SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

COMPREHENSIVE ALLOCATION AMENDMENT PUBLIC SCOPING

Cape Canaveral, Florida

February 5, 2008

Summary Minutes

Mr. Byrd: My name is Alan Byrd and I am the President of the Orlando Chapter of the Coastal Conservation Association. I'm on the state board of the Florida Chapter of the Coastal Conservation Association, but I am talking on my own opinions and beliefs. I've been a recreational angler in Florida as long as I can remember, starting out catching blue gill and moving over to Sebastian Inlet and catching snook and now moving offshore and inshore and trying to enjoy it and pass it along to my family.

The concern that I have with the allocation resources is that constantly I feel as if the recreational anglers are being shut out, based on old data and not based on data that's going to preserve the resource for my children and my grandchildren. When I look at my life and what I try and do, I try and leave this world a better place for my grandchildren and I think that the councils need to look at the future of fishing and not what has happened in the past.

Where are we going based on science and where are we going based on making sure that the economy that we have here in Florida is sustained? I know I spend a significant amount of dollars on recreational angling each year. The reason I spend them is while it's tough to catch one grouper, or tough to catch a legal-sized snapper, but I understand that the opportunity might be there for me to do that.

By taking away and limiting the number that I'm going to be able to catch and eliminating possibly even some species to my take, it's going to be very difficult for me to continue spending money on that and I'm not alone in this state.

There are thousands, hundreds of thousands, of recreational anglers just like me who spend tens of thousands of dollars each year supporting the economy in Florida and supporting many, many people who work in this industry and who sell in the shops and who work in manufacturing the lures and manufacturing the gear that we do.

I want to make sure that when the council goes through the allocation process that they look and try and realize the social and economic impact. Recreational angling is a treasure that Florida has. We have a better recreational resource than any other state in the country. It draws people here. The locals here understand that and I want to make sure that we look at maintaining the recreational anglers as the future of our fishery and with that, I thank you.

Mr. Rhyne: My name is Andrew Rhyne. I'm a biologist and I work for the University of Florida. I'm here on my behalf. I'm also a recreational fisherman. I've been fishing my entire life recreationally. I'm really concerned about a few things. I'm going to talk on -- I'll kind of go down the list here of what you've got.

One is the Comprehensive Allocation Amendment that you all are considering and my biggest concern about this consideration are twofold. One is that you lack any real numbers of what recreational fishing has ever been. Your staff admits that. They conceded they have no idea of what recreational catches are.

I'm concerned that there's no way to develop a viable number with the data that you have and I wasn't aware that NOAA has been mandated to reassess that and it needs to be done. They actually need to go out and physically count the effort and the catch of recreational fishermen. There's really no other way to do it. It's ludicrous to rely on phone surveys and volunteer efforts at the dock and the effort that's been made in the past is very troubling.

Mr. Geiger: In the interest of accuracy, the dock surveys are not conducted by volunteers. They're actually contract --

Mr. Rhyne: No, I mean the fishermen voluntarily give you that information. I personally know several of those surveyors and they try to do the best job they can, but if I'm a fisherman and don't want to give you access to my catch, then I'm not going to give it to you. I just want to make sure that the council is aware that most recreational fishermen are not that good at what they do.

90 percent of them probably aren't catching that many fish and the 10 percent that are are really talented and so by incorporating the way you're using your data in the models and then putting a percentage of catch or even as bad as -- It's really kind of awful to see that someone is putting a pounds allocation in the black sea bass for recreational fishermen. You have no idea when recreational fishermen reach that allocation. You don't have a clue and I'm not sure how you can get that information.

I grew up fishing black sea bass my entire life in North Carolina and the reason that fishery has declined is because the management council and NOAA and National Marine Fisheries is not a proactive group when it comes to management.

Charterboats in the wintertime commonly trap sea bass and that's what has decimated sea bass populations. Recreational fishermen don't mind having their bag limits and their size limits increased generally, when it's reasonable, but to put a number at 560,000 pounds until May, when you have no ability to determine what that number is, is kind of suspect. I question the council's logic or National Marine Fisheries' logic in developing a number like that, when they have no way of determining what that number is.

Also, I'm very concerned that when you say a fair and equitable allocation that fair and equitable should go into what the fishing method does to the environment and allowing longlining and

traps to be used is not good for the long-term condition of the environment and it's not good for the fishery.

I wonder if the council knows how many fisheries that are actively properly managed that are not overfished are fished with trawl nets, traps, or longlines, versus the number of fisheries that are considered healthy, such as king mackerel, and those are fished with hook and line. I think you'll see a stark difference there.

I think the council would agree that the reason the king mackerel fishery is healthy is because they're not netting king mackerel anymore. When I was young, I remember you could see the effect of that net, when they stopped netting, within a few years.

You could actually see that and for the council to continue to allow -- I'm aware that it's not the council's opinion that longlining should have been reintroduced for swordfish, but for longline to be reintroduced in this area for swordfish or any other method is just really detrimental to both commercial fishermen and the fish stocks to begin with. There's no way to manage the stock when you're fishing with that method.

Mr. Byrd: My name is Bill Byrd and I am currently Vice Chairman of the Florida Chapter of the Coastal Conservation Association, although the viewpoints I'm expressing here tonight are my own and not an official CCA or CCA Florida positions. We appreciate the opportunity the council has afforded us to provide input on your allocation process.

The first thing I would like to do is to urge you to minimize the use of catch history in establishing your allocation program. Catch history is a method that looks backward, rather than looking forward, which I think the council should be doing. The council should be setting goals at what the fishery should be down the road and then allocating the allowable catch fairly and equitably to try to achieve those goals.

Catch history also fails to account for other factors such as increased effort in the recreational fishery due to population increases that have been occurring over time along the coast, changes in fisheries habitat, and also the effect of regulations that were in place that may have had a disparate impact on the fisheries.

As an example of that, last week, the Gulf Council proposed a three fish bag limit on grouper with a limit of one gag grouper and my opinion of that is that in the areas where there are few red grouper that recreational fishermen who otherwise might be fishing for grouper will not even bother going fishing when they have a one fish bag limit for gag grouper.

The result of that will be a reduction in effort, which will result in a reduction in take, and because of that the fishery will rebound more quickly, but if catch history is used after that, it will reveal that the recreational fishery's take was reduced, but the effect of that was due to the --Basically, they were regulated out of the fishery and so it would be unfair to penalize the recreational fishermen for having caused the rebound of the fishery faster than expected.

The economic aspects of allocation are very difficult to grasp, to me, except for the economic

impact, which is usually dismissed by economists on the basis that if people weren't fishing, they would go golfing or play tennis or go bowling or do something else and that answer does not account for the thousands of people who are employed in the fishing industry, versus the terribly lower number of people who are employed in the commercial industry.

Economists also like to address the issue of marginal value to the commercial versus the recreational side of the fishery or where the value of one fish to either the commercials or the recreational is equal to the cost to the other side. I do not believe that that's a valid position, because on the recreational side, it's not a one fish situation. It's a one fish bag limit or an increase by one fish and a bag limit and that translates into many fish, as opposed to just one fish on one side or the other.

Also, there was recently an economic study presented to the Gulf Council in an attempt to assess the marginal value of the recreational fishery of grouper. The study used charters as a proxy for the recreational fishery and that, to me, is an absurd basis to set the value of the recreational fishery, marginal or otherwise, and you don't need to be an economist to see that an invalid basis, like using charter fisheries for the value of the recreational fishery, leads to an even more absurd conclusion.

Finally, I think that it may be impossible to set the marginal value of the recreational fishery. In any event, the focus should be more on the socioeconomic value rather than just some archaic economic value.

Further, the Magnuson-Stevens Act requires that the allocations be developed on a basis that is fair and equitable. Based just on the numbers of participants in the respective fisheries, the recreational anglers should be getting a much higher allocation than they get in most fisheries. In many cases, there are thousands, or hundreds of thousands, of recreational anglers, but only, in some cases, tens of commercial fishermen who get a majority of the allocation.

The red grouper in the Gulf of Mexico is another good example, where at this point 81 percent of the catch is allocated to the commercial side and that is taken by a number in the tens and those tens, or about thirty longliners, I understand, take more than all of the recreational combined. That certainly is not fair and equitable.

Beyond that, we're talking about resources that are owned by the public and there's a counterargument that the public needs the commercial fisheries so that they can have saltwater fish to eat and to me, that argument carries no weight. People in New York, Chicago, and Los Angeles are no more entitled to a dinner of grouper than they are to a dinner of Florida largemouth bass or Florida whitetail deer or a hat festooned with the feathers of a snowy egret.

Going back to the allocation issue, in conclusion, I urge this council, and all the other councils, to move forward with your allocation processes, looking forward to accord minimal weight to catch history and establishing your allocations and to set them in a manner that is in fact fair and equitable. Thank you.

Mr. Heil: My name is David Heil and I'm an officer and director of the Central Florida Offshore

Anglers. I'm here to speak on behalf of the club. Our club is a recreational fishing club based out of Orlando, Florida, but we have members throughout central Florida. We have approximately 150 members, encompassing approximately 900 total members. We extensively fish out of the east coast area.

We have a few things. We encourage the SAFMC to ban all longline fishing in central Florida. Mr. Barber, who was just here before us, is also a member of the club and longline fishing is a problem. There's no enforcement going on in east central Florida. They're continually coming into areas where they're not allowed to be coming into and there's absolutely no enforcement. Since they cannot be enforced, the only option is to ban it. We also encourage our members to use --

Mr. Geiger: Before you go on about that, because this is an issue that's of really great interest to me -- When you say it's happening, that means you've seen it?

Mr. Heil: I've seen it.

Mr. Geiger: Have you taken pictures of it?

Mr. Heil: I have not taken pictures of it. Mr. Barber, who just left, has taken pictures of it.

Mr. Geiger: Has he provided that data to anybody?

Mr. Heil: Yes, he has. He has attempted to.

Unidentified: They're saying they don't have the resources to do anything about it. The other problem is if you take a picture of somebody on the ocean, they have no way to prove that picture is where you say it is.

Mr. Geiger: I understand that. I understand that, but the fact that -- It's a little more tangible to have --

Mr. Heil: May I let Mr. Barber make a quick statement in regards to that?

Mr. Barber: I took pictures of a boat in the Oculina Satellite Area pulling in a longline. I took pictures of the GPS and I took pictures of the GPS with the boat. I probably had thirty pictures and I sent them to the guys over here in Titusville that are responsible for the -- I did call the Coast Guard and they aren't coming out for something like that. We're in a homeland security war and so they don't do that.

They said that unless the officers were onboard and two officers saw it that they could not prosecute or do anything about it and my point is -- I'll send this in an email and I'll send you all the information regarding this incident. You guys, yes, you can't do anything from a criminal prosecution and okay, but somewhere these guys have got a permit and somewhere these guys need to sell fish and that's where you can do something about that.

I pulled up longline gear on Saturday in 160 feet of water. I called the guys over there and they said what they tell us is they're shark fishing and they're allowed to do that. There ain't no sharks in 160 feet of water out there right now.

Mr. Geiger: That's enough of that. Let's go on.

Mr. Heil: Our club encourages the use, and schools our club members on the use, of venting tools and dehookers, to reduce mortality, to all extent possible. The position of the Central Florida Offshore Anglers is that we encourage the commission to adopt Alternative 3 as set forth in Table 20, which is the 50/50 allocation of the resources. We feel that's the only fair and equitable alternative and we feel that is also within the law and the spirit of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, is to equitably distribute these matters.

Mr. Geiger: You're saying 50/50 on every species in the snapper grouper complex?

Mr. Heil: Yes. The value of the fish landed, in regards to a recreational landing as opposed to commercial, is greatly outnumbered. The value of that fish from a recreational standpoint is many multiple times, in regards to the economic benefit to the community and the area that this council covers.

Therefore, the greatest value and the greatest way to bring the value for these fish is by an equitable distribution. We also oppose the inclusion of headboats and charter vessels within the recreational limits. These are commercial ventures. They are not recreational ventures.

The way these are handled are totally different and there's no way that you can logically group those in with the recreational fishermen. We just feel that if there must be anything in regard to that, that all the sectors must be equally allowed to use the resources.

In regards to the proposed changes to the Snapper Grouper Amendment 17, we oppose any and all changes to the snowy grouper. At this point in time, the grouper is basically well into the 90th percentile given to the commercial fisheries. That is not something that we feel is proper. That's not equal and that's not fair to the recreational fishermen. It's giving the resource and basically turning the whole resource over the commercial fishermen.

The limits that are imposed on us are not going to allow us to even use the fishery. You cannot go out there in 600 feet of water and fish for golden tilefish either, because it's just not -- You go out there and you've got two or three guys and you go out there and you pull in four fish, it's not economical, not when you're buying gas over here at the port at \$3.50 or \$3.60 a gallon.

You basically just absolutely cut off the recreational fishermen out of the golden tile and the snowy grouper. The limits need to be eased on them. If you're going to build that stock back up, the stock needs to be built up where the catches are being done and that's in the commercial side. Allow the recreational fishermen to get back into these fisheries, because right now we're not in them. You can't go out there and get a tilefish, because it's just too costly, the cost that is.

In regards to the sea bass, we oppose the use of any pots for fishing for any type of fish. They're

not discriminatory and it's basically a situation where you also lose the pots. There's a lot of other problems with the ghost pots and all that and also killing additional fish after that.

As far as the speckled hind, the CFOA opposes any further restrictions on these for recreational fishing. The proposed changes only make the allotment more towards the commercial side, as opposed to what they are. A lot of these regulations and what are in the scoping documents really are throwing most of the additional regulations on the recreational fishermen.

They're not fair and they're not equal. These things are pounding us for the benefit of the commercial fishermen and that is not what the Magnuson-Stevens Act is about. It's about being fair and equal and this is not that.

In regards to data collection, in speaking to some of the people here, there's really not anything in regards to recreational fishermen. They're not getting any data that's of any quality at all. It's all anecdotal. You call some guys on the phone once in a while and anything at the docks, data collection at the docks, is non-existent, realistically.

Although we were speaking with -- I spoke with John Carmichael in regards to maybe setting up a program, or a pilot program, in regards to assisting the council in regards to getting additional data from the recreational fishermen, with a cooperative effort of the Deep Blue Sea Fishing Forum of the Central Florida Offshore Anglers, and possibly enlisting the help of the Florida Sportfishing Association, which is our sister club here in the Cape Canaveral area.

In regards to the South Atlantic king mackerel, our position is that we would encourage the adoption of the state-by-state system in allocation of the fish, as opposed to the regional. That's all I have and thank you very much.

Mr. DeBrango: Gregory DeBrango, speaking on the allocations. This is real tough one. I just started delving into this. You've got so many different informations here that it's hard to kind of really get into all this. I've actually been reading quite extensively on it. The thing to do is what are we going to do for both industries here that's fair?

I was a commercial fisherman and I was never a recreational fisherman and I'm not a recreational fisherman now. Even when I take my family out there and fish, I've still got the commercial fishing industry in me.

Let's start off with the deepwater species. The golden tilefish, when we were actually at this exact hotel here when we did 13A, and we went on the golden tilefish and when Dan, I believe it was from Miami, when they shot the quota down from 700,000 or one-million and it went down to 295,000 pounds gutted weight.

There was no need for that. That was a huge reduction into the quota and the tilefish -- There's no many tile fishermen. We have boats that go out there and they're making this quota up and so now these boats are starving and we're putting pressure on other industries. Allocations, as far as that goes, there should not even be 3 percent, as it states in the one table, as far as the tilefish go.

The deepwater fishery, you've got 100 percent mortality on a release. I guess it's the MFMT, the maximum fishing mortality threshold. That's an important number into these releases, because that affects the formulas for overfishing and the biostocks, correct? Okay. If we look at that, I think maybe we should look at taking away the deepwater species out of the recreational industry, for at least a couple of years, until we start seeing the stocks start to increase.

Let me use an example here. We've got John Doe going out fishing with his three buddies for the weekend. He's going out and he wants to go catch his snowy grouper. He goes out there and he's got three buddies on there, him and three buddies, and they're in a boat and they're allowed one snowy per boat or one tilefish per boat or one per grouper aggregate, right? One per person.

We've got four guys on there and they're running three hooks on their line or whatever and so we go out there and everybody drops down and everybody gets their one per person, but they've also got two others or one other and so we've got a total of like five other fish on top of that.

Now what we've done is we've got our limit, but we've also killed five fish, because you're not gong to pop those fish in 600-foot of water and send them back. In our rebuilding stages here, which is pretty harsh on the snowy industry -- We pretty much crippled the North Carolina fishermen and the other fishermen down here, especially with the trip limits and the -- What is it going to be, 102,000 now, I believe we're going to adopt.

Tilefish, the same thing. We all drop down and we get it and every fish we just released is going to die. What we've done is we've all gone out there and we've had a blast and we caught our one fish and we've done our deep drop, but we also killed five or six more fish on each one of them.

I think we should look at for the deepwater industry alone just taking away that 3 percent and the 5 percent, which I think we did vote on the 95 and 5 in the meetings back in Myrtle Beach. I think we should take that away for a couple of years and then revisit it after a couple of years, after those new stock assessments, and see what's happening there, because that's the only way we're going to get a mortality in that.

As far as the other one goes, you've got -- I've got my tables here. As far as that goes, I'm more towards Alternative 1, I guess, which is the preferred landings, which is the preferred allotment. It seems to be fairer. The thing you've got is you've got the black sea bass, which, again, we're cutting them pretty hard in North Carolina. Again, the northern fishermen seem to be taking the biggest shock.

We need to kind of look at this and be fair, but I think as far as all the other one goes, Alternative 1 seems to be relatively decently fair in keeping the way things are going, but like I said, the deepwater species portion of that I think should be taken out of that and they should get a zero percent for a couple of years, until they can revisit the whole thing, or a new stock assessment.

Mr. Harrison: My name is Greg Harrison and I'm a private citizen and I would like to discuss the allocation amendment. I support the CCA recommendations on the allocation amendment.

The CCA is a tremendous asset and I recommend that we use them.

Sound science should be used in a forward-looking way to achieve these desired results and I recommend that we treat our fisheries as the valuable resource and treasured publicly-owned natural resource that they are. They should not be commercially exploited. Monies from recreational fishing and licensing stamps go to improve these resources and why should commercial interests receive more than their share?

More than a hundred years ago, commercial exploration and market hunting for deer, ducks, and other publicly-owned wildlife ended. Harvest was allowed by the general public under strict limits and seasons. Marine fisheries are also a publicly-owned natural resource and should they not be treated the same way?

I think the recreational landings in grouper, amberjack, and other species have been reduced and manipulated in inequitable regulations that have more impact on recreational than commercial take. I think that we really need to get a study for socioeconomic data, to do some detailed modeling, because that is certainly lacking when we're trying to do this allocation amendment. Thank you.

Mr. Riedel: I'm Jack Riedel with the Central Florida Offshore Anglers Fishing Club in Orlando. We fish the coast over here, from Ponce Inlet to Sebastian Inlet, and points south and west. We would like to express our concern that as a recreational angler -- We speak for all recreational anglers in this instance.

We want to ensure that our rights to the fisheries off our shores be equitably considered, along with the rights of the other entities vying for the same resources. We have noticed that in the South Atlantic Council's comprehensive allocation amendment that it has listed several alternative methods which could be used to determine if a fishery is healthy and sustainable.

Two methods, one and two, deal with landings and catch data. A third is the council's judgment, based on fairness and equity, and a fourth, detailed economic and social analyses, was dismissed as being complex and costly and none were currently being conducted that would provide such analyses anytime in the near future.

Such a statement excludes the consideration of a great number of industries which support the recreational fishermen. We see no consideration of the boating industry, with all its many manifestations, or the fishing tackle industry, the providers of live and natural baits, and the list goes on.

We want to speak for all those who support a multi-billion-dollar industry named recreational fishing. We would like to be assured that when the total allowable catch numbers are crunched that the recreational anglers, and the industries that support them, get a fair and equitable allocation of the available catch totals. Thank you.

Mr. Busse: I'm Jim Busse and as far as Alternative 3, that sounds like the most feasible of the three that I looked at, because if you gave 50 percent to the commercial and 50 percent to the

recreational, even if you did cut your quota down, the commercial would end up getting close to 3.5 or 3.7 million pounds, which basically would be status quo, even if we do have a reduction in our TAC. I support Alternative 3, if that's my choice between the three alternatives. I think that ought to about do it.

Mr. Conley: My name is John Conley and this is on the subject of the allocations. I'm in favor of Alternative Number 1, for the 50/50 split that they have, based upon past landings that they have.

Ms. Thompson: My name is Laurilee Thompson and I want to address the allocations for commercial and recreational sectors and I'm here representing the Seafood Restaurant Alliance, which is a group of independent restaurateurs who proudly serve domestic wild-caught seafood. The success of our businesses is dependent on our ability to exist as a reliable source of quality product.

A wide variety of superior seafood from the ocean is what our reputations were built upon and that is what our customers demand. We are the backbone of one of Florida's most popular tourism activities, relishing fresh Florida seafood. Although many Alliance members also serve imported seafood products that are of high quality and come under state and federal inspection programs, the lure of dining on domestic wild-caught seafood is what brings people through our doors.

It is a grave concern that increasingly we must resort to using imported seafood when domestic quotas are filled or domestic fisheries are closed. It is the desire and the lawful right for seafood restaurant businesses to be included as part of the equation if fish species are further allocated between user groups. This is not a question of commercial fishermen versus recreational fishermen as much as it is a case for all users having access to a portion of fish that are commonly known by residents of the United States.

Seafood consumers have not been given enough importance in this process. Restaurants can only purchase seafood from licensed and inspected fish processors. If grouper, for instance, is allocated solely to anglers, what happens to my customers? Why shouldn't they have access to their fair portion of grouper?

Denying consumers domestically-harvested seafood is not the intention of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. Providing seafood to consumers from a sustainable fishery, as stated in the law, is paramount. That was one of the main reasons Congress passed the law in the first place. The other reason was to move foreign vessels out of U.S. waters.

The head of NOAA knows that one of the provisions of the Magnuson Act is to protect and enhance the consumer's share of federal fisheries resources. Restaurant owners certainly hope that the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council will not adopt any regulations to further reduce seafood harvesting that would prevent consumers from enjoying fresh Florida seafood.

My family owns the Dixie Crossroads Seafood Restaurant in Titusville, Florida, and we've been serving wild ocean seafood for twenty-five years now and we also own the Wild Ocean Seafood

Market at Port Canaveral and hope that we can continue to serve wild ocean seafood. Thank you.

Mr. Shields: My name is Richard Shields and I'm speaking to the Amendment 16, the snapper grouper amendment. This is my biggest one and that is being a diver, gag grouper is my main target. Bringing the recreational limit from two to one and closing from January to May is going to limit me a lot.

I own a boat. I recently have purchased a boat and I own thousands of dollars worth of gear. I'm concerned that a resource that I have now that's available to me in ten years, when my child is old enough to dive with me, won't have a chance to, because of the restrictions.

I keep pretty accurate records. Like I said, my name is Richard Shields and you have my information and I keep records about what's been taken on my boat and when, each day. Feel free to call me and I will gladly go over those with you, give you everything but the numbers I drop the people on. I'm afraid that when we limit the catch that all it's going to do is promote poaching and then in turn, it's going to require more enforcement.

Mr. Geiger: Richard, do you have a snapper grouper permit?

Mr. Shields: No, sir. I'm just a recreational guy.

Mr. Geiger: You're not a charter fisherman or anything? You said when you drop people on spots.

Mr. Shields: I'm a diver and we drop people on specific spots.

Mr. Geiger: You're strictly recreational?

Mr. Shields: Strictly recreational, yes. Keeping the kingfish regulations the same seems the correct thing for me. I don't want to get into too many things that I don't know anything about, but thank you for your time.

Mr. Hudson: I'm Rusty Hudson, Directed Shark Fisheries, Inc. I've been, as you know, George, representing the king mackerel hook and line guys here on the east coast of Florida since last year and we have a scientist that's prepared to be at the workshop effective Monday through next Friday. The up side of that is that when we get to dealing with the data, we'll have a better idea about these ideas for allocation, scenarios for the future.

Mr. Geiger: Let me ask you a question about your scientist who is participating. Is he on the panel?

Mr. Hudson: Yes, sir. Dr. Hester is a biologist.

Mr. Geiger: He's been appointed by SEDAR to the panel?

Mr. Hudson: Yes, sir. As a result of that, he's already been working with Gregg, Julie Neer, John Carmichael, et cetera, and we have already had him at previous SEDAR, experience with the large coastal assessment.

With the situation with the allocation amendment, that's a scoping phase right now and we're very interested in that, because it gives us an idea of what's being used and what's not being used. By the time we get through the data workshop next week, we should be able to submit a written comment, as opposed to me sitting here orally today.

The same deal with the quota. Unfortunately, we won't know what the quota assessments would be for king mackerel until probably June and so possibly by the time you get to a different stage later on, we can have a better input on the quota for the king mackerel, but the bottom line is we're encouraged that we have participation at the workshop.

Ben Hartig and I are going to be working very closely together in the entire process and hopefully after we get these answers next week, just on the data, we can take that back to the constituency and allow them to become better educated, so that they can give you some better input into the future.

Right now, a lot of them believe that their catch rates are phenomenal. That means that they're looking at a lot of animals that, like Ben Hartig says, are good age classes that have been showing themselves the last few years. I know my king mackerel fishing experience goes back into the early 1980s, when it was dominated by a lot of snakes and stuff like that. To me, when I watch what's unloaded, it's a lot better looking animal overall.

Likewise, my family has been fishing on king mackerel since the 1930s and some of that is, of course, the people that would switch-hit, charterboat or headboat and whatever and be able to land and commercially sell.

I do believe that there is a need to monitor that recreational component much better and how that's going to be handled to deal with the Magnuson requirements on trying to make MRFSS better and everything else is a big deal to me, because I see the same problem. It exists in the shark and it exists probably in numerous other fisheries, when it comes to the recreational component and trying to measure what those millions of people are doing, as opposed to a handful of people that are feeding the millions of people.

I'm trying to just see that -- Having been here today, I felt encouraged about it. I do think that with this new format, with the breakout groups, it would be nicer if they had like one in one room and one in another room.

Mr. Geiger: I've already addressed that.

Mr. Hudson: Anyway, thank you very much, George.

Mr. Geiger: Let me tell you that we had a lot of king mackerel people in here who were concerned about this mystery seven-million-pound quota, which I wish had never been put in

any document. Everybody is railing already against the data and the viability of the data that's going to be used.

The point I tried to make to people is that they're all talking about how great the fishery is and how it's recovered and how they're walking on fish and the point is that the same data that we used to recover this fishery is the same data that we're trying to use to manage this fishery. The data that was used to bring this fishery back is the same data that we're using to move forward to manage it.

Mr. Hudson: Agreed and so that's the main thing. When I come out of the data workshop next week, I'll be updating their minds as to what we've learned.

Mr. Geiger: I thank you for getting a scientist to participate in that process, to give confidence and credence in the process, I hope.

Mr. English: I would like to speak about the allocation of kingfish. I'm a little concerned with that. My name is Steve English. I'm a little concerned with that. I've not been involved with -- This was a great meeting, by the way. Being able to sit down and listen and ask questions of these people and try and understand their thoughts and the processes is something the fishermen needed to do and this was a great forum and I liked this.

Some of my concerns I came up with and then after asking another person, I come away with a different view than I started in here with. One of them is on the allocation and where you're going to set the original cap of the maximum that we can go over and that's going to be set for a five or a ten-year period. We know at least a five-year period.

That is a concern to me, because you're basing on the -- You're trying to base that on the best available data and after speaking with everybody, I understand that the system so far has worked, up to a point, but when we get into recovery mode, a lot of your system is based on age class. That's a lot of how you base your total assessment there.

The way we catch the fish is on a fifty-head limit and so obviously we don't target one age class and so that age class is not accounted for as much as the other, but your science -- The fellow in there explained to me that it actually figures in, but it's over a five-year period, five to eight-year period.

In other words, we're making stock assessments basically in a five-year lag. What we're basing them on is what fish were available five years ago, somewhat with today's, but it's that much of a lag. As fishermen, we see the last two to three-year period as phenomenal and so we're going to set this top figure and then for five years, we're not going to revisit it, whereas in five years, this thing may double.

For those five years, we're not allowed to -- Even though we need more fish, we're not going to be allowed to get them. That concerns me and I hope that maybe your scientific community will take into account the fact that since there is a lag in it, maybe let's not be quite so stringent and let's go with the bottom figure.

We know that, by listening and talking to the fishermen, that there is more age class fish than what we're showing in our data from five years earlier. I'm hoping that we don't use the most strict form of it, but that we actually look at everything and don't just take numbers, but take actual fishermen's comments and things that are happening and base some of it on that too, to get up as much as we can. That way, we're at a safe level for that five years. That's one of my main points on that. That was one of the things that really concerned me.

SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

COMPREHENSIVE ALLOCATION AMENDMENT PUBLIC SCOPING

Cape Canaveral, Florida

February 5, 2008

Attendees

Council Members:

George Geiger

Staff Members:

Mike Collins

Transcribed by: Graham Transcription February 18, 2008



The Central Florida Offshore Anglers fishing club represents more than 150 families from Central Florida who fish the waters off of East Central Florida. The CFOA is committed to preserving the resources that the Atlantic has to offer for future generations. We actively support conservation and research efforts to meet this goal.

The CFOA actively opposes and encourages the SAFMC to adopt regulations to ban all longline fishing for any purpose. There is no logic for continuing this unsustainable method of fishing.

The CFOA supports the use of venting tools and dehookers to reduce mortality.

The positions of the CFOA in regard to the issues are as follows

Comprehensive Allocation Amendment

The CFOA supports and encourages the SAFMC to adopt Alternative 3 as set forth in Table 20. The 50/50 allocation is the only fair and equitable alternative. It is the only one that complies with the requirements of the MagnusonStevens Act.

The CFOA opposes the inclusion of commercial fishing operators such as Charters, guides and Headboats within the allocation of Recreational anglers. These vessels are commercial enterprises and can only be properly placed within the commercial allotment. CFOA also is opposed to the splitting of the allocation intro three sectors. The MagnusonStevens Act requires equal allocation between the recreational and commercial interests. This 3 sector allocation gives the recreational anglers 1/3 of the allotment not the required 50% of the allocation.

It is the position of CFOA that any allocation of the resource must be preceded by an assessment of the pressure that is put on the resource by both of the sectors. The CFOA encourages the SAFMC to immediately begin the studies to determine the relative landings of the two sectors.

David Heil, Club Secretary



The Central Florida Offshore Anglers fishing club represents more than 150 families from Central Florida who fish the waters off of East Central Florida. The CFOA is committed to preserving the resources that the Atlantic has to offer for future generations. We actively support conservation and research efforts to meet this goal.

The CFOA actively opposes and encourages the SAFMC to adopt regulations to ban all longline fishing for any purpose. There is no logic for continuing this unsustainable method of fishing.

The CFOA supports the use of venting tools and dehookers to reduce mortality.

The positions of the CFOA in regard to the issues are as follows

Snapper Grouper Amendment 17

Proposed changes to management Regulations:

Snowy Grouper:

CFOA opposes all of the proposed actions and changes to the current recreational regulations. Table 3 as attached to the Comprehensive Allocation Amendment clearly shows that the overwhelming majority of the landings are commercial not recreational. Any changes to the regulations must be made to the commercial sector prior to any consideration of further tightening of the recreational regulations. The proposed changes only make the allotment more unfair to the recreational anglers and in further violation of the MagnusonStevens Act.

Golden Tilefish:

CFOA opposes any easing of the regulations and the removal of the 300 lb trip limit.

The CFOA also opposes all use of longlines and would encourage the SAFMC to prohibit all use of longlines.

CFOA opposes any further restrictions of the recreational fishing. Table 4 as attached to the Comprehensive Allocation Amendment shows that over 90% of the landings are commercial. The proposed changes only make the allotment more unfair and in even in further violation of the MagnusonStevens Act.



Black Sea Bass:

The CFOA opposes the use of all fishing with pots.

Speckled Hind:

CFOA opposes any further restrictions of the recreational fishing. The proposed changes only make the allotment more unfair and in even in further violation of the MagnusonStevens Act.

Proposed changes to data collection:

CFOA approves of the changes and encourages the SAMC to implement the changes as soon as possible.

David Heil, Club Secretary



The Central Florida Offshore Anglers fishing club represents more than 150 families from Central Florida who fish the waters off of East Central Florida. The CFOA is committed to preserving the resources that the Atlantic has to offer for future generations. We actively support conservation and research efforts to meet this goal.

The CFOA actively opposes and encourages the SAFMC to adopt regulations to ban all longline fishing for any purpose. There is no logic for continuing this unsustainable method of fishing.

The CFOA supports the use of venting tools and dehookers to reduce mortality.

The positions of the CFOA in regard to the issues are as follows

Commercial Allocation of South Atlantic King Mackerel

The CFOA supports the present allocations and would encourage the adoption of the state by state system.

David Heil, Club Secretary

SEAFOOD RESTAURANT ALLIANCE

860 Singleton Avenue

Titusville, Florida 32796

February 5, 2008

Greetings Council Members:

The Seafood Restaurant Alliance is a group of independent restaurateurs who proudly serve domestic wild-caught seafood. The success of our businesses is dependent on our ability to exist as a reliable source of quality product. A wide variety of superior seafood from the ocean is what our reputations were built upon and that is what our customers demand. We are the backbone of one of Florida's most popular tourism activities -- relishing Fresh Florida Seafood.

Although many Alliance members also serve imported seafood products that are of high quality and come under state and federal inspection programs, the lure of dining on domestic wild-caught seafood is what brings people through our doors. It is a grave concern that, increasingly, we must resort to using imported seafood when domestic quotas are filled or domestic fisheries are closed.

It is the desire and the lawful right for seafood restaurant businesses to be included as part of the equation if fish species are further allocated between user groups. This is not a question of commercial fishermen versus recreational fishermen as much as it is a case of all users having access to a portion of fish that are commonly owned by residents of the United States. Seafood consumers have not been given enough importance in this process.

Restaurants can only purchase seafood from licensed and inspected fish processors. If grouper, for instance, is allocated solely to anglers, what happens to my customers? Why shouldn't they have access to their fair portion of grouper? Denying consumers domestically harvested seafood is not the intention of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (PL 94-265 as amended). Providing seafood to consumers from a sustainable fishery as stated in the law is paramount. That was one of the main reasons Congress passed the law in the first place. The other reason was to move foreign vessels out of U.S. waters.

The head of NOAA, Vice Admiral Conrad Lautenbacher, knows that one of the provisions of the Magnuson Act is to protect and enhance the consumer's share of federal fishery resources. We certainly hope the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council will not adopt any regulations to further reduce seafood harvesting that would prevent consumers from enjoying our Fresh Florida Seafood.

Thank you, Xawillo Showpson, Dixie Crossroads Seafood Restaurant

Area Director, Seafood Restaurant Alliance

SEAFOOD RESTAURANT ALLIANCE

860 Singleton Avenue

Titusville, Florida 32796

RESTAURANTS SUPORTING SEAFOOD RESTAURANT ALLIANCE

Striving for fair allocation of seafood between non-boaters and boaters

Restaurants who have signed petitions

Toucan's Fish House

Regan's Pub & Oyster Bar

Captain Anderson's

Schooners Hurricane Grille

Cranes

Goatfeathers Posey's Up Creek

Spears

Kim's Seafood

Bistro Bijoux Pompano Joe's Fish House

Busters Restaurant Busters Restaurant

H2o Hilton

Skopelos A.J's. Oyster House Back Porch Restaurant

La. Lagniappe Southern Seafood

Angelo's Inc.
Mike's Seafood
Phil's

Dave's Oyster Bar

Big City

Boatyard Blue Parrot Captain Eddie's Charlie's Fish House

Crabtrap

Crabtrap

Crabtrap
Dixie Crossroads
Joe's Stone Crabs
Keys Fisheries

Mexico Beach

Mexico Beach St. Joe Beach

Panama City

Panama City Beach Panama City Beach Seagrove Beach Seagrove Beach

Panacea Tallahassee Tallahassee Destin

Destin Pensacola Crestview

Santa Rosa Beach Pensacola Beach

Pensacola Destin Destin Destin

Tallahassee Tallahassee Tallahassee St. Marks Gulf Breeze

Fort Walton Beach Panama City Beach

Apalachicola Nokomis Crystal River

Destin

Fort Walton Beach

Perdido Key Titusville Miami Beach Marathon

SEAFOOD RESTAURANT ALLIANCE

860 Singleton Avenue

Titusville, Florida 32796

New England Fish
Riverside Café'
Rusty Anchor
Safe Harbor
Walt's
Manna's
Captain Jim's
Mangia Mangia
Sundowner
Senior Frijoles
Mile Marker 88
Ballyhoos
Café Marquesa
Lazy Days

Paradise Bar & Grill Fish House Restaurant Fish House Encore Buzzard's Roost

Coconuts

Capri Restaurant Cahill's Beachside

Lobster Pot Wine Cellar

Gator Café & Saloon Friendly Fisherman Walt's Fish Shack Dockside Davis

P.J.'s Seafood Restaurant

Le Bauchon Bistro

P.J. Seafood

Pasadena Steak House

Backfin Flue Café Billy's Stone Crab Hulls Seafood Kitchen

Anthony's

Jensen Beach
Crawfordville
Key West
Mayport
Sarasota
Tallahassee
North Miami
Key West
Key Largo
Key Largo
Islamorada
Islamaroda
Key West
Islamorada
Little Torch Key

Key Largo Key Largo Key Largo Key Largo Homestead Gulfport

Reddington Beach N. Reddington Treasure Island Madeira Beach Madeira Beach Madeira Beach Indian Rocks Beach

Bellair Blvd. St. Petersburg St. Petersburg

Gulfport Tierra Verde Ormond Beach Tallahassee

Greg Harrison

From:

"Greg Harrison" < gregharrison@cfl.rr.com>
"Greg & Pam" < gregharrison@cfl.rr.com>
Tuesday, February 05, 2008 1:21 PM

Sent: Subject:

Comprehensive Allocation Amendment

I support the CCA recommendations on THE SAFMC ALLOCATION AMENDMENT. The CCA is a tremendous asset. Use them.

Sound science should be used in a forward looking way to achieve desired results.

I recommend we treat our fisheries as the valuable and treasured publicly owned natural resources they are. They should not be commercially exploited.

Monies from recreational fishing license & stamps go to improve these resources, why should commerical interests receive more than their share?

More than a hundred years ago, commercial exploitation and market hunting for deer, ducks, and other publicly owned wildlife ended. Harvest was allowed by general public under strict limits and seasons. Marine fisheries are also publicly owned natural resources. Should they not be treated the same way?

Federal fishery laws and standards are contained in the Magnuson-Stevens Federal Fisheries Act. One of the act's standards states that allocation of harvest must be "fair and equitable" and carried out "in such a manner that no particular individual, corporation or other entity acquires excessive share of such privileges."

Recreational landings in grouper, amberjack, and other species have been reduced and manipulated by inequitable regulations that have more impact on recreational than commercial take.

The best, or perhaps worst, example of inequitable allocation is red grouper in the Gulf of Mexico. The federal process has determined that up to 81% of the red grouper harvest should be taken by commercial interests. Recreational fishers have been continuously reduced to the point where the recreational bag limit is only one fish, with the addition of another one month closure. Rules to close the entire Gulf of Mexico to all recreational take, for all groupers, for three months. It was shown that commercial longline boats take the majority of the commercial allocation of red grouper. It was also shown that just 25 commercial longline boats took more red grouper than what was allocated to all the recreational fishers in the entire Gulf of Mexico!

It is difficult to believe how such an allocation could possibly be considered fair and equitable.

Thank you

////

considering my input.

954 S Orando Ave Winter Fark, FI 32789

CFOA Position Statement: Snapper Grouper Scoping Session

The Central Florida Offshore Anglers fishing club represents more than 150 families from Central Florida. We are comprised of a broad cross section of anglers who enjoy fishing, boating and the social functions which accompany the aforementioned activities. We are also dedicated to conserving the natural resources, treasures really, which abound in the waters that surround us. We absolutely support current conservation efforts and have dedicated time and money to preserve what we consider to be our heritage and inalienable rights. First and foremost, though, is preservation of the natural resources and we support ongoing efforts to sustain our fisheries for future anglers.

That said, we would also like to express our concern that, as recreational anglers, we, and we speak for all recreational anglers in this instance, want to insure that our rights to the fisheries off our shores be equitably considered along with the rights of other entities vying for the same resources. We have noticed that in the South Atlantic Council's Comprehensive Allocation Amendment has listed several alternative methods which could be used to determine if a fishery is healthy and sustainable. Two methods (#1 & #2) deal with landing and catch data. A third is Council's judgment based on fairness and equity. A fourth, "Detailed Economic & Social Analyses," was dismissed as being complex and costly, and none were currently being conducted that would provide such analyses any time in the near future. Such a statement excludes consideration of a great number of industries which support the recreational fisherman. We see no consideration of the boating industry with all its many manifestations, or the fishing tackle industry, the providers of live and preserved natural baits and the list goes on. We want to speak for all these who support a multi-billion dollar industry named "Recreational Fishing."

We would like to be assured, when the Total Allowable Catch numbers are crunched, that the recreational anglers and the industries that support them get a fair and equitable allocation of available Catch totals.

Thank you for your efforts.



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
JACK Robinson	772-473-9371
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)
8140 134th Sebastian Pl	32958
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	/
100% commerch Ailens	^
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
1 20 100 THOM TO MAKE A STATE MENT I THE BASE ALLACH A WRITTEN COPY IT AVAILABLE)	YES NO



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)		
SUSAN IMMONS	904-829. 01-02		
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)		
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)			
SEA LOVE INC.			
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO		
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO		
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO		
King Mackerel Quota			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO		



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD Public Scoping / Cape Canaveral, FL - February 5, 2008

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
FRANK G. LIMMONS JR.	904-829	-0607
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	
304 1ST STREET ST. AUGUSTINIS	[LURIDA 32084	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
OFF THE GRID CHARTERS		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	100	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YĘS	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	100	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	LV YES	NO
King Mackerel Quota	N	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER	(& AREA CODE)
Rick Dewey	561-262	[3v8]
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE,	& ZIP CODE)	
17940 LOXALATCHE RIVER Rd	Jupiter FC 3	3458
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
	4)0	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		<u> </u>
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)		
Mason Bowen	712 589	4203	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)		
365 Orange Ave. Seb.	-19, 32958		
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)			
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO	
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ №	



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)		
MICHAEL SAPPE	561-310-5287		
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)		
P.O. Box 2341 Jupiter	F/A 33468		
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)			
Michael A. Sapoe	TNC		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO		
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO		
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO		
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO		



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
DONALD W. COHRON	1-321-729-629	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	
200 231 DEVLIN CT. SE PAU	n BAY FL.	32909
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	L NO
King Mackerel Quota		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	L NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& A	REA CODE)
DAN KANE	321-729-8	125
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	
3293 TORONTO AVE SE.	Pain Bay	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
COMMERCIAL FISHERMAN		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	<u></u>	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	_	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs	 	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	L NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
Chadlee	772-223-7757	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	
5493 SE Harber Ter Strat FL 34997		
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		[<u>-</u>
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs	YES	□ NO
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)		
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO



SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL **4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201**

NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD Public Scoping / Charleston, SC - February 20, 2008

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT) TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE) 386-663-2704 oregorn BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) **BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)** Comprehensive Allocation Amendment **YES** DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available) NO **Snapper Grouper Amendment 17** DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available) YES NO **Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs** DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available) YES NO King Mackerel Quota YES DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available) NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(&	
SARED Griggs	772-201	1-3211
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, 8 5503 Sun Set BIVD 3498	ZIP CODE)	cc Fl
3303 SUNSET DIVE 3918	E TOUPS	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
SELF		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
	4.	
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& ARE	A CODE)
CLAY STARLING BRAND	(561) 512-0274	L
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	
	33483	
	HERMAN	
CAPTAIN CLAY & SONS FISH M	IARKET	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
King Mackerel Quota		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

Public Scoping / Cape Canaveral, FL - February 5, 2008

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBE	R(& AREA CODE)	
Eric John Luzar	321-626-	- 5966	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &			
110 Magellan Ave. Sontelli	te Bch, FC	32937	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE))		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	increase a	commercial allocation	٥٨
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO ^{ka}	cep TAC sam
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17			7
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	≥ NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		(. 2 1	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO	
King Mackerel Quota			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO	

* Increase commercial allocation percentage it is the ONLY scientifically good number



NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& A	REA CODE)
RICHARC S. MCKNIGHT	561-26.	2-6409
MANUNO ADDRESS (DI EASE INCLUDE STREET OF BOY NO CITY STATE &	ZIP CODE)	
7776 99th Ct. Vero	BLACK FC	32967
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	•	
Self Employed Commercial	Fishermen	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	_	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	L NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO



YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
Michael Mc MANUS	772 519 2209
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)
1707 Porce De Leon H Preseu	16 34982
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRÉSENT (IF APPLICABLE)	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	A La
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	L YES NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
EDWard Silver	321-2285011
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF	P^{CODE} $OAIm NAIII$
2960 PALISAIDE DAVE St	32909 PALM 134X PC
BUSINESS OF ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17 DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& A	REA CODE)
Chad Englert	1 3 0	0021
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & 2		
P.a Bux 3461 Lantana Fl	33465	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs	<u></u>	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	LX YES	L NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
John Barber	407-834-4228	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	& ZIP CODE)	
1331 Boyer St Long	gwood FL	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	,	
Deep-Blue-Sea. org		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
David Heil	407 492 1981
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)
1653 Joeline Ct Winter Par	1k, Fl 32789
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	7
Central Florida Offshore	Anglers
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	,
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
DO 100 HIGH TO MAKE A STATEMENT ! (1 lease attach a written copy if available)	



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& A	AREA CODE)
BROCK ANDERSON	321 536-	-0802
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &		
4320 HORSESHOE BEND	WERRIT IS	LFL 32953
BUSINESS OR ORGANIŽATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	,	
BOTTOM DOLLAR CHARTER,	FISHING	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	✓ NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	✓ NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	₩ NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	₩ YES	□ NO



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA	CODE)
CAPT. ALBERT QUATRARD	321-724-	-6856
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF		
6140 U.S.I GRANT, FL	A. 32949	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	•	
HOOK AND LINE KING 1	MACKEREL	FISHERMAN
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
CAURILEE Thompson	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF	CODE)
1475 GARDEN Street Titusville,	Florida 32796
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	
Dixie Crossroads Seafood Res	taurant
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO



	TELEPHONE MUME	ED/8 ADEA CODE\
YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
Richard Shields	772-20	01-5703
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &		7
705 Kearney Rd. tt.	Pierce	FL 34982
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Recreational Fisher man		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	√ kato NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs	T. ABY	V612
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
King Mackerel Quota		
no volument to MANT A STATEMENTS (Please attach a written conv if available)	YES	L₩" NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

TELEPHONE NUMBER(&	
252 393	1232
ZIP CODE)	
storet NC	28584
,	
YES	NO NO
YES	NO NO
YES	L NO
YES	NO
	ZSZ 393 ZIP CODE) vtovet, NC



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CO	
Yussell Hndson	386-239-09	748
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &		TI 32/2
POBOX 11604 D.	zytona Beach	-16,
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	/ 1	
Directed Shark Fisher	ies, Inc.	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		-
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		\neg
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	_ NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD Public Scoping / Cape Canaveral, FL - February 5, 2008

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(&	AREA CODE)
11m 13 USSC	321403	3035
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	Elleenter	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17 DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER	& AREA CODE)
Steve English	772-286	,-4358
MAILING ADDRESS PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY STATE, &		
P.O. Box 814 Port SALL	rno, fe	34997
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	(lerno)	
Commercial FISHING		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	✓ YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		<u> </u>
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
King Mackerel Quota		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO



NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PURILC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& A	REA CODE)
Bill Rird		
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &		
POPOX2809 Orlando F	(39809	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
CCA Florida		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	L NO
King Mackerel Quota		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	L YES	L NO



NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(AREA CODE)
area Janason	407 951	-662
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	The soc
954 S. Otherlo HU	C: "	32707
BUSINESS OF ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
MEUgte-		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES YES	L ✓ NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	₩ NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO



NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& ARE	EA CODE)
ALAS BYRD	1571.898-5013	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	
1536 STEFAD COLE UN. APOPKA	46 37.02	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
954015P		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	∠ NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	L YES	NO NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO



YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
JACK RIEdel	352 406-5463	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	
12022 PINE COUNT LEESBYI BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	RL, FL 34788-4517	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	,	
Central Florisa Anders		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	L YES NO	
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO	



YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)	
Benny Nuosia		
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE,	& ZIP CODE)	
	GRANT	FL.
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		,
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	L YES	NO
King Mackerel Quota	YES	□□ NO
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)		110



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
Scott Osborne	772-263-3548
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)
5043 SE FRONT AVE, STUA	CI, +10x10A 34997
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	·
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES NO



NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

Public Scoping / Charleston, SC - February 20, 2008

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)
MARIL HUFFEMAN	407-67	25-4492
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, &	ZIP CODE)	2777
5387 Shorthe Sank	19, Br	2(+7)
BUŞINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Hal MATURE		
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	LX YES	NO
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		<u> </u>
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	LX YES	L NO
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO



NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(&	AREA CODE)	
Mark H Linscott	772-532	-4181	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE)			
266 Zyth Rue Ver	10 Beach	FL 32962	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)			
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO	
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO	



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 **NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405**

TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)			
772-370-0	1230		
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE)			
er Fl			
YES	NO		
YES YES	NO NO		
YES_	NO		
YES	□ NO		
	772 - 370 - 0 CODE) YES YES		



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

Public Scoping / Charleston, SC - February 20, 2008

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AR	EA CODE)	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCOUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE) 102 Landenia Ave FF RIDACE, FA.			
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)	FISH B	POAT	
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17 DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO	
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO	



4055 FABER PLACE DRIVE, SUITE 201 NORTH CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29405

PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD

Public Scoping / Charleston; SC - February 20, 2008

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)		
Decel Tezas	772-466-036	<u>53 </u>	
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIF	CODE)		
3051 Charles Way Ft. Pierce F1. 34946			
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (JF APPLICABLE)			
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment			
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17		/	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17	VES	NO	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs		ĺ	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO	
King Mackerel Quota	YES	NO	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)			



PUBLIC COMMENT ATTENDANCE RECORD Cape Care Care Control Public Scoping / Charleston, SC - February 20, 2008

YOUR NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER(& AREA CODE)		
John Conlay	NH		
MAILING ADDRESS (PLEASE INCLUDE STREET OR BOX NO., CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE)			
Rx 1123, WinderMere, FC	(4786	<u></u>	
BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT (IF APPLICABLE)			
Comprehensive Allocation Amendment	YES	NO	
DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	IZ TES		
Snapper Grouper Amendment 17 DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO	
Snapper Grouper Amendment 18 - LAPPs DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	□ NO	
King Mackerel Quota DO YOU WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT? (Please attach a written copy if available)	YES	NO NO	