and it represents about 275,000 cubic feet of solid waste that would have to go in a landfill someplace, and we don't think that that's a reasonable tradeoff. We've discussed that with NMFS CERO. I will provide this information in writing to the councils. We'd like them to take a little bit closer look at this and see if we can't find some mitigation here where we can balance this out. We don't want to solve one environmental problem and create another one. Some other things that we're concerned about; we would like to see an increase in king mackerel product, which I believe is 13.5 million or thereabouts is what you're proposing. The commercial fishery and recreational anglers have been very diligent for the past 20-some years while this stock is rebuilt. We see it's very vibrant; it's one of the healthiest stocks available. The levels that it's at we think exceed those of the pre-net levels of 20 and 25 years ago. We do have a very vibrant but a very controlled gill net fishery that exists here in the Florida Keys, and these guys are very efficient at what they do. We also have a very large hook-and-line contingent. As I mentioned, these men and women have been waiting patiently for over 20 years to rebuild this stock and the time is right. The SSC supports these increases, and we'd like to see the councils go along with it, too. Since some of the other items have already been discussed, I will see if I can't leapfrog a little bit because I know time is important here. I would like the council to know that here in Monroe County and the Florida Keys we are adamantly opposed to catch shares in any of our fisheries. We see the turmoil that's going on up in New England and we see the problems that they created

in the Gulf of Mexico. They do have some limited benefits as management tools and they have worked well in some areas, but by and large if you look around the world, catch shares have been a pariah to the industry that result in significant fleet reduction and job loss and we can't handle that here in the Florida Keys. Because if that happens, then we've got to get into job relocation, we'd destroy communities and we don't need that in our environment down here. The fishery management program should not change community character. We welcome the fact that we now have a culture anthropologist on both the South Atlantic Council and the Gulf Council to address this a little more thoroughly as happened in the days of Dr. Kathy Kitner. The other thing I would like to say is these new summary presentations that the South Atlantic Council is doing, the graphics and things make it much easier to digest large volumes of information, and I really appreciate the fact that you've made that change. And then I don't know if it's been mentioned before, but we had the considerable discussion about it in the other room there and it really would be nice to see these summary pages available in Spanish. We have a very large Spanish population here in commercial fishery and recreational; and when the June meeting rolls around here, if we could possibly see some of these summaries published in Spanish as well as a translator available, because I would suspect that we will have a large Hispanic population there. I think that many of these fishermen, these men and women stay away from the meetings because they unfortunately don't understand what you're saying. Other than that, we appreciate the very hard work of the councils and we appreciate the cooperative level of work that the councils are doing with us and in particular Florida Keys commercial fishermen. Thank you.

MR. GEIGER: Bill, during your discussions with Dr. Crabtree and the protective resource people, did you have an opportunity to talk to them about the maps that were produced that showed the new proposed protected areas on them?

MR. KELLY: We discussed those in a general format. Of course, Dr. Crabtree is not authorized to make decisions for either of the councils, that's your bailiwick, but we suggested that it might be much easier to expand some of these existing areas that were established specifically for those reasons, to protect acropora. It even says in the summary of pages – I won't take the time to flip it up – it even says in there that commercial fishermen do not as a rule set their traps on coral. We don't; we're in the business of protecting the environment as much as we can.

MR. GEIGER: Well I can understand that, but did you have an opportunity to discuss those sightings, those places that were identified on the charts with them specifically? We've taken considerable testimony this evening that has not been coordinated between the protected resources branch and the regional office and the Sanctuary or the fishermen, the commercial fishermen that have the most knowledge of the location of the coral that we're trying to protect. The locations that have been identified on the chart are not, in some cases, either the correct size, shape or location.

MR. KELLY: The direct answer to your question, George, is, no, we did not look at those maps and address those specific areas; and just since I've been here at this meeting tonight, in talking with Chris Bergh and Bruce Popham from the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council, that perhaps it does warrant some additional discussion here.

MR. LESSARD: I'm Karl Lessard, Florida Keys Commercial Fishermen's Association and former Chairman of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council. I'd first off like to run through Spiny Lobster Amendment 10. On Action 1, removing species from the unit; I've

always supported the Preferred Alternative Number 4. Do you want me to give my rationale for this or should I just state—I know you heard me on the advisory panels for a long time and I've also said—I'll just run through the preferred alternative. On modifying the MSY, overfishing and overfished, I support the Alternative 4, the preferred alternative. On the overfishing threshold, I support Alternative 3 as a preferred alternative. With the fishing threshold limit of 7.9 million pounds; on the overfished alternative, I support the Alternative Number 3, the preferred. On sector allocation, now this is a tough thing for me because having sat on a lot of the committees, I know that the allocation is usually 74 percent commercial and 26 percent recreational, and your preferred alternative is no action, do not establish. But I think that is something that the state of Florida will have to address at another time. I would hope that the councils will follow suit for continuous and consistent optimum management of the resource. On the ABC control rule, Action 4A, I support Alternative 2, Option B. On the annual catch limits, I support the preferred alternative of the OY is equal to the ABC. On Action 4, which is the annual catch target, I support the preferred alternative Option C under Alternative 2. Under the accountability measures, needless to say I support the preferred alternative of establishing an ACT for the accountability measures for Caribbean spiny lobster. I'm sorry, I got that wrong, this isn't in the right order. Under the framework procedure and protocols, I think it's time to adopt a new framework procedure. The framework procedure we've had has been there since 1992, I believe, and I think it needs to be updated a little bit. Under the use of shorts as attractants, I support preferred Alternative 4 which is the same as the state of Florida's alternative of 50 shorts plus one per trap. Modifying the tailing permit, I support the third alternative, which is one that our organization set in that you must either land your catch whole or tailed, not a combination of the two; because I think that creates a loophole where shorts can be snuck in. Number 9, limits of the fishing areas of protected staghorn and elkhorn corals – first off, I'm going to say I think the whole biological opinion put out by National Marine Fisheries Service is one of the worse papers that I've ever seen them write. When you're looking at having to put rope colors in for entangled sea turtles, in which only two died, two finetooth sawfish that were tangled in ropes and released the alive and approximately a quarter mile of acropora destroyed; to have to look at replacing approximately \$19 million of the industry is insane. As far as the fishing limits, I think that the councils need to work with the Marine Sanctuary on this. I was one of the people that designed all the original spots down here when I sat on the Marine Sanctuary Advisory Committee. We got the same maps that it looks like that you've got from the protective species people, which are the worse things in the world. So we ended up going out and diving on every section and seeing what was there before we designed these spots. We put them more where the acropora was the greatest; and I think if they were just to expand the areas that are presently under the spots, it would be a major asset for the resource and the people here in the Keys. I'd like to thank the council and the staff for coming down to get our opinions. I have one more thing to comment on. To allow the public to remove derelict or abandoned traps, we have a program with the state of Florida. I think we do a very good job at it. Our organization also has brief cleanups where we go out and collect traps that are left on the bottom. We had a tendency, last year, to find out that most of the traps that we recovered were recreational stone crab traps, which will always be a problem in the fishery. Again, I'd like to thank you all for coming here and hearing our comments.

MR. PADRON: My name is Dan Padron. I've been a commercial fisherman for the past ten years, and I've been recreational fishing all of my life. I participate in the Florida spiny lobster, stone crab, kingfish, gill nets as well as hook and line. I'm definitely in favor of a high catch limit for the spiny lobster. We have evidence out there to support an increase and I think it's

more than fair enough for us to have them. As far as undersized lobster for bait, attractants; we definitely need them. We use them everyday. I certainly agree to the 50 per boat plus one per trap is more than fair. As far as trap line markings, like Mr. Brown said earlier, we use them for our lobster and stone crab, and just the cost benefit isn't there. It's just not worth the expense, the work, the time for two turtles, it's just not worth it. Territories as far as quotas, I think they're totally unnecessary. I understand that there are corals out there that need to be protected. Expand some of the existing territories that you have, but don't start new ones. It's very minimal. There is enough coral killed by things such as dive activities and anchoring that traps really don't impact those fisheries so more closure would definitely be unnecessary. I'd also like to say that raising the king quota to 13.5 million pounds, we've been waiting for a long time. I want to catch some fish. There are certainly more than enough out there, it's our time. I'd like to get some fish. As far as the Spanish mackerel is concerned, I'm not supporting a reduction at all. If anything I would like to have fisheries open to where we can get more of them, as far as the gill nets. I know that the net ban was a long time ago and there is probably never going to be a chance for us to get it again, but I certainly think that it someday should be brought to the table. There is a lot of fishermen that would participate in that fishery and that's certainly a renewable resource that I think should be utilized. Don't let those fish go to waste. As far as the cobia bag limits, I oppose reductions in those at all; and once again I am totally opposed to any catch shares in the Florida Keys. Several fishermen fish in different fisheries for different reasons. That's one of the things that is unique about our environment down here. We're able to participate in different fisheries at different times of the years. Catch shares makes certain fishermen have to focus on one particular species; where certain parts of the country, Carolinas, the Gulf Coast, they are able to focus on one fishery and make a living. Down here in the Keys we're different. We are able to participate in different fisheries across the board to make a living. That's it; thank you.

MR. POPHAM: My name is Bruce Popham. I'm chair of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council and have been for the last five, six years. Actually we're having our council meeting today and discussing this. This is the first time we've heard about these areas that we proposed. The spawning areas within the Sanctuary have gone through a process. Those are specifically designed to hopefully stop conflicts of use. They've been in place for a long period of time and we've spent a lot of time and effort educating the public, the fishing public, diving, snorkeling, boaters to stay out of those SP areas. Also, from an enforcement perspective, it's very well known that those areas are well marked in the Keys. Part of our discussion today at the council was hopefully getting you guys to come down and work with us, with the council publicly to look at some of these areas, to go out and get in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary and look at some of these areas and would identify. As Mr. Bergh pointed out, there is acropora in many other areas that are not marked on the maps. Some of these large areas, particularly in the Upper Keys, kind of concern me from the perspective that they are probably not going to accomplish the goal of protecting that acropora coral as much as it's going to close the area off and cause confusion and use conflicts. I think one of the other things, as Chris also pointed out, is that you can have an area closed and divers could come in there and be diving in that area right outside the spawning. The spawning area is proven to generate larger lobster and a greater abundance of lobster. So, we have a council meeting next June, I understand you guys will be down here around the same timeframe. Maybe there is an opportunity for us to get together sometime at that meeting or in conjunction with that, to have a public process to kind of take a hard look at these areas rather than just look at the marking on

the map. I am willing to help in any way or fashion, as the council always is. I welcome the opportunity to work with you guys again. Thank you.

MR. GEIGER: Thank you, and, of course, both of your comments are very well received and it's kind of shocking to me that there wasn't any coordination.

MR. POPHAM: Us to.

MR. GEIGER: I think that you can rest assured that we'll have some type of coordinating and actually try and achieve the goals that we're looking at performing here. Thanks.

MR. NILES: My name is George Niles. I'm a commercial fisherman in the Florida Keys. I also am a member of the Gulf AP for king and Spanish mackerel; and past president of the Florida Keys Commercial Fishermen's Association. I continue to set on the board. I'm going to make this as brief as possible. I'll go through lobster and then kingfish after that. I support the ACL set on spiny lobster of 7.9 million pounds. I think we need a lot better science than what we've been getting Caribbean-wide. I think that would impact the least amount of fishermen until we can get more sciences, seeing how this stock is Caribbean-wide. The recruitment seems to be coming from below us somewhere and not from this country. I support the use of undersized lobsters as attractants; it makes our fishery a lot more efficient with minimal loss. I'd like to see it the same as what the state has because it's a lot easier for enforcement to enforce the laws in the state rather than some artificial line out there in the water where the marine patrol has to decide whether they are in state waters or in federal waters. As far as the trap lines and their markings to protect sea turtles and sawfish, the only fisheries that we use trap lines for are stone crab and lobster, and I think to ask us to spend \$19 million to save two turtles in both those fisheries just to distinguish which one was lobster and which one was stone crab. We know it came from one of the two. I think that's a little ridiculous to just distinguish between those two fisheries down here. Also, as far as our more protected areas; I think that we work a lot more closely with the Marine Sanctuary down here to study this a lot closer. There are a lot of different agencies that we deal with down here, and for just one to come down here and want to close the different areas, I think we've proved with the Marine Sanctuary down here that it can be done a lot better than that with a lot more local input. That's all I've got to say about lobster right now. As far as king mackerel, we fish mostly on the Gulf group down here, and I know most of your presentation was about Atlantic group. By sitting on the AP, I realize that it has to go in front of you, too. I'd like to see the Atlantic Council support the Gulf group, raising the quota. Sitting on the AP, I know that it could have gone as high as 17 million pounds in recent years, and to ask for 13.5 I think is a huge compromise. It's been many years since this quota has gone up. And to use an example of how many fish are out there, the gill net fishery has gone from a four-day fishery four or five years ago to a three-day fishery two or three years ago to a two-day fishery this year. There are massive schools of kingfish out there available to us. I fish both gill net and hook and line. There's a lot of fish out there; it's been a long time since we had an increase. I started testifying in front of these councils when I was in high school. I'm 45 years old now and they told me to bite the bullet when these quotas come out and they'd give the fish back to us. We bit the bullet, the stock is healthy and we need an increase. It's way, way long overdue. As far as the Spanish mackerel quota being cut, I think it's a bad idea. It hasn't been met in recent years; and if it's not being met, why would you want to cut it. As far as cobia, I think until they do a new stock assessment – I don't think any stock assessment has been done on the Atlantic side from what we were told and only one in the Gulf approximately ten

years ago. To cut the bag limit, that doesn't make any sense until the new stock assessment comes out. You don't even know what's going on. Basically, that's it there. I'd just like to say one more thing as far as catch shares. I am against catch shares in any fishery in the Florida Keys. I'd just like to put that on the record. Thank you very much.

MS. PRIETO: My name is Elizabeth Prieto. I'm third generation commercial fishing, and, yes, I actually get on the boats and fish, guys. I support the annual catch limit because the more out there the merrier and everybody can use it. This is not only just the fishermen, the captains of the boat or the owners of the boat. You're providing food for the families of the crew that are also there. I support the undersized lobster. This is not fishing with catch where we kill the attractants and hang them. They are outside; they get to survive in the traps. Actually I think they survive better in the traps. I am opposed to changing our lines. I agree with the other guys and fishermen. We use our black trap line, which is expensive as it is, \$1.52 a pound, I believe right now or \$1.56. The guys have had horrible years. We finally had a good year, but I don't think that should make them have to spend what little they have made to survive now to go and get new gear. Like the guys said, a trap rope is a trap rope and if we only have two traps and one is lobster and one is stone crab and they are black, then your going to know it came from a lobster or a stone crab trap. I don't think it matters which one or the other. Closures, territory for protecting; there is already so much, like they are saying. And like the gentleman said before me, the anchors do more damage; the divers, unskilled divers do more damage than an actual trap can. I support an increase of the king mackerel. Like Mr. Niles said, there are a lot of fish out there. If you don't increase it, it's going to be like a derby out there next year. There is not anybody that I know of going new into the fishery. Basically it's just family members continuing the fisheries. The Spanish mackerel, again, the reduction, I'm not for it. For the same reason that I said of the other, every time you reduce a limit on anything, you're reducing food and shelter on your captain and your crewmen. We don't tell surgeons how many operations they can make. We don't tell lawyers how many cases they can take. The cobia bag limits, I believe they said the last assessment was in 2001. I think you need more data on that. Catch shares, I don't approve of them. Down here some of us are fortunate to have the snapper grouper unlimited license, which I do have. You use that to survive when the lobster season and the stone crab season has ended. Some people don't have it; therefore, they actually go to other boats to try to fish it. If you put catch shares, you're going to have the ability of someone to say, well, I'm not going to fish it, so, here, I'll sell you my shares. I don't think that's right. I'm done speaking now.

A lot of times when I tell people that I'm a commercial fisherman; I work at Keys Fisheries for 11 months out of the year. On my weekends off I'm on the back of the boat. In the whole month of July, you can see me at the trap yard actually working. Anything a crew member can do on the back, I can do it. Some of the stuff the captain can do in the front, I might do it, only because I fear the water. Fishing is in my blood and if regulations keep being reduced, reduced, reduced, reduce, it's all going to be what, for tourists? And then what are we going to survive on, nothing? We'll have to leave and then what's the history of the fisherman? I was here during that thing. It wasn't nice. Yes, people were bought out, but they were forced to leave or they were forced to do something else, and I wouldn't want to see the fishermen forced to leave and do what they love to do. Because if your fishing, you love it, it's not because you have to; it's because you want to. Thank you.

MS. PRIETO: Mr. Kelly had mentioned about a translator or having some of this information in Spanish because especially with the fishing out of the Miami area and the Key West area, they can speak some English and they'll understand some English, but I'd say 90 percent of them can't read the English. I think it would be very helpful.

MR. SMITH: (Recording starts here) -- manager of the Fishing Companies. I just wanted to make a comment. I don't think this was part of your reading, but there is an amendment regulating the recreational catches of charterboat fishermen for dolphin. It's supposed to go in effect in June, they've voting to abolish to sell them anymore. I just want to make a few comments that down here there's not that much commercial harvesting of dolphins. It's all done through – I mean, the local dolphin we catch comes through the charterboat captains, who supply the restaurants through the summer and the local population. I just wanted to voice my objection to that amendment.

MR. GEIGER: You're voicing your objection to the sale of recreational bag limits?

MR. SMITH: Right, they are trying to eliminate that and I think that's a bad thing for here. Most of the fish we sell was imported, anyway, to tell you the truth. And to take that away, it's not really in the best interest of anybody. I understand the fishery is not being depleted by their sales. Thank you very much.

KEY WEST, FLORIDADOUBLETREE GRAND KEY

April 20, 2011

MR. PILLAR: My name is Robert Pillar. I'm a director of Florida Keys Commercial Fishermen's Association. I've been lobstering and stone crabbing approximately 28 years in the Florida Keys. On the mackerel amendment I would really like to see the increase in the king mackerel to 13.5 million pounds. I don't see any reason to reduce Spanish mackerel. I mean since they've taken away the nets, I don't believe they fill that quota anymore, anyway. I oppose any kind of catch shares in the king mackerel fishery; to me it's just not fair right now. We've got a pretty good thing down here. People fish it when they need to fish it; and they don't fish it, catch shares, the guys that are kind of in and out of it, you're just going to take them out of it completely, but I guess that's what they want to do, anyway. In regards to the spiny lobster, I'm not sure what amendment that is, ten. We sat here today and listened to them talk about the spiny lobster ACL at 7.9 million pounds. I'm in favor of that catch limit, or higher, whatever we can get. I looked at the thing today showing the amount of pounds of what we caught, and what scares me is if we do get a banner year we're going to get cut off. One of the things that scares me is like if they catch the fish above us in Marathon or Key Largo before they get to us, we are going to get shut off that year, and that really bothers me. As far as the undersized use, 50 per boat and one per trap, we had that argument today about, the gentleman were talking about. They were not in favor of us using attractants for bait, but I mean everybody knows that without the attractant in there, the traps are not going to catch. And since we went to the live wells and everything else, we've shown that the lobster fishery has rebounded. By taking away the live well, all your going to do is you're going to do is you're to have people putting shorts back on the gunnel of the boat in a box; and when they see the marine patrol coming, they are just going

to thrown them over the side. And, as far as the one gentleman from North Carolina today stating that we should use all legals in our traps, he's like, well, how do you know if you're going to get robbed or poached or whatever. I mean it's just—the thieving out there, if they know you've got legals in your traps they are going to rip you off, it's incredible, especially this year with the Chinese buying lobster, the lobster prices are quite high, but they said seven, eight dollars a pound, which we've only had that happen one time before. So I mean, I know that's what they want but I can't see that as a viable thing for us. It's going to really penalize the honest fisherman and then it will really hurt the fishery really bad. Now the other really big thing that really scares us is this rope issue. They are talking about the turtles and the ropes. You know, turtles like light blue and they like green and orange. They eat man-o-wars and stuff like that. You go putting those colors in a black rope, you're just going to attract turtles, and you're going to have more turtle deaths to me. The other thing is when you put that one tracer strand in that rope, you make that rope weaker and its going to pop; it's going to pop easier. The black rope holds up better ultravioletly in the sun and I just don't see—I think in 28 years I think I've untangled three turtles. Honestly, I'm not trying to—for real. The other thing is the traps in the coral, I would support – if we need to close more areas for the really hard congested things of coral, I would support that as long as our organization is involved with you guys and NOAA in doing it; so that when they put the boundaries in they don't walk the boundaries too far; like we've got Looe Key, they've walked the Northwest buoy for Looe Key all the way into 38 feet of water, which is all mud, but it definitely kind of impacts us when we are trying to fish up the inside edge of the reef for our traps. But, I see that to quarter some of those pieces of bottom off for traps, I don't see no problem with that because we don't fish them, anyway. We try to stay in the mud and in the grass. In the wintertime most of our fishery is done in the mud, anyway. You don't catch anything on the rock or on the grass, but them fish are out in the mud and they are moving, they are getting it. That's about it.

MR. ARNOLD: Jeffrey Arnold, Fifth Generation Conch. I'm very concerned about the ACL putting up a certain many pounds and then stop the season. I got a mortgage payment. These guys over here catch a bunch of crawfish, we don't catch none. The season stops; we have to bring the traps home. Who's going to make the mortgage payment, I'm out of a job? The economy is in shambles right now. Everybody is looking for a job and we won't have a job. How are suppose to pay our bills if the season stops? The tailing permit, I don't even—I bring the tails in, I have a tailing permit, I've had it for 40 years. I just bring tails in enough to feed the family and a few friends they want some tails. The divers, I think that's the ones you've got to target for the tailing permit because they could spear the crawfish in the head and that's illegal to do, and its being done. Nobody can stop it because they are underwater. I don't know, it's just not right how we are being treated. We are being forced right out of the business. We work hard, real hard at what we do. We are just getting pushed around and pushed around; and I don't know, it's just not right. Thank you.

MR. ARNOLD: My name is William Arnold and I've been fishing fulltime since 1972. My father was a fisherman. My grandfather was a fisherman. That's my brother right there; he's a fisherman; so we've been doing it a long time. Years ago we had one meeting and I think it was at the American Legion, and I was concerned about my stone crab license and the people said, well, if you sell one pound you can keep your stone crab license. So I was told one pound, a couple pounds and that didn't happen that way. And then you had the trap tags and they said, well, this is for you; this is for the fishermen. When you get old you could rent your tags. If you die your wife could rent them out. That's not happening anymore. I used half of my tags for the

past, probably eight or ten years, and I can't rent the other half. I guess I'm a lot like Peter Bacle, I've heard these things and right now I'm concerned. It's like once you get your foot in the door, what you say and what happens is two different things. And I hate to say that negatively, but that's where I'm at right now. And the tailing permit, that's one of the few luxuries that I think fishermen still have. Years ago we use to be able to go to a hospital and get our medical for free. I bring in tails, I stay, I do it legally, I'm in federal waters, I'm like 50 miles to the west, and I stay out there, and I like bringing in those tails to give away, actually give away now. Out of a thousand pounds you might get ten if the tail makes it and you can keep that tail. And I feel like, well, it's not taking it out of the catch, when really I could sell it. But, I really would like to keep the tailing. I've been pushed out and pushed out. I had extra licenses for my sons to keep yellowtail snapper grouper, I lost that. Like I say, I've lost the stone crab. That changed, they went with tags and the last thing that we really have is craw fishing, the spiny lobster. And I was surprised when I seen all those things on there for spiny lobster because it's like what else is there? This is how we make the bulk of our income now is spiny lobster, and I think you need to be very careful what you do because it's coming at a difficult time with the economy and everything. Thank you.

MR. BACLE: Peter Bacle, the owner of Stock Island Lobster Company here in Key West. About 30 years ago, when they first started the federal councils, I served on the first spiny lobster advisory committee for the Gulf Council. Since then I've probably attended hundreds of meetings. I've served on stock assessment panels and most recently spent about two years with the Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission Lobster Advisory Board, which we went over and studied all the science, all the economics, all the factors involved and all of both recreational and commercial lobster regulations in the state of Florida. In that thirty years I have heard more rosy predictions about how great our industry was going to be with the newest regulatory model that was coming out. I think, though, the trap certificate program was probably the top one in which it was predicted that we would by now have doubled our catch per trap or catch-per-unit effort, as they use to call it. None of the predictions that I've ever heard have come to pass. I have very little faith in what's being done right now. Either that it's going to work for any — serve any purpose or that it's necessary. We started in on an industry, the spiny lobster industry, which was the most healthy, the most self regulating probably fishery in the United States. And here we are today with a thousand different acronyms that we don't even understand anymore; with studies and grants and new rules, new regulations and studies, scientific studies that are going to be triggered if we reach certain levels. I've heard myself talk about this stuff so much that I'm tired of hearing myself say it, that there is no necessity to have any kind of a quota in spiny lobster. A quota was set 15 years ago when they instituted the trap certificate program and started reducing our trap numbers, and that's a quota on effort. And it has been proven in those 15 years that catch is directly related to the number of traps in the water. So, it is impossible with the number of traps that we have today, less than 50 percent of what it used to be, that we could possibly impact the stocks. When we had unlimited traps in the water, we did our best to catch everything we could and we still couldn't make any impact on it. And now you are understanding one of the reasons is that our recruitment does not come from our waters. That alone should show that setting any kind of a quota here is really meaningless except for statistical and regulatory reasons. I realize that this Magnuson-Stevens Act supposedly mandates everyone to set quotas, and it appears that you're trying to do something here which is going to be in line with the Magnuson-Stevens Act and still allow us to catch whatever we are able to catch with the reduced number of traps. I'm not saying that you're not making what you consider to be the right decisions and the best effort right now, but I have to say after 30 years of experience with

regulation, that I have little faith that anything that is being done now has any meaning for our industry. Thank you.

MR. DeMARIA: My name is Don DeMaria, and I, like always, represent nobody else but myself. I just had a few things I want to comment on, on the spiny lobster amendment. The first one is about these tailing permits. It's my understanding these things came about so that people that like fishing in the west and trappers, Tortugas, to stay out for ten days or two weeks, could tail their crawfish and land a better product and for the shrimpers, too, but I'm not even sure that's an issue anymore with shrimpers because, there are so few of them, and I'm not even sure if they are allowed to take them. But it was not designed for divers in Northeast Florida to circumvent the law by spearing lobster and getting rid of the heads. And we all know that's a problem up there, and I say that because that's where I'm from is that area. I know years ago one of the divers took out some FWC biologists – and I can give you their names, I'd rather not do it on the record, but I could put you in touch with them - took out these FWC biologists and tried to prove the point that they needed to spear them because the ledges were so deep. And so they were spearing them right in front of them. So, if you do that you should expect some sort of repercussions. I think a reasonable thing on this would be like to draw a line somewhere, Dade/ Monroe, and no tailing permits above that. There's not really that much—the trapping that goes on above that are all day trips. And the diving, you don't really – we know what's going on. And you get a little bit below Jacksonville, St. Augustine, Daytona and that, probably West Palm Beach to Fort Pierce – maybe Bill can talk a little more about that – that's not so much a spearing issue there; it's the use of bleach. We know that's going on. When you dive down the ledges and find squashed bottles of Clorox, you know what the deal is there. The ledges are deep and it is helpful to get the crawfish to come out, but it's doing a lot of damage. And again it's not something I like to really see happening, being a diver, but it is what it is. A tailing permit just for those fishing to the west, and I use to—I don't go out there much anymore, but going to Tortugas or somewhere diving for a week or so, it was helpful to me. But I know what's going on in North Florida; that's pretty obvious, especially when fishermen take the biologists out there and show them what they are doing. The other one would be limiting fishing to protect corals. I don't know, it's like trying to close the door after the horse has already run out of the barn. The coral is dead here. And I know the elkhorn and staghorn I believe now is listed as endangered. which it's good that people are paying attention to it, but I think it is going to create so many problems. I've got live rock site and I can't bring in any—even if a polyp of elkhorn or staghorn settles on my rock, I can get in trouble for bringing it in now, so it's kind of—there's going to be some problems with that one. And like I said, most of its dead now, anyway. I'm not sure what we could do at this point in the way of limiting traps. Gear marking, there was some talk about using different colored ropes for traps. It's difficult enough for these guys just to get black rope; at times their out of that. I can imagine trying to get different colored ropes; that would be a hard one. Removal of derelict traps, the real issue ought to be just marine debris removal in general. There is so much junk along the mangroves, particularly the southeast side. A percentage of it is derelict traps and buoys and whatnot, but I think just singling out one part of that junk is not really the way to go. If you to the southeast side of Marquises, there are numerous Cuban refugee boats washed up in there, there are five gallon plastic things of diesel just sitting in the sun ready to burst. There are hypodermic needles probably from saline injections – I don't think it's a drug thing; but just garbage everywhere. If you just go snorkel where House Boat Row use to be, I was just there today helping somebody with a benthic survey, right across the street here. It's just junk on the bottom, old tires. There's lawn chairs, refrigerators and the derelict traps make up a small percentage of that. You can tie it to someone with the tags on it, I guess that's a

concern, but I would think that the Sanctuary, rather than traipsing around the world going to different conferences and telling everybody what a great job we are doing here and preaching to others how to run their environmental programs, they ought to clean up their backyard first. And this place is a dump. If you stick your head under water in certain areas. you go through the mangroves, it's an embarrassment. I was hoping that this House Bill Coastal Jobs Creation Act, which had a lot of parts in it for marine debris removal, that was HR-4914, would go somewhere, but then the BP oil spill came up and I guess it got sidetracked. But that's something we really need to do down here is marine debris removal. It's not just the derelict traps; that's a small percentage of it. I think that's about all I have to say. And if you want the biologist's names, I can give it to you off record.

MR. DIAZ: My name is Ricardo Diaz and I'm a commercial fisherman. I just want to comment on some of these actions here. I think on the tailing permits, the Alternative 1 is the one we should leave for the sole reason for the boats that fish offshore, that can't make it back to port daily, that they stay out longer than 48 hours, they need the tailing permit. It's something that is a must. To keep product aboard the boat, to keep it fresh, normally the boats try to keep them on ice and they don't stay no longer than six days on ice. So if you plan to make your trip a little bit longer than six days, you have to be able to be flexible to tail your product on the first couple days of your trip, to extend your trip longer than six days. On the different colors of the rope, I also agree on number one. I don't think we should be changing colors of rope. I fish trolls, strictly trolls. All of my traps are tied together and I've got about \$60,000worth of rope; and to go trying to change that rope or different colored rope, besides we've tried it in the past and we put different strands in the rope, and there is something with the strand that goes into the rope when you change it, that it doesn't hold up to the sun and it rots out. And then we're just going to have a big nightmare of traps popping off. What is in place right now with the black trap rope is the best thing out there. We've tried everything. We tried to identify our gear by rope color, like I said, and it doesn't work. It doesn't hold up to the sun. So we wouldn't like to see that: we'd like to keep it black the way it is. For the closure of the bottom on the coral, I don't think that we need any more closures, like everybody's already put the testimony in. Well, we haven't, but with the Sanctuary, they did a lot of studies, Bill Causey did, and we was a part of that when they were doing the closures down in the Dry Tortugas. We have his testimony on deposition there at the American Legion when Peter Doltch was down, and his testimony was the prettiest coral that he's seen is where we do our hundred percent fishing on the bottom, showing that there is no impact on the gear that we use. Unfortunately, what's happening up further this way, closer to home is like the one gentleman commented is the water quality, the bleach, you know, the chemicals coming from shore and we are able to reach the bottom and touch the bottom. I think that was all of them; wasn't it? That's it, thank you.

ADAM DISSON: My name is Adam Disson and I represent the fishing vessel 2nd Destiny and AJD Marine. Currently I am the only independent fisherman in Key West that owns his own dock. I have the largest vessel in the fleet of spiny lobster fishery right now, and I've been through the gamut of stuff for the last twenty years. I mean, I don't know if you want to hear how much they've given me and taken me away, but it's just unbelievable. My basic feeling on what's going on right here is I understand that they have to do something now, but for all these year's the federal government wasn't involved in the spiny lobster. It wasn't even on the fishing report that we filed every time we came in. There wasn't even a space to put spiny lobster or how much you caught, yet I did it every time for the last 20 years because I knew some day this meeting would come. And now, all of a sudden they want to do stuff and take control of the

lobster when they never even cared about it before this year. It seems kind of strange to me when guys like me have been fishing this, poured their heart and soul and bought property, bought boats, bought gear, support families for years; the whole town runs off our backs right now because everything else is dead – if it wasn't for the fishermen, a lot of these stores would be closed in this community. In terms of these points and all this stuff, I can't get into all that; I'm not a very educated man. In terms of the coral, everybody has got to protect the habitat. The habitat needs protecting, but you closed all these areas already, took the same amount of fishermen and gave them less bottom; caused more problems, put more stress on the bottom we're fishing. We didn't do that; the federal government did that. So you have this many people used to fish this much area but now your fishing this much area. You don't have to be a scientist to tell that it's going to stress the area that's left because it's the same amount of fishermen, but yet we're paying the price of somebody else's decision. If you take more bottom there's going to be even more of a tight squeeze for the people that already fish this. There's not enough room right now, but if you take more bottom you're going to make the situation worse because we're all going to fish real close together on a little piece of bottom and that's going to be dead. And then, you're going to say, look, that bottoms dead, oh, the fishery has got to stop; but yet we didn't desire it that way. It was fed to us that way. In terms of the rope, I don't fish single gear. Every year I lose less than 20 traps if there's no storm. Those traps have no rope on them when I lose them. I fish longlines. I've had the same line since 1992, 1994. I've got rope as old as 1990 I'm still fishing, so how does this apply to me when I have no record of ever having a problem losing my traps? Never had an infraction of any of my traps being found after the fact, but yet I'm supposed to go and replace all of my rope? That doesn't seem fair when I'm not a single fisherman. I'm a trotline deepwater fisherman. And, by the way, I became to be a deepwater fisherman because of you all making your decisions years ago, pushing me further and further away. I bought the biggest boat to fish out west where I was, hell, you closed that, you took Pulley's Ridge; I was the only one there. So, I mean, the taste in my mouth is unbelievable right now because of what's happening. It just seems to me that if it were such a big concern all these years, you would have been involved in the spiny lobster fishery just a little bit more; except for right now, even though they changed the law, it was right—all the information would have been given to you, you would have had a hand in the pot the whole time. I don't understand why right now everything has got to change into this when we don't have a permit for it; you don't even permit us for it. You permit us for tailing but not for the spiny lobster itself, but yet you're going to take control or mandate what the state has to do after the state was given control all these years. So it's kind of confusing to a guy like me that's been through – let's see, I went through the golden crabs, told me to go fish them, then they took my permit from that because there was too many people. After I'd just put \$80,000 gear on my boat, they changed the date and told us we couldn't go fishing. Then they told me to go shark fishing; then they told me don't go shark fishing. Then, let's see, net fishing I got closed out of because my boat is so big I can't go for two strikes, it doesn't pay. My boat is just too much of a boat to go for two strikes. I was a fish trapper primarily, did all of that rigmarole with the fish traps, put the trawling in, inspecting the traps waiting for this, doing for that; never had a problem fish trapping, the best fishery in the market because there is no bycatch. When it's done legal and right it's a good fishery. I understand the problems with that. Then they took that from me, then I went longlining, guess what? You took that from me. I mean, it just seems to me, am I done, should I tell my son to go do something else? I mean, this is the last fishery that I'm in. I've been chased out of every other fishery that I've been in. I can't go grouper fishing. I use to catch 30 to 40,000 pounds of grouper a year; I got 6,000 pounds. I can't go fishing for 6,000 pounds. My boat is 70 feet long; that's one trip. I can't go one trip a year – gear up and do all that for one trip a year. It's not

economically feasible, besides the mates won't do it, not for one trip. So, right now I'm at the last fishery of what I've got. I'm in the spiny lobster fishery. I'm an innovator. I've got the only boat with a real live well. I've done a lot of stuff to make the product valuable and viable in the market. I've gone all around; I traveled all around the country to sell my product. Now, is this it? I mean, am I going to get an answer, because I'll just sell everything now. I don't want to fight them. I don't have nothing else to fall back on and now you guys are attacking the lobster. So, if you could see it from my point of view after going through all these years of taking and doing—go do this, then take it away—go do this, then take it away; now we're down to the last fishery that I have. This is it, if you take spiny lobster from me, I'm out of business in terms of fishing because my boat is too big. I can't go yellow tailing. You just can't do certain things when you have a big boat. And to come down to it the reason why I have a big boat is because of you guys; you told me to go fish trapping way out west. When you closed down the reef off here, I had to go to federal waters, and I had to go deep because it was the only place to go. So now, I mean, I'm stuck with a big boat and a fishery that's my last straw. I'm trying to make it work and now here we are. So, my question to you guys is look at what it does to the people that are really invested in this fishery, not the part-timers who go crabbing and go do all this, I'm a hundred percent lobster fishing, that's all I do. I don't go crabbing; I don't to nothing else. I don't have that—when you do this for real, you don't have time to do nothing else. Like I said, I'm not an educated man; it would take me a long time to understand all these points, to come back to you, but yet I'm a vested person in this fishery, heavily. I have got a dock with a mortgage. Not one other fisherman has his own dock in this town; so if that doesn't prove to you that I'm a vested fisherman that has an interest in what you say, but yet my voice will not—it's just one out of a million I guess, I don't know. I hope you guys make the right decision; and if you want more information on what's really going on, let's get with the fishermen a little bit more that actually do this on a real basis, day after day, and have them work with you and show you what's going on with this fishery; how it actually works and what the impacts of your past decisions have done to get us to this point. Because, right now we have a serious problem with what you all did with the take zones that you already closed, and that's caused us a big problem in this fishery. And we're seeing it now because these areas are going dead when they shouldn't go dead. And we as fishermen see it, we want to change it but we can't, but yet it's going to be up to you guys, the ones – I don't even know if any of you all been on a deepwater trawl, have you ever seen it done? Has anybody ever showed you what's going on out there in the deep and how this all goes on? I mean, I don't know, where does the science come from to get to this point? I don't know if that question can be posed, if I'm just making a comment or that's about it. It's very confusing to me at this point in my life; and it's kind of scary too, because I don't know what else to do. Once you've been a fisherman, it's hard to stop.

MR. GALE: My name is Mitchell Gale. I'm a commercial fisherman out of Big Pine Key since 1978, and I'm on the board of directors of the Florida Keys Commercial Fishermen's Association. What I'd like to address today is Action 7, using shorts as attractants, and I would support preferred Alternative 4. In my business we use attractants; I mean, we treat them like gold. The areas I fish, we don't get a big influx of undersized lobster to use as attractants early in the season. Ours come a little later on, so the ones that we do get we take a lot of care of. You're probably aware that in this season we've had a Chinese market of live lobsters, so we've all put upgraded live wells and aerators, so our lobster are getting treated as well as they've ever been treated. In my business we treat our bait very carefully. I know that they feel that the mortality from these lobsters when they remain in the traps too long, but we often change lobster out when they see that they're weak or not up to par, because in that case they are not really

valuable to us. That was really my main point. There are other points such as area closing and marking trap lines, but our Executive Director Bill Kelly will probably touch on those and give my opinion. He'll represent my opinion on that. And also in closing I'd like to stress the other main opposition was to catch shares. I think our fishery, the way it is set up manages itself. Now I know catch shares is going to be a mandatory or might be a mandatory procedure, but I think in our fishery with our trap reduction plan we're pretty well managed sufficiently right now. I appreciate your time and I just want to make that statement. Thank you.

MR. HARRIS: Good evening, I'm Rob Harris. I represent myself. I'm here to speak on the spiny lobster amendment. I live and fish here in the Florida Keys, specifically out of Key West. I'll try to keep this short and sweet. With regard to Action 1, I really don't support any of the actions on there because of the fact that I don't like having the spiny's not included with the grouping. I think it needs to remain in that grouping. Action 2, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 4-2, 4-3; when I read through those particular amendments, I kind of grouped those together because they all kind of fall in together. Because of the fact that they talk about assigning the ACL's, which I know that there is pressure that some sort of ACL has to be reached and achieved, I really truly, especially after sitting next door in the brief, I don't believe that there is really enough information out there. And when you look at the graphs for the years catch histories, you see such wide swings in there. I also understand that there is no AMs that are going to be triggered as far as shutting down the fishery. But my big concern is being here in the Keys and knowing how the lobster fishery is down here, that you have cyclic swings, and just the populations, and, of course, we have hurricanes to deal with, and it's going to be very hard to track a lot of that data; especially considering that there is no recreational input, truly, into that spiny lobster number that's figured. I understand that the numbers we're talking are less than 25 percent of the overall catch, and I think that's probably accurate, and I think that's probably high. It is probably a little bit higher for the commercial and the recreational, but the point to that being that we've got to figure out some way to have some sort of actual assigned reporting system. And I know that the state does it through the lobster stamps that we have to buy so at least we have some sort of measure to build those numbers from, but for us down here in Monroe County, well, we're only allowed six per person per day; whereas up on the mainland you get twelve. We have a big push of folks to come down here for a mini-season and they take and rape and pillage and do everything they want to and then they go home; but for those of us that live here, I've got a permit, my wife has got a permit, and I can tell you that I eat maybe six lobster a year. And I'm right here and I see them all the time when I'm diving, but just because I have a stamp doesn't mean I'm actively out there doing it to the degree that I think the MRFSS System put that emphasis on; so possibly a new way to collect some of that data, online reporting, something. In Action 7, I also support the Spiny Lobster AP's recommendation with Alternative 2. Action 8, I support the AP with Alternative 2; Action 9, I support Alternative 2 and a degree of 2 and of 1, I understand that the AP recommended no action on it. I do think that there is actual cause to go out and look to see where these traps are. I'm completely - well, I won't say completely; I am adverse to wide area closures, closed areas, but I think that there is some way to limit where these traps are going in and where they have the potential to impact some of the corals and live bottom that your trying to protect, because they need protecting, nobody can deny that. I know that some of the folks that put the traps out say, well, there's very little—I've lived down here during Wilma and I was running my boat down to the west, and so I know when I see these clumps of 50, 75 traps together, that they didn't all come together all at once, they had to drag across something. Whether or not those areas were in critical areas, I don't know, I won't pretend to know. I think that there is a happy medium that can be reached between no action and

complete area closures. On Action 10, I also support the AP's recommendation with Alternative 2 and with Action 11, I also support the AP's recommendation of Alternative 2. Thank you very much for your time and good to see you.

MR. HERNANDEZ.: Jesus Hernandez, Jr. I work with my Dad on the lobster boat. He's been doing fishing for like 30 years. I've been fishing with him off and on over the years for a long time myself. I just want to make a couple comments here. First of all, why are they basing the – like the 7.3 million on the last ten years when the graph shows 20 years' record. And if they go back 20 years if you look at the graph, the first ten years the catch was way higher than what it is in the last ten years. So, why would they have a graph for 20 years and only base what they're deciding on the catch limit to be on the last ten just to make it lower or I don't see what the scientific reason for that would be. And the other thing is I know they have a catch limit on fish, like king fish and all that, but the spiny lobster is not the same or shouldn't be put in the same category as a fish. I mean, you catch a fish and you've got to put him back in the water or he dies. I get a dozen lobsters, take it home, throw it in the sink, and wake up the next morning. they are still moving around. So they don't get impacted by the environment as much as a fish does. It's a scavenger; they eat all the crap that they see on the bottom of the ocean. That's why we put rotten cowhide to attract them. They are not going to die. They have been compared to your domestic cockroach at home because they multiply, so I think when the scientific decision to do what they're doing, trying to implement a catch limit and all that, maybe they're not looking at the lobster as being totally separate from kingfish, a grouper, all of the other limits that they have set in the past. One more thing I would like to say is about 80 per cent of the fishermen in Key West, at least, are Spanish speaking. They don't understand English that much. They understand it, but they don't speak it as well. So when they implement all these rules and stuff and these meetings, they should have somebody here speaking Spanish so that most of the fishermen could come out here and listen and know what's going on. When you're going to change their livelihoods and all that, most of them don't even attend because they don't know what's going on as far as that goes. So, I'd like to think they need to make more better decisions, educated decisions on this, they need more information from the fishermen themselves. And if most of them can't come up here and speak to you, you're not going to know what's going on.

BILL KELLY: My name is Bill Kelly. I represent the Florida Keys Commercial Fishermen's Association. I did give comment last night, as you know. I just wanted to clarify some information that was discussed today on the use of undersized lobsters as attractants in baiting lobster traps. The bycatch mortality rate is estimated at 10 percent in the use of shorts as attractants, which is the lowest in any of the managed fisheries by all the councils. By comparison it's as high as 20 to 30 percent in finfish categories. It is known that by utilizing shorts as attractants, we catch at a rate that exceeds an unbaited trap by two to three times more productive. In many cases as this fishery begins and develops in the north and east, fishermen catch their catch faster because they're recruiting or harvesting those lobsters that are in that area. The fish then, through the course of the season, they work their way to the south and west and deeper water. By using those shorts as attractants and getting those catches further, it often times reduces the soak time on traps because the fishermen retire their traps early, and not only does it then curtail any further mortality that's occurring with the undersized lobster, but it also reduces significantly bycatch on other finfish such as pinfish and tomtates and grunts that might have found themselves in those traps. It's a significant improvement in the fishing and is, of course, very successful for this industry. I just wanted to point that out and would appreciate your efforts here the past couple of days listening to us. Thank you.

MIKE LOTASINA: Mike Lotasina, commercial fisherman. First off, I'd like to applaud you on your approach for your total allowable catch thing. You didn't use the one size fits all thing that the federal government normally uses and doesn't take into account local areas and different fisheries, and it looks like you're trying to do something good on that. As far as the tailing permits, we need tailing permits in this area because of the boats that fish offshore. You can't freeze or ice whole crawfish very well, they turn black, you'd have to soak them in so much bisulfate they'd be inedible. Color-coated ropes, I don't know who came up with that one. They are surely not of this world, because that's the most impractical thing you could ever think of. We don't even have enough colors in the rainbow to cover all the fishermen we have. There are four different people in my little area alone fishing the same color buoys. I mean, we can't differentiate the colors as far as that, and how are we going to do it with ropes? I don't know what you're planning on enforcing with it. If you find a purple rope, you're going to go to the guy that's got the purple rope and fine him? There's going to be a hundred guys with purple ropes out there. And right now we're having a hard time buying rope at any price at any color; and to get those companies – and there's only I think two of them in the whole United States right now that are in operation. And to get them to make a whole bunch of different colors of rope is just going to be impossible. That whole plan is just an unenforceable and impractical rule right there. As far as putting the traps on the Aquapura bottom, anyone that puts a—no one that puts a trap on that kind of bottom is not going to get it back up, because the ropes are going to get tangled around and you're going to lose your traps. Any traps that are in that area are put in there by storms or by accident. People don't normally fish that bottom. First off, the fish won't go in the traps. They like the grass bottom to get your maximum catch. Fishing that hard bottom just destroys your gear, anyway, and most of that coral is dead, anyway, I don't know where you're going to find any live aquapura. All Looe Key is nothing but dead stumps. It once was beautiful trees of this stuff and now it's just stumps about that tall, green covered with algae. You're never going to bring that coral back until the water quality changes. That's what killed that coral; it needs clear water. You look at where it grows throughout the whole Caribbean and it's only in the clearest, cleanest water where that type of coral grows and, we don't have that any more. The Sanctuary said they were going to clean up the waters, but that's just more hogwash to get another bureaucracy on top of us. I guess that's about all I've got to say.

BILL PARKS: My name is Bill Parks. I'm from Palm Beach County. I was a commercial diver, fisherman, tropical fish collector for about 30 years and now my body is telling me to get out of it., but I did want to comment on a couple of things. First, what Don mentioned about the tailing permits, and the bleach. I wasn't going to talk about bleach, but since he brought it up, I will. In the years I've been a commercial diver, the majority of the commercial divers in Palm Beach, Martin and St. Lucie counties, the ones that do a lot of lobster are all using Clorox. I've seen the damage it can do firsthand. Shoot it up under a ledge, you see the margates and the coral shrimp and everything just drifting out dead and rolling, but they do use it. If you have a deep ledge and you shoot Clorox under it, it will run every last crawfish out, and you'll see them start to do the leg dances. The Clorox is burning their gills that are attached to their legs and they'll ultimately – most of them will die, but it gets every one of them out and they catch them. It is a problem. I don't think the way the state's bleach possession law is written is very effective; but if you want to look at it some time, the bleach problem, keep that in mind. This leads into the tailing permit. At the very least, I don't think divers should have — I can't talk about Monroe County, but Palm Beach, Martin and St. Lucie going north, I don't think divers should be allowed to have tailing permits; because when I was in the business years ago, you hunted with a pole spear whether you used bleach or not and it was called jing and ring, you jing 'em and ring

'em and go. The tailing permits were a good dodge to get around that. If you had one that the hole happened to be in the head or the hole hit the tail, you hid the tail, but in general the tailing permits for divers up there was a dodge, so you could get around the no spearing law. It's something to consider. Anyway, I've done enough damage for the day and thank you very much.

MIMI STAFFORD: Good afternoon and thank you for your time. My name is Mimi Stafford, I've been a commercial fisherman in the Keys since 1974. My husband Simon runs one boat; I run another boat. I do lobster fish in the area that is being considered for some changes in terms of closed areas for coral protection. I'm a biologist by background; I'm very supportive of protecting the natural environment. Any of the good fishermen will tell you the same thing that the environment is extremely — a healthy environment is crucial. If we don't have a healthy environment, we won't have a catch next year and a future. My son is also involved in the business now and is looking to stay involved in the future, so I want to make sure that it's maintained both for the sake of the fishing industry, but also for the sake of the whole ecosystem. I share my husband's concern about the annual catch limits. I was on the state's lobster advisory board. We talked a lot about allocation of the resource and about coming up with a limit, and we have a limit already. This would be a double limit on our fishery. We have the trap certificate program which we have voluntarily done a great deal of reducing our impact on the environment and on the lobster fishery. To put another ceiling on it, I don't know that there is another fishery that has had a double layer of regulation like that. I would ask that every effort be made to either set the limit very high or if there is a method that can be used to exclude this species based on the fact that it's already being limited, I would really encourage you to do that. I also have concerns about the closed areas for the coral. As we've said, we have this ecological reserve right next to where we fish. Fishermen do not wantonly put traps on corals. We do everything we can to avoid it because you'd be a really poor fisherman. You're not going to catch much and we don't care to harm the environment either. I would ask that that is carefully reviewed so that we know what kind of true impact that we have before we close additional areas. We've had a lot of loss of bottom already. The other thing I think I wanted to speak about was the ropes. My understanding is that the impact from our trap line has been pretty small in terms of endangered species. I'm concerned about – especially with turtles, I'm concerned about the coloration of the lines because there is documentation that implicates that turtles are attracted to color. I think if we start coloring our lines, we may actually be doing more damage in that you don't want to attract them any more than you do now. And my understanding is that the impact is very minimal, and it would cost our industry a tremendous amount of money to have to change over our lines at this point. We use the black because they are the most resistant to ultraviolet and so they maintain longer that way. Those are my main concerns. I appreciate your time, thank you very much.

SIMON STAFFORD: I'm Simon Stafford; I'm a commercial lobster fisherman. I'm a member of the Gulf of Mexico Spiny Lobster Advisory Panel. I guess I'm speaking for myself as well today. Really, this last year I'm feeling optimistic about this fishery for the first time in a decade. I feel optimistic about I've seen clearer water quality. I've heard Jim Porter from the University of Florida has stated that he's been monitoring the coral reef. He has seen not a decline this year; he has even seen a slight increase in coral coverage this year. I personally have had my back-to-back best catches ever. It beats the nineties. I fished with just about the same amount of gear as I've always fished with since then. I'm seeing people invest in the fishery. It's coming back for whatever reason, whether we've just been through ten years of hurricanes and messes, hard to say, I don't know if anybody really knows what the story is, but I would be a

trifle disappointed at this point if an annual catch limit was put on this fishery. I would think we've passed fairly substantially this year; the catches have been that good. To get sort of cut off from an arbitrary decision to impose an annual catch limit in this fishery – I know you're mandated to do something like this, but I would certainly like to see you be as optimistic as you can. I think you have been trying to do that; but barring hurricanes, which, of course, we have no idea what's going to happen this year, I think we're looking at a very good fishery. We've seen a great reduction in the number of traps, impacts to the coral. I fish right out here. I fish out of Stock Island to the east there. We've got one of the biggest ecological reserves in the National Marine Sanctuary. It's like three miles long all the way to the beach from the reef. I'm sure your impacts to the coral are probably absolutely no different between that area and any other area that you could study. I'm sure that Endangered Species Act requires that you do that analysis. You've got quite a good study area there. I would say we've got a good fishery and I'd really ask you not to try and impose too many more regulations. It seems like things are coming back and, like I said, I'm invested in it and I see things rolling along very nicely. I think management measures have worked very well so far.



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Cape Canaveral, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 18, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) M R | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: MacI Amei (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | kerel Spiny Lobster ndment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| July CULINO) | 321) 452-5315 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | 11/14 10 51 32953 | | |
| 290 INLET AVE, MERLITT | 130100, FE 1-1-2 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) SEA LEGS @ BREVINO, NET | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | | | |
| 1 STATEMENT | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | mendment to Amendment to | | |

| | | • | |
|---|--|---|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| • | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) USTIN OSTEV | 719 466 1845 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 5005 South US Highway 1 Grant | F1 32949 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Cape Canaveral, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 18, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Frank J. Gidus, II | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP C 4730 (ake Sharp Dr. Ollan | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) F 9 1 dus @ YGhOO. Com | • | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |

| * | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | • | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) A SON HAT/OWN | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | Beach F1 32931 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | Spiny Lobster endment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| DON NEW HAUSEL | 407-578-8429 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 864 HAMMORTS JE DOOFE 1 | <i>[</i> |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| NEWY (a) CFL, KK, Com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) And If AVAILABLE | nendment 18 — Amendment 10 |



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201

4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| Vernièce Newhauser | 407-474-0500 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | |
| 6590 Odussed St unit H | | | |
| Verniece Officerom | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | | | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY | nendment 18 — Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) HARRY D. RESTON | (321) 639-7890 | | |
| MAILING ADDRÉSS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | |
| 4265 VANCOUVER AVE COCOA FL 3 | 32926 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| EAGLE16@CFL.RR.COM | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| USGG AUX: LIARY | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | | |

| # | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | • | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| Vames Reeves | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | |
| 14390 orange Ave Ft Pierce FL 34945 | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| Cat | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack | Johnny Lobotot | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Amen | dment 18 Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| Melissa Reeks | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CO | | | |
| 8535 Northlake Blud., WPB. FL | - 33 4n4 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| MRECKS @ earthlink net | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| FWC | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201

NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| DON SEIB | 321-783-3541 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | |
| 1120 S. BRENARD AUE COCOA BEAC | CYEL | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| donaldon Soil a adicom | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| Self | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| JAMES lurner | 321-505-63// | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE 394 CANE SChastign EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | 32976 | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | | |

| * | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Organ arver | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE | , & ZIP CODE) |
| 106 Vak)t. | Caron FL 3292L |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Amendment to Amendment to |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Cape Canaveral, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MARK WILSON | 321-537-8078 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 155 RIVER ROAD CIRCLE ROCKLES | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | - de 10 3 1/33 |
| MWILSON @ DRS-TS.COM | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |

MARK



| Agenda Item Comm | nents |
|--|------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Oave Hp: MAILING ADDRESS (RI EASE INCLUDE STREET OF THE PRINCIPLE STREET ST | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) (653 Selve Winter EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | Paok, El 32789 |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF ABPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: | ackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) ROCK HUD ERSOW MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) $\frac{721452-1800}{}$ | | | | |
| 4320 HORSESHOE BEND MERRITI. EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | BLFL 32953 | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | | |
| BOTTOM DOLLAR CHARTER FISHING DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | 6 | | | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | | | | |

| | • | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | | |
| JEHT VEVOR | 321-377-577 | | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | TER PAGE, F1 377 29 | | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) SOVOR CHANGESTONG | · rom | | | | |
| DUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | | |
| CLUNTUR DOAT KENDEZ VOUS | | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket | | | | | |

| | | | |
|------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | | | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | | | | |
| ROBERT (AROIN | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STA | ATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | | | | |
| | 11/ 7 | | | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | | |
| Som Cowley | | | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | | | |
| BX 1123, WINDERMERZ, FL 34 EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | 1786 | | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | | |
| THE John las 13 yahos (an | | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket | erel Spiny Lobster | | | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Amend | dment 18 Amendment 10 | | | | |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) AT BARKER | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | 1(10 |
| 134 PINETLEE DR | 1HB, FC 32937 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) PATREX @ Bellowh | wet |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| SPA | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | dment 18 Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| JERF BROWN | 107-366-8347 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | 1 |
| 3310 STERLING CAKE CIR OV | 1, eDo, 19, 32765 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) JOBOCSO CPI. NR. COM | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| COPOUT PIBLIMG CHARTERS | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) DAN KANE | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | Ω |
| 3293 TORONTO AVE SE. PAUN | 1 BAY + 32909 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 |

| • | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|--|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| tussell knowson | 386-239-0948 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| DSF 2009 @ aol. com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) DIRCLED SUSTAINABLE FISHERIOS. | Inc |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | ackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Am | endment 18 — Amendment 10 |

|) } | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| Mason G. Bowen | 772 589 4203 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | |
| 365 Orange Ave, Sebastian | -la. 32958 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack Amen IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | | |

| ** 3 | | |
|---------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201

NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Gregory Harlows 321-784-5 | .116 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 105 W. Volusia Lane Cocon B | reach 11-32931 |
| Sharlowe Cfli RR. Com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |

| 1 6 | | |
|--------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Cape Canaveral, FL | April 18, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Scott CLRREN | 407-701-8850 | |
| MAIL INC. ADDRESS (RI FASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOY NO. CITY STATE & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 932 Indian Hawwock Dr., OSTREN, FL 32764 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Scott & f/m / b/Z | | |
| THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF | | |
| COASTAL CONSERVATION ASSOCIATION | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: Mack | | |





| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Pooler, GA | DATE OF MEETING April 13, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) LOUGON TOLLIER | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) (912) 754 - 0026 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 3404 Hwy 119 North Cyp GA | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE), Liettler it Windstream, net | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Pooler, GA | DATE OF MEETING April 13, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) JUSIN Zettler | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 3904 Hay //9 North C/40 | CoA 31303 |
| 12et Her & Kearseman fucturing: COM | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Pooler, GA | DATE OF MEETING April 13, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Scott WAY | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 100 West Oglethorpe Ave. Savannah. | CA 30410 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Swith S, WAY & USCG. Mil | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack AMERITAN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Pooler, GA | DATE OF MEETING April 13, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) BANILKINSON | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 236 FANCY C+ RICHMOND | , |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) BCIW & COASTA (NOW , NET | , |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster mendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Pooler, GA | April 13, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| | 3-812-3604 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 1231 Ladys Is Dr Port Roya 1 SC 29535 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| tide ride fishing a) hotmail. com | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | , monament to | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | date of meeting April 13, 2011 | |
| Pooler, GA | · | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) 1) AN KHIMESHAM | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 912 596 1838 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 847 DAVIS ROLLING WILL GA | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: Mack STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack AMEN IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Pooler, GA | April 13, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PCEASE PRINT) AMES MEDIUMAN | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 16 DOMONICS CREEN ROLL STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (HE/APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSÍNESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU KEPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Pooler, GA | DATE OF MEETING April 13, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT), LVKC Lobiecque | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 912-704-2164 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) SOCK Ridge Circle Rincon, 6A 31326 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Pooler, GA | April 13, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| DUANE EUREK 91 | 12.897-5289 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 109 KEY ISLAND DRIVE | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) DEUREK (BCAP M47, NET | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| IF AVAILABLE) | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Pooler, GA | DATE OF MEETING April 13, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Ray / B Dus ham | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) (912) 282-6962 | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & 629 Bacon Buskhalter Rd EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | ZIP CODE) Colennville Cold 30427 | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Pooler, GA | April 13, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Edr Christionsen | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 2107 FT McAllister Bd Bichmand Hill | GG 31324 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| L SOCOUM & AOLICOM | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket Amend IF AVAILABLE) | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | | |
| Pooler, GA | April 13, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) BOS DIACK | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE SOLVE COLOR COLO | J1520 | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | | |
| Pooler, GA | April 13, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | |
| Chnz Page | 912-695-8517 | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | | |
| 13/09 Cantabut Rd Sah, GA 3/419 | | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) (JP P911 Xhg@ Yuhiv. 10m | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Pooler, GA | DATE OF MEETING April 13, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Chris Stracuzya | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 912-661-2122 | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 35 ROMACY PLACE SAVANDAM (-A 31 | 406 | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | | |
| Pooler, GA | April 13, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | |
| Lee Southard | 912 279 5909 | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | • | | | |
| ZZZ Croscuma Dr. Kichmid Will, GA | 31324 | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| Isouthand mastalnew, not | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| RFA | | | | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Pooler, GA | April 13, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) CONCIN | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 12/756/4573 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) CARTAIN DN @ Corastal Now | , net | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOUREPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) Capt, Faire Thulin Rishing | Charters | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack Amen | erel Spiny Lobster diment 18 Amendment 10 | |
| IF AVAILABLE) | | |





| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| New Bern, NC | April 11, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| PAUL D. PORCH | 910-627-7632 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 1505 DAKE RUAD WATHA NC. | Z8478 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| PPORCH 1952@GMAIL. | COM |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| Onslow Bay SALT WATER FISHING | ChuB |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | ackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Am | nendment 18 —— Amendment 10 |





 \mathcal{O}

SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| New Bern, NC | April 11, 2011 |
| MALLING ADDRESS (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) CONTENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR | More head City NC 28557 |
| LMILERA THE NEWSTIMES CON | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | ckerel Spiny Lobster endment 18 Amendment 10 |

| | | | · |
|--|--|--|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| /// New Bern, NC | April 11, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| NAULO SPICKETT | 252-247-7501 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, 8 | ZIP CODE) | | |
| 208 PAN AMA TERRANCE MOR | RE HEAD LITY AL 28557 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| CAPT STALY VII | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |

| | | • | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) New Bern, NC | DATE OF MEETING April 11, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 910 796 7292 | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | NC 28405 | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: Macke (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Macke Amend | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | | | |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) New Bern, NC | DATE OF MEETING April 11, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) LESTIR MANY ICEDANIS | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | 28570 |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| CADT STAG) | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201

NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) New Bern, NC YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | DATE OF MEETING April 11, 2011 | | |
| | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 7 72 62767 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | ruly NC TOTT | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| DÓ YOUWISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO TYES: | | | |
| (B) FAOF ATTION | endment 18 Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | | |
| New Bern, NC | April 11, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | |
| WILCIAM VANSCIVER | 252-241-2651 | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (FLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | | |
| P.O. Box 1464 Moretiens City No | <u>C 286</u> 57 | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| NA | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| KUN-OFF SPORTFISHING | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | | | |
| Ma () Ma | Spiny Lobster | | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY Am | endment 18 — Amendment 10 | | | |

| : | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| å | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | | |
| New Bern, NC | April 11, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | |
| CAPT. STAN JARYSINSKI | | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE | | | | |
| 135 MILL CRECK RD. STELLA N.C. | 28582 | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| SEATAYCEC.RROCOM | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| SOUTHERN KINGFISH ASSN | | | | |
| | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) New Bern, NC | DATE OF MEETING April 11, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) William Raab | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CO. | 28582 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| ONSlow Bay SAltwater Fishing Cl | 6 | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| New Bern, NC | April 11, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) SONNY DAVIS | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) PO. BOX 3013 ATHANTIC BOAC. | b N.C. | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| CAPT STACY FISHING CENTE | -R ATHANTE Beach | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES! | spiny Lobster | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Am | endment 18 — Amendment 10 | | |

| £ | | |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| New Bern, NC | April 11, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| ROBERT FREEMAN | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, ST. | ATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 221 SMITH ST. ATLANTIC BOH. N.C. | 28512 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| SUNRISE @ COASTALNET. COM | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| SUNRISE CHARTER | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |
| ÎF AVAILABLE) | | |





| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| N. Charleston, SC | April 12, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) SION BUN S | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET CR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | |
| 717 Ft Sumfer Dr James |) Island SC 29412 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Sharr on her main hish. com | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| N. Charleston, SC | April 12, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) ARK SUCON | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 3442 PANDORIA DR. | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Capt. Mark brown (a) | Comcast net | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| Charter hoat Teaser, I | ye. | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: MA | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) N. Charleston, SC | DATE OF MEETING April 12, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) JULIA BY D | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 843-953-9389 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| O'ATEMENT. | ackerel Spiny Lobster mendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING April 12 2011 | |
| N. Charleston, SC | April 12, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MEL BELL | (843)953-9007 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 1 5 DR POBOX (7554 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) BELLON CON R. SC. 60 V | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| OTAL COMPANY | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| N. Charleston, SC | April 12, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 843-953-9345 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (FLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP O | SC 991/12 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| N. Charleston, SC | April 12, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Holam Henderson | 843-592-0789 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASÉ INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE | E, & ZIP CODE) | |
| | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| N. Charleston, SC | April 12, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Robert Johnson 904-794-2628 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 804 Shore drive St Augustine FL 32086 | | |
| Jufiching a bell south, net | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) N. Charleston, SC | DATE OF MEETING April 12, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) HAD YUETT | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) (9/0) 547-73-2 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | SC 29407 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket Ma | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING April 12, 2011 |
| N. Charleston, SC | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 472 Huger St., Charleston, SC 29403 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Fredkinger and a hotmail com | • |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| S.C. Wildlife Federation | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: Mack | Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) N. Charleston, SC | DATE OF MEETING April 12, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Christopher R. Keel | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Keeler @ yahoo, com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) N. Charleston, SC | DATE OF MEETING April 12, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) DAVID PERALTA 8 | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 3 4 3 - 763 - 8969 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 2223 HUNTER (REE) | RIVE |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) OUT Pa the charleston angler. Com | ^ |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack AMERICAN A | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) N. Charleston, SC | DATE OF MEETING April 12, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) SCOTT WHITAKOZ | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP COD | DE) |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF AFFLICABLE) | |
| | ckerel Spiny Lobster endment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| TOBERT HARRIS 305 | - 597-6718 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 2611 GULFVIOW DR, KEY WEST, FL 33040 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) RW-HARRS RWSN. COM | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY AND | nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Adam Disson | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| P. D. Box 2627 Ken Wsf | 129 33045 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| A.J.D. MARINE LCC, | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Don De Maria (Snapper Grouper AP) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 | |
| Key West, FL | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MIKE LAUDICINA | (305) 395 0869 | |
| THE WAS ASSESSED TO THE STREET OF BOY NO. CITY STATE & ZIP CODE | | |
| P.O. Box 411 Big PINE 1804 FL 33043 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| SIATEMENT | ackerel Spiny Lobster mendment 18 Amendment 10 | |





| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) BILLIANS GRASS | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 561-315-6999 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE OF SW 27th Place | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) BERTRAM 25 @ BELLSOUTH. NET | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Amendment 18 Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Prter BACLE | 305-296-5844 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 6639 MALONEY AVE | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| hacle 26 of all long | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| STOCK Island Labster Co | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Jeffrey Arnold | 305-3040576 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 5430 ROBEN LM Key West | FL. 33040 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | • |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINSS | |
| | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| William Arnold III | 305-731-939 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP | | |
| 25 Hilton Haven Dr Ke | West FL 33040 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IE ADDLICADIE) | | |
| willy grnold@gmgil.com | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| self employed commercial tis | shermal | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Amendment 18 — Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| JESUS Hernandez Jo | 305.797-8605. | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | r. 22542 | |
| E-11 11 Ave Key West FL 33040 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) A JOHOO. COM | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| ESPY - Commercial Fishe | rman | |
| | Spiny Lobster | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Am | endment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) DIAZ | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) (305) 797-23/2 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | Vest 91. |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) LICHLOBSTER 1 DL. Com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| JAILMENT! | kerel Spiny Lobster ndment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Ky West PL Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| | (304) 797-6497 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | J |
| 1213 John Torrace her West | - FL 33040 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| MV TAMMY | |
| | Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| BILL KELLY | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) PO BOX 501404 MARATHON FL 33050 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| TOTAL BUTCH | | |
|---|---|--|
| Agenda Item Comments | | |
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) ROBERT BURTON | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 33458 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) SLASCAPEROD @ Aol. Com | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| 20bert Pillar | 305 - 797 - 0744 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 25000 northsich Dr. Simmerland F | uy [(a. 33042 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MITCHELL GALE | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 29467 GERALDINEST | |
| EMAIL-ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) KEY, FL 330 | 143 |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| FLORIDA KEYS COMMERCIAL FISHERMAN, INC | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | renument to Amenument to |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | date of meeting April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) SIMON STAPPOR | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 354 BOCA CACA | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) SIMIOI & Dell Conta. | net |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Mini Stators | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | , | |
| 354 Boca Chica Rd Key West Fl 330411 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| Simi Olehellsouth, net | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| Sell | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket Mac | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | |
| ÎF AVAILABLE) | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| William Arnold Jr | 305-296 - 0447 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 25 Hilton Itaven Rd. Key West 33040 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) dulce arnold@gmail.com | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| Commercial Fisherman | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |
| IF AVAILABLE) | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Miouel Chouez Horen | Ó | |
| MAILING ÁDDRESS-(PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| STOCK TSLAND LOBITER | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: Mack | \ | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Amen | dment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Tesus Mederos | (305) 747 9474 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | _1 |
| Cathath A UR Key West | FL 33040 |
| EMAIL ANDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DUCINITES OF ORGANIZATION VOLUMERDESENT (IE ARRI ICARI E) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | / |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | date of meeting April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Addian MORALES | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STRFET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 5501 310 AUE APT# 240 KEY WEST FL 33040 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) NELSON MORA (ES | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 5501 3 LAVE 41 Z40 KGYWEST FL 57040 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) TELEPHONE NUMBER (* AREA CODI | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 48 C 10 AVR FRY Wast FL 33040 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| BILLY NICES | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| DOB 420127 SUMMEYLAND FLY 336 | -42 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| MCCF. | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MANUEL RAVELS | 305-797-5051 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| #7 BOCA ChicA Rd KEY WEST F1, 33046 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| J'm Shanee | 705-395-8712 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 29141 clove Ln Big Pine Key FL. 33043 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Jinshame JRQ AoL. com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| ECCO Environmentally Concerned Commercial Divers | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | ackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) AM | nendment 18 — Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) EDUAADO SAADO | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | KW FL |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) An | nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| POUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Adalher to Vn Ides | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) 600 HOR SE CLUB CLESYVISTON FLA | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Elward Watson | 305 360 6957 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| PoBox 522605 MARAThan FL | 33052 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Sucozerone AGMAIL. Com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) An | nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Frank Axencibic | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZII | P CODE) |
| E-24 11 AVE Key UKST FIG. | 3304D |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| Ailleren | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) CILIVER T. ATKINSON, JR | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 239 472 91.40 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) BOX 3 AVE 1 COCO PLUM | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) AGRMOTH ADL. COM | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| Roben Gauelo | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP COPE) | |
| 7 Boca clica Rd Key West Fla | a 33040 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| Fisher men | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| | 3057458402 |
| MAILING ADDREȘS (PLEASE INCLUDE <i>ŚTREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE</i>) | |
| 55 N. Johnson Rd Sygarloaf Key i | -L 33042 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| jbyrne@tnc.org | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| The Nature Conservancy | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| IF AVAILABLE) | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| BRIAN BENNETT 305 | T-360-2406 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 6 AMARYCUS DR. KEY WEST, FL. 33040 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) CAPTSHORTHOPA COMCAST, NET | |
| BUSINESS OR URGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) JEFFREY A. BROWN | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 305 517 6035 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 34 KEY HAVEN RD. KEY WEST FL 33040 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| STATEMENT! | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Staci Brown | 305-517-6035 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 34 Key Haven Rd Key West Fi | 33040 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Steve Carlis | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY STATE, & ZIP CODE) 165 Sugarloaf Dr Sugarloaf Key FL | 33042 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) SIGN (II @ Oul.com | |
| EUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) (A) Ael Celvin fes | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O | CAMI FL 33155 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) DIAZ | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 305 797 — 5560 |
| | 33040 |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| Fishermen | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Silly Delph 3 | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) ひらってタリーイイタビ | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP COPE) 183 1/en Clian way Sugar coat Key FL 33097 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: Mack STATEMENT? NO YES: Amen if AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Ruben Hernandol | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE | E, & ZIP CODE) |
| C 50 10 AVA | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) TISHER MEM CAPTOM | Ken West FL 3304 |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Amendment to Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | |
| JESUS HERNANDEZ | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 305. 294. 2378 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | FL 330% | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) BY COMMENCIAL FSMMM | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: Mackerel Amendment 18 Mackerel Amendment 10 | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | denument to Amendment to | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Key West, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 20, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Chris Johnson TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 3053947288 | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 20650 15+ AUE WISH CUCSON Key PL 37012 | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) CMUS 7039 D Mhoo. can | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Key West, FL | April 20, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| KOLAND MENERS | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE/INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP C | ODE) | | |
| 1411 SADAS KEAD TH | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | H.NET. | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| , | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |



35

| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Greg Craddock | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 13 63 MOCVENWOOD KO | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | | |

| | | * |
|--|--|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |





| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) CHARLES HOLT MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 4495 ROOSEVEZT BLVO VNIT 7 | 101 ACKSONVILLE, F-132210 | | |
| LINAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | | | |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| HON SURVENCY | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, | . & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 6652 Shady Op | + E DV | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| L JAX I-(| 32277 | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | 30711 | | |
| , | | | |
| DO VOLUMENT TO A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF T | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: | | | |
| STATEMENT? | Mackerel Spiny Lobster | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |
| | | | |

| | • | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 904-262-2869 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YÉS: Macke | dment 18 Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| Don Cook | 904-220-4605 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE | • | | |
| 2217 DUYLGAIL DR. E, JACK | 50Nv:110, F/32225 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 | | |



| Agenda Item Commo | ents |
|---|---|
| Jacksonville, FL YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) AVIN | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | 32223 |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macke | rel Spiny Lobster ment 18 Amendment 10 |

| and the second s | |
|--|--|
| and the second s | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP GODE) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | lm, F1 32081 |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: Ma | endment 18 Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 904-262 - 9929 |
| EMAIL APPRESS UT 1809 Worth CH | Jax FL 32222 |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | Ach. Com |
| CCA | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comm | ients |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | 850-324-3474 |
| 905 E. Park Ave. Tallallassa | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Taukeman @ (Caflorida ona) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) Coastal Coh Servation According | 04 |
| | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |





| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | | |
| TAMES ANDERSON | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 904-464-0662 | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 9249 STAR PASS DRIVE JACKSON WILLE | FL 32256 | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket | rel Spiny Lobster ment 18 Amendment 10 | | | |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 |
| POR BESTON (850) 410-065 | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX-NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | , O |
| FUC TO I DINGS | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket Amend IF AVAILABLE) | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP (12054 Provence Street Jay F EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | CODE | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |

| | • | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| • | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) DANNY De / Rio MAII ING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX ASSESSED ASSE | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 904-725-8753 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 4911 TOP ROYAL MAIL STATE, & ZIP CODE) EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | FL. 3277 | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: Ma | Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) CHUCK DARNER | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 11448 50077 MILL RD - 5A+. | FL 32223 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A (100) | CLUS | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: MA | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) B. G. GTVEN) (FUC) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | |
| PO BOX 139 NAS TAX JA | x, F2 32212 | | |
| bradley, givens @ myfuc. com | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| FWY | | | |
| | lackerel Spiny Lobster mendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |

| | | | • | |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | ÷ | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| : • | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) LING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STOREST OF STORES) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 26 2 - 286 9 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE & ZIP CODE) EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | cksonville, FL | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | | |

| S | | |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Onnie Holt MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INC. U.D. OTRESS | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 117 West Dava Road Suite 425 EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | Jax, 72 32202 | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| Jacksonville (ity Council Ect Dis | strict 11 | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Macket (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| harry Hixenbaygh | 904 406 5819 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 2184 Hidenwaters Drive West | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| Kockinarry a Yahoo, COM | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRÉSENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| Retired Jacksonville Offshore | Fishing Club | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: MA | ackerel Spiny Lobster | |
| | nendment 18 — Amendment 10 | |
| IF AVAILABLE) | | |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Layel TX enbaugh MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE OTSEET) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 909-406-58(9 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 2189 Hidden Waters D. W. Green Cove EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | e Springs, FL 32043 |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU'REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| JOSEC | |
| | endment 18 Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| JAMES J. JOHNSON | 904-221-6293 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 13769 NUNTERWOOD RD J | AX. FL 32225 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BISMARK QBETUSOUTH, A | JET | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) IEARU KERR | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 904 - 759 - 376 7 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP COD 1726 SEFF CERCUE WEST TAX., 1-2 EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | 322 <i>10</i> | |
| WASTEVER 1) 26 (0 YASTOO COM) BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A | | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Steve Kalaitzis | 904-743-7021 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | |
| IF AVAILABLE) | , anonamone to | |

| • | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Brian F Lloyd | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 12214 Springmoor Two Ct | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| F/V REEF RAIDER IL | | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |

| \$ 4 | |
|---------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| * 1 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



| Agenda Item Comr | nents |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOX NO. OFFI ASSESSED AND ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET) ADDR | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE 12214 Prinsmon Two Control EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | Jax F1. 32225 |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A CONTROL MARIE | FV Ruf Raider |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comm | ents |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| | 1. 626. 83.57 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 13492 ESSENCECL JEN | FL 32258 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| travis John lemley 10 | amay I cam |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | 3 |
| | |
| | sckerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Am | endment 18 — Amendment 10 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| NORM LAROCHELLE | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | |
| 5572 HECKICHER DR. | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| INSHORESLAME COMCAST. NET | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack | erel Spiny Lobotor | | |
| | · Oping Educated | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Amend | dment 18 Amendment 10 | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | | | |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | |
| Jessica Mc Cawley | | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | | | |
| 2590 Executive Center Cir Snite 201, Talla | hasa 72 32301 | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| ressica Mccawley @ Myfnc. com | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| FWC | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: Mack STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack Amen IF AVAILABLE) | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 | | | |

| 2 4 | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| \$ 2 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) JACK F. Ogin | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, | & ZIP CODE) | | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Ogin 6 @ Bell south.net | | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | | | |

| *** | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



| Agenda Item Comm | nents |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Cirandro Rosales | 904 237-1705 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 3520 Uphill Terruce Jacksonville, FL 3222 | 25 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| Trosales Councinfla com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| | lackerel Spiny Lobster mendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | mendment 18 —— Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) AMGELICA Stown | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) (904) 982-7563 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP COLL 13492 ESSENCE Ct. Tax FL 32250 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY JE AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |

| · · | | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ; ; | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ± • | | |
| | | |
| (- | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) John Scoates | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 904-233-3368 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE 8039 HILSDALE RD. | 1 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Johnny 177 & Comc | ast.net | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster mendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 386-689-515 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIPC | esonville, FC 32225 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) OM NISPERY | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | nuille, 121 32226 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) home remedies 1440 a comcast one | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| M/A | | |
| STATEMENT! | Spiny Lobster mendment 18 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | | |
| YOUR NAME (DI EASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) TOY 374-4002 | | |
| | acksonville, FC32225 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Westrepog e gmail. com | | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | | |
| STATEMENT! | ackerel Spiny Lobster mendment 18 Amendment 10 | | |

| | , | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Jacksonville, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 14, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & | ZIP CODE) | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY JE AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Gary Nichols II | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 146 Venet. AN DR. TSLAMORAL | DA F1. 33036 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| NSCKBETH OROL. COM | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | , , , |
| Nicholo Sentood Supplieres | Mmython OFF |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY | Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| IF AVAILABLE) | |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Bruck busham | 305-743-6341 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | / | |
| 227 W SEAVION (1) MARAthany F/ 33050 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IE ADDLICADIE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| Florida Koys National Marine Sanctury | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: Mackerel Spiny Lobster | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) An | nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Jeff Cramer | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 34 Seavier Auc | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Marchan, P. 33050 | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack Amen IF AVAILABLE) | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 |

Hart HERNDON 12 nor ago No Coordinator of Majo bajas for Cral Protection

in the second se



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Chris Bergh | 305 745 8402 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| PC Bex 420237 Sunnesland | key PL 33092 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE), Chergue fue ova | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| The Native Conservancy | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | lackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | mendment 18 —— Amendment 10 |
| II /II/IIII/IIII/ | |



| One arve and Ma | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Agenda Item Comments | | |
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Duck Key, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 19, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Elizabeth Rieto TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) (1) OF CONTROL TO 33050 | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| SIAIEMENT | Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Daniel Padron | 305 797 6882 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP COL | DÉ) |
| 3615 Sunrise Dr. Key West, Fl | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| FKCFA | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Duck Key, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) GEORGE NILES | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 305 745 1512 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CO P. O. BOY 420230 Summer land Ke | V F1 33042 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) NI 1/es 98 @ AOL, COM | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| KARI Lessard | 305-743-5996 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) |)& <i>0</i> |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 10 |



| Agenda item Comments | |
|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Duck Key, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) COWIN PRIETO | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO. CITY, STATE, & ZIP COD | e) |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) 330 |)S) |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) ASherman | |
| | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| GEORDIE BOY GEIGER (TON | of Mascil |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| GEIGGE KEY MARINA | 1 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) TO THE LOCATION | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | _ |
| C.C.A. E.D.F. D.C. PEW, | W.W.F |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | nendment 18 — Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 305 970 - 3327 |
| | 33183 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack | Opiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Amen | Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Eddie Cordova | (786) 486-5632 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 540 65th Street Ocean Marathon, Fl | 2 33050 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| Ecordova 5777 @ hotmail. Com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| O.F.F and FKCFA | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Amendment to |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Edunado Condoun | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CO | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) E CORLOUASIE & HOTMAIL + COM. | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Dusan Codking | 954 4394546 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO), CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 4201 N. O Cean Dr. # 305 | Holly wood, FZ 33019 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) Scocking & miamilevald.com | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| Niamy Herald | | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY | ackerel Spiny Lobster nendment 18 Amendment 10 | |
| IF AVAILABLE) | | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Michile Gretavsson 813-\$470-8009 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| Sweden | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| southern gusmicques Student quise | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| Student Rusearch | 1 |
| | kerel Spiny Lobster ndment 18 Amendment 10 |
| IF AVAILABLE) | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP.CODE) | FC |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack AMEN IF AVAILABLE) | erel Spiny Lobster dment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) SILL KELLY | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, | , & ZIP CODE) - 2 33070 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) FKCFA 1 C HOTMAIL. COM | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|--|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Duck Key, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 19, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Jony Montesilios | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 305 896-1750 | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 7) 63 0/5 Hary Art 409 Machon 33050 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| | ckerel Spiny Lobster andment 18 Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Duck Key, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Tow Mathews | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &. 2796 Oversen Hun Suite 119 | ZIP CODE) Marathon FL |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING | |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| Brandon Mullar (305) 395-4718 | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | | |
| 308 croton St Duck Key FL 3309 | 50 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) brandonnellar @ gmail. com | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| Charter Fisherman | | |
| | ackerel Spiny Lobster | |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | nendment 18 — Amendment 10 | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Duck Key, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 19, 2011 |
| YOURNAME (PLEASE PRINT) AUNK, CHRISTOPHEN | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 786-397-8241 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIPC | 000 (UN) 33050 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Mackerel Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| Conrado Buíz | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 253 Cuba Rd Towernier FL | 33070 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| Islamorada First (out) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: MacI | kerel Spiny Lobster adment 18 Amendment 10 |
| IF AVAILABLE) | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 30(-77) -2336 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 2796 0/5 Hwy Suite119 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| BOHN WARREN + LindA TAIL | y 352-278-9354 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| 18417 NW Z8Pl. NewBerry FlA | 32669 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| EMAilBOAN@ YAhoo, com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO TYES: | |
| STATEMENT? NO TES: | ackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY | nendment 18 Amendment 10 |
| IF AVAILABLE) | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|---|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) Mris B. Walter | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) 305-942-7039 |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, | & ZIP CODE) |
| P.O. 130 X 2657 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| tunapei A msn. com | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A NO YES: | Mackerel Spiny Lobster |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | Amendment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| SUSAN SCHAF | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| POBOX 522745, MARATHON Shor | 45 H 3305 2 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| FWC | |
| STATEMENT: | Spiny Lobster Amendment 18 |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | |



| Agenda Item Comments | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) | DATE OF MEETING |
| Duck Key, FL | April 19, 2011 |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) |
| MICHAGI SPACHOUSIU | • |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) | |
| DO KOX 150719 MARRHOW FL 33050 | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE) | |
| | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A \ | |
| STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack | 1 // == === |
| (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) Amen | dment 18 Amendment 10 |



| Agenda Item Comments | | |
|--|---|--|
| LOCATION OF MEETING (CITY & STATE) Duck Key, FL | DATE OF MEETING April 19, 2011 | |
| YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) JACK SMITH | TELEPHONE NUMBER (& AREA CODE) | |
| MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP (GODE) PO 1567 42 Tawwiy fa | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS (IF APPLICABLE) J & BUSS Pro, CM | | |
| BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU RÉPRÉSENT (IF APPLICABLE) | | |
| DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? NO YES: Mack (PLEASE ATTACH A WRITTEN COPY IF AVAILABLE) | serel Spiny Lobster adment 18 Amendment 10 | |