SOUTH ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

DATA COLLECTION COMMITTEE

Hilton Wilmington Riverside Hotel Wilmington, NC

December 5, 2013

SUMMARY MINUTES

Dara Collection Committee:

Mel Bell, ChairmanDr. Roy CrabtreeJack CoxDr. Michelle DuvalDr. Wilson LaneyJessica McCawley

Council Members:

David CupkaBen HartigZack BowenAnna BeckwithLt. Morgan FowlerChris ConklinDoug HaymansJohn JolleyCharlie Phillips

Council Staff:

Bob Mahood Gregg Waugh
Mike Collins John Carmichael
Dr. Kari MacLauchlin Amber Von Harten
Kim Iverson Dr. Mike Errigo
Julie O'Dell Myra Brouwer
Anna Martin Dr. Brian Cheuvront

Observers/Participants:

Monica Smit-Brunello Dr. Jack McGovern
Dr. Bonnie Ponwith Dir. Bruce Buckson

Phil Steele Pres Pate

Otha Easley

Additional Observers Attached

The Data Collection Committee of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council convened in the Cape Fear Ballroom of the Hilton Wilmington Riverside Hotel, Wilmington, North Carolina, December 5, 2013, and was called to order at 4:50 o'clock p.m. by Chairman Mel Bell.

MR. BELL: I will go ahead and call to order the meeting of the Data Collection Committee. The first item would be approval of the agenda. We'll just see what we can do with it. We'll try to work through the agenda as it is. Are there any other proposed changes? We'll just see how this goes. Are there any objections? The agenda is approved.

The next would be approval of the minutes from the last meeting. Are there any modifications, additions or changes to the minutes? Seeing none; then the minutes are approved from the last meeting. The first actual item on the agenda would be the Joint Gulf and South Atlantic Council Generic Dealer Amendment. That is a status review by the National Marine Fisheries Service.

DR. McGOVERN: The Dealer Reporting Amendment was submitted for secretarial review on the 26th of September. This would implement a generic dealer permit and weekly electronic reporting. The proposed rule package is under review in the region; and we expect it to go to headquarters very soon. Do you want me to talk about the headboat amendments, too?

MR. BELL: We just jumped out of order; but while Jack is here we'll get the ones Jack is going to do.

DR. McGOVERN: Okay, the South Atlantic For-Hire Amendment; that was sent for secretarial review in April. That would require electronic headboat reporting for the South Atlantic. The proposed rule was published on September 27th. The comment period ended on October 28th. The Notice of Availability published on September 18th; and the comment period for that ended on November 18th. The final rule package is under review in the region.

Then for the Gulf Headboat Amendment; that was sent for secretarial review in July. The proposed rule for that published on October 25th; and the comment period ended on November 25th. The final rule package for that is under review in the region. Both the South Atlantic and the Gulf Headboat Amendments are under the same timeline for the final rule.

MR. BELL: Are there any questions or comments for Jack about any of that? If you would, CE-BA 3.

MS. MARTIN: This is just an update for the committee to remind you of the motions that you developed back at your March meeting. That was to request an analysis from NOAA General Counsel to identify how the South Atlantic is meeting the bycatch requirements under the Magnuson Act. You also approved a subsequent motion to postpone further consideration of CE-BA 3 until receipt of this analysis from NOAA General Counsel.

Where we stand currently; that analysis is still under development. As such, we have plans to bring CE-BA 3 back up for discussion after that analysis is scheduled; and that is for the March council meeting. Do you have anything further to add, Monica, regarding the analysis or status of the analysis?

MS. SMIT-BRUNELLO: I don't; you summed it up wonderfully.

MR. BELL: Are there any questions about that? Everybody is good. Item 7 was update on the Commercial Logbook Pilot Study. Bonnie, do you have that ready to go?

DR. PONWITH: This is going to look familiar to you. It is the overview that we provided over the last meeting talking about the steps we expect to be taking in this program. Right now we're in the initial phase of the project and that is kind of developing the framework for the work, hiring the contractors to do the outreach and equipment installation, working with vendors, testing and modifying software.

It is really the early phases of development. The estimated duration of this project when we originally began was planned for about 12 to 18 months. If we go to the next slide, I will be able to give you some updates on the parts of our progress that were impacted by the shutdown. Then at the next meeting I'll be able to give you a feel for whether we were able to make up that ground or not.

The stage we're in right now, we're developing the data standards, a very important part to be met by the vendors that we'll be working with; and that has been delayed. We're working on hiring the outreach specialist and the marine technician. That is in a negotiation phase with our contract office, so we're making good progress there.

We have been working with four vendors to identify the system requirements. That has experienced a setback. We've got some equipment that we're using to test for being able to manage that software. Then the next thing is the criteria that we're going to use for the ten vessels participating in the pilot project. There has been a little bit of a delay on that.

Again, once the feasibility of the prototype is established, the full-scale implementation of the commercial program can commence in 2016, but, of course, that is dependent upon the resources. I think that proof of concept is just a very critical step in being able to build confidence that this program is going to function the way we want it to.

Again, these last slides are going to look familiar. This is just again showing the modification to the traditional paper logbook. If you look at the normal form, the circled part on the bottom is the part that has been modified; and it is based on some extensive conversations we've been having about the ability to fill out those tickets in real time.

Again, it is requiring the detailed information on the payments if you have not yet received your fish ticket. The delays in that or leaving that blank was going to be allowed in the event that you didn't have that information at the time. Those modifications have been made, and that completes the update. Are there any questions?

MR. BELL: Are there any questions for Bonnie? These are all things that we've obviously spent a lot of time talking about at other meetings and all.

MR. BOWEN: I'm not on your committee, but will there be any sort of validation or do you feel like that is going to be needed with this paper reporting – the electronic reporting, I'm sorry. The reason I ask that is because that has been brought up with the headboats and the charterboats; and I haven't heard it mentioned commercially.

DR. PONWITH: The difference between the headboat electronic reporting and commercial electronic reporting is there is a business transaction that happens. What goes on those tickets ultimately is going to be validated a second time by what we're seeing in the dealer reports. Those will be linked. That is a really good question.

One of the big differences, of course, between commercial and recreational is the fact that there is no extremely precise business transaction that happens at the end of the trip when those fish are sold. That is why you have to have that dockside validation where you take a look at what is being reported electronically and then compare it to what the boots on the dock are seeing to see if there are calibrations that need to be made for reporting error and things like that.

MR. BELL: Are there any other questions? Seeing none; we'll move to the next item.

MR. WAUGH: This is the Joint Charterboat Reporting Amendment, Attachment 5, that was in your second briefing book. The Gulf approved a motion at their October 2012 meeting starting this to form a technical committee to coordinate and bring back a document to deal with. It says headboat data collection, but subsequent to that we've taken care of the headboats; and so it is to look at charterboats now.

June 2013 our council reiterated their direction to staff to work with the Gulf during 2014. At the September 2013 meeting, we appointed John Carmichael and Mike Errigo to that subcommittee; directed us to work with the Gulf and NMFS on this technical group. At their October meeting the Gulf appointed a staff person.

We wanted just to make sure we understand the intent of this activity, and then we're looking for guidance to send some letters. First, our understanding is that the council's intent is to remove charterboats from the MRIP Reporting System and have charterboats report catches electronically each week as is proposed and as is currently under review for headboats.

This would allow all MRIP resources to focus on private recreational catches. The for-hire sector, charterboats and headboats, would be reporting catches weekly via electronic logbooks as is proposed for commercial dealers. This will provide a weekly update to the commercial ACL and for two sectors of the recreational ACL.

It is the council's intent that this information be updated weekly on the Southeast Regional Office's Website. Just to make sure that is the intent; that is what you all want evaluated in this charterboat amendment once we get to it. The idea here is then we will provide this in a letter to these various other groups now, MRIP, HMS, the Center, the Region, ACCSP; and I talked with Bob Beal about ASMFC participating earlier in the week. He said, yes, so I have added that. The idea is that technical committee would work on how to do this, bring back that information probably sometime well into 2014. I don't anticipate any work on the actual amendment until late 2014 or into 2015.

MR. BELL: Then Step 1 is that intent; we want to just make sure that on the committee that is the intent.

MR. CUPKA: Yes, a question for Gregg. Gregg, doesn't the Gulf have lead on this particular amendment; so would they be the one sending the letter to NMFS asking for these other

representatives or will we have to take some action to request they do that? How are the mechanics of that going to work?

MR. WAUGH: I can't remember if we've talked staff-to-staff level to figure out who will be lead on this; but the intent was if you all approve us to send a letter to these groups, I will get back with Doug and make sure we coordinate; and whether it goes out over our two chairman's signatures is probably how we would do it to ask them to participate on this group.

MR. CUPKA: I was just reading what we have on the top of the overview there in that first paragraph on the last page. It says; "Progress on the Gulf Council's Generic Amendment Addressing Charter Reporting"; so it kind of looked like they had the lead, but I wasn't sure.

MR. WAUGH: I'll follow up and make sure when we come back at the next meeting that is clear.

DR. PONWITH: Just a couple of things in the way the intent of the amendment is worded that may warrant looking at. The second sentence' it says that this would allow all MRIP resources to focus on private and recreational catches. It sort of implies that money being spent on charter monitoring would be retained by the MRIP Program and somehow this effort would be funded from somewhere else. I'm not sure where that would be.

I don't know if that was the message that was intended to be put forward in that sentence, but that is kind of how it reads to me. I get a little edgy about that one. The second one is the statement that says this will provide a weekly update to the commercial ACL for two sectors of the recreational ACL. I am not sure what that means. I think I know what the intent is, but there is probably going to be a better way of putting that, because it might confuse people, I think.

MR. WAUGH: The intent of that wording is to say that we would have then the headboats and the charterboats reporting weekly just as is done for the commercial sector.

DR. CRABTREE: Well, I'm not entirely comfortable with this; because I'm not sure I'm there yet. We're going to have to have some period of time where we do both the MRIP Survey that is going on now and we do whatever kind of electronic reporting's being done by charterboats.

I would guess we are going to have to do that for several years; because we're going to have to see how close and how different the numbers are. Then there is going to have to be, if we find out that MRIP has been underestimating or overestimating or the self-reporting is underestimating and all, then there are going to have to be expansions and calibrations applied. If there have to be expansions applied, then you are not going to get every weekly numbers, because they are going to have to go back in and deal with that. I am not at this point yet.

Then my views on how successful this is going to be all depend in the details of how you do it. If it is just come home and once a week get on a computer and enter what you catch, I think we're going to have an awful lot of problems with it in terms of accurate reporting and things that are going to have to be dealt with.

I think we get ahead of ourselves some thinking this is just a panacea. I don't think it is going to work out that way. I think the pilot studies that have been done indicate it is not going to be that

easy, and it is not going to be as real time as we think. I just think it is more involved than that intent reflects.

MR. BELL: To your first point, though, there would be some period of overlap and then calibration because you are shifting methodologies.

DR. CRABTREE: There is going to have to be, because you may find out the numbers you are getting are quite different than what you've gotten in the past. One of the problems we've got right now; there have been some methodological changes done with MRIP without doing those calibration studies and it has created a lot of issues for us.

We're going to certainly have to run all of them simultaneously. I don't know how long you need to do that; but we'd have to get the statistical types to figure that out. But we're going to have to see how do the catch estimates coming from the two ways to estimate them; how do they compare with each other?

Then you're going to have to decide, well, which one is biased and which one is not in terms of the difference. Then you are going to have to go back and make adjustments to the entire time series for assessment purposes. Then you are going to have to recalibrate all of your annual catch limits to reflect the methodological shift you are going to have to do. There is an awful lot involved in it.

MR. BELL: Yes, the way I kind of took this is the ultimate intent is to shift over to this, but there is not a lot of detail in here at all as to how you are going to do that. Where we want to end up; that is what I was thinking of as the intent.

DR. CRABTREE: But are we talking an electronic reporting that is on board the vessel like over a tablet or something like that and they have to report before they hit the dock? A lot of my views of whether this is going to work or not depends on how you do it. I think if it is just come home and once a week get on your computer and by memory report what you caught; it is not going to produce very good data.

But if it is report at sea on a tablet and you have a lot of constraints on it so law enforcement can meet a vessel at the dock and check what is in the box versus the report they've already sent in and things like that; then I think it may well work very well and give you good numbers. But I don't know how we're talking about doing this yet.

MR. BELL: Right, valid points.

DR. DUVAL: Roy, to your point, I see this workgroup as being the first step in a process to hammer out all those kinds of issues. I think certainly we have lessons learned from the pilot project that went on in the Gulf. I think there are lessons learned from the electronic headboat reporting that is going on.

I would fully expect that all of those lessons would be drawn upon by this group of folks that are getting together to kind of hash out what the options are and what this might look like. Personally I think having a tablet on board the vessel would be the most efficient means of moving forward, but I think those are all details to be hammered out.

There are some significant conversations with MRIP that have to occur first. I feel like you are jumping ahead a little bit in some of the details of where this could end up. I think you're raising very valid concerns, but I see this group of folks working on those concerns and coming up with what are the pros and cons and what are the options that the councils may want to consider. I don't see this as being something that is going to be done by the end of next year.

MR. BELL: Right, obviously, a lot of things would have to be worked out.

MR. HAYMANS: I'm not on your committee, but when I looked up and read the intent; that is for federally permitted charterboats, yes? I still have at least a third of my charterboats at home aren't federally permitted and would need to stay in the MRIP System. I wouldn't be able to shift off those MRIP surveyors to something else. I don't know that those resources I can at least shift in Georgia.

MR. BELL: Right; good point; the majority of ours are not federally permitted.

MR. BOWEN: Dr. Crabtree, for lack of a better term and the reason I'm even going to ask this is because at our last meeting we heard Dr. Ponwith discuss the headboat electronic reporting that is going on. If my memory serves me correctly, I think we're 94 percent or something compliance. Wouldn't we try to – and again for lack of a better term – mimic that program, because it seems by the report we got at our last meeting it was going very, very well.

DR. CRABTREE: Well, the headboats are different in the sense that it is a smaller number of vessels, and we put observers out on some of those and have a lot more ways of groundtruthing them. But if you look at the pilot studies that have been done on the charterboat fleet, we had some significant problems with people reporting on time and all of those kinds of things. Exactly how this is going to go, I don't know, but there have been a lot of issues with it in terms of the pilot studies.

MR. PATE: There is a lot of interest nationally in electronic reporting of charterboats in the recreational fishery to comply with the requirements of MRIP. In fact, one of the projects that the operations team approved two weeks ago in Jacksonville, Florida, for which there isn't any funding yet – there isn't any funding for any of the projects, so we have to see how the budget pans out for the MRIP Program. One of the projects that got high ranking in the list of 18 proposals that we had was one by the ACCSP to look at the charterboat requirements by state and review what methods they used for reporting or and any strengths that the individual projects might have that could be applied nationally.

I am coming in late on this. If I sound a little bit fuzzy; it is because I don't have all the details, but we had an extensive pilot project in the Gulf of Mexico that was completed year before last. It revealed some weaknesses in that project. The most significant one, not that it is a weakness is that it is very, very expensive to do it and do it right.

Hopefully, the technical committee will give due credit to that pilot project and follow the recommendations that were made in that report. Electronic reporting by headboats I think is something that we're working towards as a national part of the MRIP Program. Having our involvement in the technical committee will maybe facilitate that.

Data Collection Cmte Wilmington, NC December 5, 2013

MR. BELL: Thanks, Pres, and we're not starting from scratch. There is stuff that has already gone on that we can certainly learn from. John.

MR. CARMICHAEL: Yes, actually I was going to make sure you all were aware of that because I talked with Mike Cahall about that as well, about the reference that ACCSP get involved in this. There is a national effort afoot to go in this very direction. I'm sure part of it would be dealing with all the different concerns Roy raised; so whether we do this or not, it is heading on.

MR. BELL: Right; and we're not starting from total scratch here. Gregg has made some adjustments to the wording of the paragraph where we ran into some issues here. If you could take a look at that; but see if that helps any in terms of some of the wording issues we had.

MR. WAUGH: Mel, I can read that.

MR. BELL: Yes, if you don't mind.

MR. WAUGH: The council's intent is to remove charterboats from the MRIP reporting system and have charterboats report catches electronically each week as is being implemented for headboats. It is the council's intent that this information be updated weekly on the Southeast Regional Office's Website.

MR. BELL: Again, that is the big picture intent, not the detail.

DR. CRABTREE: Why are we going to weekly reporting? It seems to me then we're ruling out having them use a tablet or something where they are on board the vessel and they report before they hit the dock? Why are we ruling that out?

MR. BELL: Okay, so you are saying that would obviously remove that as an option the way that is worded.

DR. CRABTREE: Well, I'm just not sure why our intent is weekly. I don't know if it is going to work or not; but if we wanted to go to something where they have to file their report before they hit the dock so that an enforcement agent can be waiting at the dock with the report in his hand and confirm that what they caught is what they reported; that is not reflected in our intent. I am at least not ready to say that weekly reporting is where we ought to go to as opposed to something else yet at this point.

DR. DUVAL: I wouldn't see this as ruling it out, but if you want to tweak the language to say have charterboats report catches electronically at a minimum of weekly or something along those lines; it doesn't rule out reporting from a tablet on a trip-by-trip basis. It just indicates that we want some consistent frequency; that is all.

DR. PONWITH: This is just a question about the intent again. Is the intent to shift from a sampling program to a census program or is that TBD?

DR. DUVAL: I think a census would be wonderful; but I think again that this is one of those issues that is probably going to have to be hammered out by this workgroup; what is the

feasibility of doing that? John has indicated this is being looked at at the national level, so I would certainly expect that this group of people would make recommendations with regard to whether or not that is actually feasible.

MR. PHILLIPS: I am not on your committee; and I guess again the devil is in the detail. But if we are going to try to do it when they come in, then how are we going to know when they're fishing every day? Are they going to send in zero reports every day and that kind of stuff? Yes, we need to look at this.

MR. BELL: Right; and there are obviously a lot of things that have to be fleshed out, but I think that is the purpose of the group is to get into that. At this point we're just trying to establish a general acceptable enough intent as to what it is we're trying to do. Is that okay; what is up on the screen now?

DR. DUVAL: I was just going to say it looks fine to me and I think we're getting a little too far into the weeds. We need to let these people do their work and come back with recommendations.

MR. BELL: But the things you've brought up are valid concerns, and I think they will come out in the results of the group. Do we need this in the form of a motion?

DR. DUVAL: I move that the council's intent is to remove charterboats from the MRIP Reporting System and have charterboats report catches electronically at a minimum of weekly as is being implemented for headboats. It is the council's intent that this information be updated weekly on the Southeast Regional Office Website.

MR. BELL: David seconded that. Any discussion? Any objection to the motion? Seeing none; then the motion passes.

DR. LANEY: Is David on your committee, Mr. Chairman?

MR. BELL: The second would be officially Wilson then – good catch. Do you also then need a motion, Gregg, to direct staff to draft and send the letters to the appropriate agencies and all? Do you want to work on that?

DR. CRABTREE: What did we do? We got a different seconder now, so do we revote on the motion or what? The other thing that bothers me about it; we're jumping to saying you want the region to post this stuff weekly, but I have no earthly idea if that is even remotely possible that that is going to happen or how that would happen. I don't know where the data is going to go, who has to do what with it.

Then if the data has to be significantly expanded or altered to give you a meaningful catch estimate, do you really want to post the raw data up there? Then people are going to look at it and say, well, that is what we caught; but it may not be because those aren't the estimates that have to be dealt with.

I guess that is my problem with some of this is we're being very specific in some respects in terms of our intent; but I don't know that we really know what that entails or means or if that is even practical.

MR. BELL: That is what would come out with this group. All that said, as best I could read it, was that the intent was for the reporting to be at a minimum of weekly, but it could be something else. That didn't restrict us to weekly.

DR. CRABTREE: Well, no, but it says my office is going to update our website weekly. I have no idea to know if that is at all remotely possible; so I am not inclined to support an intent telling my office to do something that may not be possible or practical. Why are we being that specific? Wouldn't it be better to figure out what we're doing and then worry about when things are going to be posted to websites after we've figured out what it is we're doing?

MR. BELL: Okay, so let me ask this question parliamentary speaking. We didn't have a proper second; is that all sort of nullified? Could we adjust that more before we voted again or should we vote on it as it is?

MR. BELL: Looking at the wording – and I understand Roy's concerns – can we make it even a little more general where it is acceptable, Roy? Do you have a recommendation?

DR. CRABTREE: Yes; I think you said your intent is to have it updated on the website. That is fine, but I would drop the weekly out until we see what is more practical.

DR. PONWITH: That is kind of where I was going with the question of is the intent for it to be a sampling program or a census program, because that is the sentence that it really matters for. If it is a sampling program, would you be posting weekly estimates versus if it is intended to be a census program, then what you have theoretically represents the full fleet's landings. That is where I was getting at on that.

MR. BELL: Okay, so still worded as it is, is an issue then or is that acceptable with taking out –

DR. DUVAL: Again, I think we're getting too far into the weeds here. We need to let these people do their work; census, sampling, whatever. I think we would want to see that information updated on the regional office website on some regular periodicity, frequently; but that can be determined later.

DR. CRABTREE: That is the whole point of what we said; the intent was too in the weeds and too specific. It needs to be more general and stay out of the weeds. That is the point of what the comments have been.

DR. DUVAL: I think we were just talking about intent, and I was referring to Bonnie's comments about whether this is a sampling program or a census program. That is yet to be determined.

MR. BELL: Right, so, Roy, you are saying that as that stands up there, that is still too detailed?

DR. CRABTREE: No; I think that is a lot better and I am more okay with that.

Data Collection Cmte Wilmington, NC December 5, 2013

MR. BELL: Good; we have a motion. That is the way it is worded. We have a valid second in Wilson. Any further discussion on the wording of the intent statement? Gregg will read it for me. .

MR. WAUGH: The council's intent is to remove charterboats from the MRIP Reporting System and have charterboats report catches electronically at a minimum of a weekly as is being implemented for headboats. It is the council's intent that this information be updated on the Southeast Regional Office's Website on some regular period.

MR. BELL: Is there any objection to that motion as worded? Seeing none; that motion passes. The second motion we needed was related to directing staff to write letters. Gregg, do you want to read that.

MR. WAUGH: Yes, it would be to direct staff to draft a letter from the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council and Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council to the agencies/partners (MRIP, HMS, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Southeast Regional Office, ACCSP, and Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission) requesting they appoint representatives prior to the South Atlantic Council's March 2014 Meeting.

MR. BELL: Can somebody make that a motion? Michelle, and Wilson second. **Any discussion on that motion? Any objection to the motion? The motion passes.** Is there any other business to come before the committee?

DR. CRABTREE: It is clear – I mean, we were telling them to draft a letter – okay, never mind.

MR. BELL: I think he knows what he needs to do, but, yes. Any new business to come before the committee? The Data Collection Committee is finished.

(Whereupon. the meeting was adjourned at 5:28 o'clock p.m., December 5, 2013.)

Certified By: Date:

Transcribed By: Graham Transcriptions, Inc. January 3, 2014

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council 2013 - 2014 Council Membership

COUNCIL CHAIRMAN:

Ben Hartig

9277 Sharon Street Hobe Sound, FL 33455 772/546-1541 (ph) mackattackben@att.net

VICE-CHAIRMAN

Dr. Michelle Duval

NC Division of Marine Fisheries 3441 Arendell St. (PO Box 769) Morehead City, NC 28557 252/808-8011 (ph); 252/726-0254 (f) michelle.duval@ncdenr.gov

Robert E. Beal

Executive Director Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission 1050 N. Highland St., Suite 200 A-N Arlington, VA 20001 703/842-0740 (ph); 703/842-0741 (f) rbeal@asmfc.org

Mel Bell

S.C. Dept. of Natural Resources Marine Resources Division P.O. Box 12559 (217 Ft. Johnson Road) Charleston, SC 29422-2559 843/953-9007 (ph) 843/953-9159 (fax) bellm@dnr.sc.gov

Anna Beckwith

,1907 Paulette Road Morehead City, NC 28557 252/671-3474 (ph) AnnaBarriosBeckwith@gmail.com

∕Zack Bowen

11 Kingsridge Court Savannah, GA 31419 912/398-3733 (ph) fishzack@comcast.net

Chris Conklin

P.O. Box 972 Murrells Inlet, SC 29576 843/543-3833 conklincc@gmail.com

Jack Cox

2010 Bridges Street Morehead City, NC 28557 252/728-9548 Dayboat1965@gmail.com

Dr. Roy Crabtree

Regional Administrator NOAA Fisheries, Southeast Region 263 13th Avenue South St. Petersburg, FL 33701 727/824-5301 (ph); 727/824-5320 (f) rov.crabtree@noaa.gov

David M. Cupka

P.O. Box 12753

Charleston, SC 29422

843/795-8591 (hm)

843/870-5495 (cell)

palmettobooks@bellsouth.net

LT Morgan Fowler

U.S. Coast Guard 510 SW 11th Court Fort Lauderdale FL 33315 morgan.m.fowler@uscg.mil

Doug Haymans

Coastal Resources Division
GA Dept. of Natural Resources
One Conservation Way, Suite 300
Brunswick, GA 31520-8687
912/264-7218 (ph); 912/262-2318 (f)
doughaymans@gmail.com

John W. Jolley

/4925 Pine Tree Drive Boynton Beach, FL 33436 561/732-4530 (ph) jolleyjw@yahoo.com

Deirdre Warner-Kramer

Office of Marine Conservation OES/OMC 2201 C Street, N.W. Department of State, Room 5806 Washington, DC 20520 202/647-3228 (ph); 202/736-7350 (f) Warner-KramerDM@state.gov

Dr. Wilson Laney

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service South Atlantic Fisheries Coordinator P.O. Box 33683 Raleigh, NC 27695-7617 (110 Brooks Ave 237 David Clark Laboratories, NCSU Campus Raleigh, NC 27695-7617) 919/515-5019 (ph) 919/515-4415 (f) Wilson_Laney@fws.gov

Jessica McCawley

Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission 2590 Executive Center Circle E., Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301 850/487-0554 (ph); 850/487-4847(f) jessica.mccawley@myfwc.com

Charles Phillips

Phillips Seafood / Sapelo Sea Farms 1418 Sapelo Avenue, N.E.
Townsend, GA 31331
912/832-4423 (ph); 912/832-6228 (f)
Ga_capt@yahoo.com

PRESPATE
PHIL STEELE
SACK MCGOVERN
BONNIE PONWIETH
MONICA SMIT-BRUNELLO
OTHA EASLEY
BRUCE BUCKSON

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council 2013-2014 Committees

ADVISORY PANEL SELECTION

Doug Haymans, Chair Chris Conklin Jack Cox Ben Hartig John Jolley

Staff contact: Kim Iverson

CATCH SHARES

Ben Hartig, Chair
Zack Bowen
Chris Conklin
Jack Cox
Doug Haymans
Robert Beal, ASMFC Representative
Staff contact:

Kari MacLauchlin / Brian Cheuvront

DATA COLLECTION

✓ Mel Bell, Chair
✓ Jack Cox
✓ Roy Crabtree
✓ Michelle Duval

✓Wilson Laney ✓Jessica McCawley

Staff contact: Gregg Waugh

DOLPHIN WAHOO

Anna Beckwith, Chair Zack Bowen David Cupka Doug Haymans John Jolley Mid-Atlantic Liaison, Pres Pate Staff contact: Brian Cheuvront

ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT

Doug Haymans, Chair
Anna Beckwith
Chris Conklin
Michelle Duval
Wilson Laney
Jessica McCawley
Charlie Phillips
Robert Beal, ASMFC Representative
Staff contact: Roger Pugliese- FEP
Anna Martin- CEBA

EXECUTIVE/FINANCE

Ben Hartig, Chair Michelle Duval, Vice Chair David Cupka Jessica McCawley Charlie Phillips Staff contact: Bob Mahood

GOLDEN CRAB

David Cupka, Chair
Ben Hartig, Vice-Chair
Roy Crabtree
John Jolley
Jessica McCawley
Staff contact: Brian Cheuvront

HABITAT & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Wilson Laney, Chair
Anna Beckwith
Chris Conklin
LT Morgan Fowler
Doug Haymans
John Jolley
Charlie Phillips
Robert Beal, ASMFC Representative
Staff contact: Roger Pugliese
Anna Martin- Coral

HIGHLY MIGRATORY SPECIES

David Cupka, Chair Anna Beckwith Zack Bowen John Jolley Staff contact: Brian Cheuvront

INFORMATION & EDUCATION

Anna Beckwith, Chair
Mel Bell
Zack Bowen
Chris Conklin
LT Morgan Fowler
John Jolley
Staff contact: Amber Von Harten

Ben Hartig, Chair

KING & SPANISH MACKEREL

David Cupka, Vice-Chair
Anna Beckwith
Mel Bell
Zack Bowen
Jack Cox
Roy Crabtree
Michelle Duval
Doug Haymans
Jessica McCawley
Charlie Phillips
Robert Beal, ASMFC Representative
Mid-Atlantic Liaison, Pres Pate
Staff contact: Kari MacLauchlin

(Continued)

LAW ENFORCEMENT

Mel Bell, Chair Chris Conklin Jack Cox LT Morgan Fowler Ben Hartig Staff contact: Myra Brouwer

PERSONNEL

Jessica McCawley, Chair Michelle Duval – Vice Chair Mel Bell David Cupka Ben Hartig Charlie Phillips Staff contact: Bob Mahood

PROTECTED RESOURCES

David Cupka, Chair
Anna Beckwith
Michelle Duval
LT Morgan Fowler
John Jolley
Wilson Laney
Staff contact: Kari MacLauchlin

SCI. & STAT. SELECTION

Michelle Duval, Chair Mel Bell Roy Crabtree Doug Haymans John Jolley Wilson Laney Staff contact: John Carmichael

SEDAR

Ben Hartig, Chair
Zack Bowen
Jack Cox
Michelle Duval
Charlie Phillips
Robert Beal, ASMFC Representative
Staff contact: John Carmichael

SHRIMP

Charlie Phillips, Chair Mel Bell Roy Crabtree Wilson Laney Jessica McCawley Staff contact: Anna Martin

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council Staff

Executive Director
Robert K. Mahood
robert.mahood@safmc.net

Deputy Executive Director Gregg T. Waugh gregg.waugh@safmc.net

Public Information Officer

Kim lverson kim.iverson@safmc.net

Fishery Outreach Specialist

Amber Von Harten
amber.vonharten@safmc.net

Senior Fishery Biologist

Roger Pugliese roger.pugliese@safmc.net

Fishery Scientist

Myra Brouwer myra.brouwer@safmc.net

Coral Reef Scientist

∕Anna Martin anna.martin@safmc.net

Fishery Biologist

Dr. Mike Errigo mike.errigo@safmc.net

Fisheries Social Scientist

Dr. Kari MacLauchlin kari.maclauchlin@safmc.net

Staff Economist

✓Dr. Brian Cheuvront brian.cheuvront@safmc.net

Science and Statistics Program Manager

John Carmichael

john.carmichaei@safmc.net

SEDAR Coordinators

Dr. Julie Neer - julie.neer@safmc.net Julia Byrd - julia.byrd@safmc.net

SEDAR Admin/Outreach

Andrea Grabman andrea.grabman@safmc.net

Administrative Officer

Mike Collins
mike.collins@safmc.net

Financial Secretary

Debra Buscher deb.buscher@safmc.net

Admin. Secretary /Travel Coordinator

Cindy Chaya cindy.chaya@safmc.net

Purchasing & Grants

Julie O'Dell

julie.odell@safmc.net

PLEASE SIGN IN

may be included in the minutes, we ask that you sign this sheet for the meeting shown below. So that we will have a record of your attendance at each meeting and so that your name

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council December 2013 Meeting

Data Collection Committee: Thursday, December 5, 2013

NAME & ORGANIZATION	AREA CODE & PHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS	P.O. BOX/STREET CITY, STATE & ZIP
Fmily Holoica	Perly		
22000			
Mark Speak			44/Memosjal Ave Sebastian
Susan Supmen	- 912-222 gaog	SusenShupmen_@ att. ret	- St. Simons Isl/6/1 31500
Rustra Duden	SFAECES		
0 - 1			

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council 4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201 North Charleston, SC 29405 843-571-4366 or Toll Free 866/SAFMC-10

	L, I	captaindrifter@bellsouth	219 min
70			
	Lloyd, Vic	vic_lloyd@bellsouth.net	1 min
62	holiman, stephen	stephen.holiman@noaa.gov	221 min
61	collier, chip	chip.collier@ncdenr.gov	251 min
60	Mehta, Nikhil	nikhil.mehta@noaa.gov	229 min
55	Meadows, Sarah	sarahmeadows23@yahoo.com	77 min
51	Baker, Scott	bakers@uncw.edu	207 min
51	Takade-Heumacher,	htakade@edf.org	89 min
49	raine, karen	karen.raine@noaa.gov	249 min
45	meyers, steve	steve.meyers@noaa.gov	199 min
42	michie, kate	kate.michie@noaa.gov	244 min
40	Gerhart, Susan	susan.gerhart@noaa.gov	220 min
38	Byrd, Julia	julia.byrd@safmc.net	246 min
38	Martin, Gretchen	gmartin@edf.org	238 min
35	Eich, Anne	annemarie.eich@noaa.gov	14 min
34	sandorf, scott	scott.sandorf@noaa.gov	229 min
33	Simmons, Carrie	carrie.simmons@gulfcounci	206 min
33	Bademan, Martha	martha.bademan@myfwc.com	219 min
32	Rindone, ryan	ryan.rindone@gulfcouncil	111 min
32	Clemens, Anik	anik.clemens@noaa.gov	173 min
31	Bresnen, Anthony	anthony.bresnen@myfwc.com	208 min
29	Ballenger, Joseph	ballengerj@dnr.sc.gov	232 min
29	DeVictor, Rick	rick.devictor@noaa.gov	182 min
28	Package-Ward, Chri	christina.package-ward@no	189 min
27	gore, karla	karla.gore@noaa.gov	165 min
27	Helies, Frank	fchelies@verizon.net	199 min
26	Muir, Kirsten	kmuir2@emory.edu	63 min
		kelly.denit@noaa.gov	86 min

25	Crosson, Scott	scott.crosson@noaa.gov	58 min
25	burton, michael	michael.burton@noaa.gov	51 min
23	Stump, Ken	magpiewdc@gmail.com	36 min
22	Smart, Tracey	smartt@dnr.sc.gov	40 min
79	Karp, Fran	francine.karp@gmail.com	274 min
76	morgan, jerry	b8ntackle@aol.com	167 min
71	Mehta, Nikhil	nikhil.mehta@noaa.gov	165 min
70	L, I	captaindrifter@bellsouth	3 min
69	Hartig, Patty	pattyhartigpainter@att.ne	214 min
60	Womack, Brenton	jwomac2@emroy.edu	53 min
56	holiman, stephen	stephen.holiman@noaa.gov	115 min
49	raine, karen	karen.raine@noaa.gov	147 min
42	spain, bill	bill@harborlightsoftware	6 min
42	michie, kate	kate.michie@noaa.gov	150 min
41	Lloyd, Vic	vic lloyd@bellsouth.net	30 min
39	meyers, steve	steve.meyers@noaa.gov	274 min
38	sandorf, scott	scott.sandorf@noaa.gov	252 min
37	Takade-Heumacher,	htakade@edf.org	247 min
35	Byrd, Julia	julia.byrd@safmc.net	274 min
35	DeVictor, Rick	rick.devictor@noaa.gov	142 min
33	Clemens, Anik	anik.clemens@noaa.gov	132 min
32	Helies, Frank	fchelies@verizon.net	167 min
32	Bademan, Martha	martha.bademan@myfwc.com	176 min
31	Baker, Scott	bakers@uncw.edu	157 min
30	Hadden, Whitney	tiftonkid@yahoo.com	62 min
30	Knowlton, Kathy	kathy.knowlton@gadnr.org	158 min
29	Bresnen, Anthony	anthony.bresnen@myfwc.com	176 min
27	Eich, Anne	annemarie.eich@noaa.gov	141 min