

**SUMMARY REPORT
FROM THE
DOLPHIN WAHOO COMMITTEE MEETING
STUART, FLORIDA
June 10, 2013**

The Dolphin Wahoo Committee met on June 10, 2013. The Committee discussed the report and recommendations of the Dolphin Wahoo AP meeting that occurred on March 27, 2013. The Committee discussed and made recommendations regarding the continued development of Dolphin Wahoo Amendment 5.

The Committee received comment from fisherman Tim Nettles regarding allowing filleted dolphin to be brought back from the Bahamas as is currently allowed for snapper grouper species. The committee gave direction to staff to develop in the future a dolphin wahoo amendment that would allow dolphin and wahoo to be brought back filleted from the Bahamas. The direction was to follow the existing regulations for snapper grouper species in 50 CFR 622.186 that makes an exemption for bringing snapper grouper fillets from the Bahamas.

The Committee did not make a recommendation to full Council regarding sending Dolphin Wahoo Amendment 5 out for public hearings, instead preferring to wait until the edits they directed staff to make were added to the document.

The Committee made the following motions:

Purpose and Need

MOTION: Accept IPT's recommended language for modification to the purpose and need.

Approved by Committee

Purpose for Action

The purpose of Amendment 5 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Dolphin and Wahoo Fishery for the Atlantic (Amendment 5) is to revise the ABCs, ACLs, recreational ACTs, and sector AMs for dolphin and wahoo. The revisions incorporate updates to the recreational data as per Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP), as well as revisions to commercial and for-hire landings. The revisions are necessary to avoid triggering accountability measures (AMs) for dolphin and wahoo based on ACLs that were established by the Comprehensive ACL Amendment (77 FR 15916) using recreational data under the Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey (MRFSS) system. MRFSS ceased to exist in January 2013, and was replaced with MRIP. Additionally, this amendment would revise the framework procedure for dolphin and wahoo; modify the sector allocations, and establish commercial trip limits for dolphin.

Need

The intent of Amendment 5 is to base conservation and management measures upon the best scientific information available, and to prevent unnecessary negative socio-economic impacts that may otherwise be realized in the dolphin wahoo fishery and fishing community, in

accordance with the provisions set forth in the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

Action 1

MOTION: Accept IPT's recommended wording for Action 1.

Motion approved by committee

MOTION: Accept Alternative 2 as the preferred alternative for Action 1.

Motion approved by committee

Alternative 1. No action. Acceptable biological catches, annual catch limits, and annual catch targets for dolphin and wahoo are based on the Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey, commercial accumulated landings system, and NMFS headboat survey.

Preferred Alternative 2. Revise the acceptable biological catches, annual catch limits, and annual catch targets for dolphin and wahoo with landings from Marine Recreational Information Program, commercial accumulated landings system, and NMFS headboat survey.

Action 2

The committee discussed ways to make this action simpler, and easier to understand. Direction was given to staff to rework the language and bring the action back for discussion at Full Council. Below is the suggested rewording:

Alternative 1. No action. (1) Commercial sector. If commercial landings as estimated by the Science and Research Director (SRD), reach or are projected to reach the commercial ACL, the Regional Administrator shall publish a notice to close the commercial sector for the remainder of the fishing year.

(2) Recreational sector. If recreational landings, as estimated by the SRD, exceed the recreational ACL, then during the following fishing year, recreational landings will be monitored for a persistence in increased landings and, if necessary, the RA shall publish a notice to reduce the length of the following recreational fishing season by the amount necessary to ensure recreational landings do not exceed the recreational ACL in the following fishing year. However, the length of the recreational season will also not be reduced during the following fishing year if the RA determines, using the best scientific information available, that a reduction in the length of the following fishing season is unnecessary.

Alternative 2. If commercial landings as estimated by the Science and Research Director (SRD) reach or are projected to reach the commercial ACL, the Regional Administrator shall publish a notice to close the commercial sector for the remainder of the fishing year. Additionally,

Sub-alternative 2a. If the commercial ACL is exceeded, the RA shall publish a notice to reduce the ACL in the following fishing year by the amount of the overage, only if the species is overfished.

Sub-alternative 2b. If the commercial ACL is exceeded, the RA shall publish a notice to reduce the ACL in the following fishing year by the amount of the overage, only if the total ACL (commercial ACL and recreational ACL) is exceeded.

Sub-alternative 2c. If the commercial ACL is exceeded, the RA shall publish a notice to reduce the ACL in the following fishing year by the amount of the overage, only if the species is overfished and the total ACL (commercial ACL and recreational ACL) is exceeded.

Alternative 3. If recreational landings, as estimated by the SRD, exceed the recreational ACL, then during the following fishing year, recreational landings will be monitored for a persistence in increased landings.

Sub-alternative 3a. If necessary, the RA shall publish a notice to reduce the recreational ACL in the following fishing year by the amount of the overage, only if the species is overfished. The length of the recreational season will not be reduced if the RA determines, using the best scientific information available, that a reduction is unnecessary.

Sub-alternative 3b. If necessary, the RA shall publish a notice to reduce the recreational ACL in the following fishing year by the amount of the overage, only if the total ACL (commercial ACL and recreational ACL) is exceeded. The length of the recreational season will not be reduced if the RA determines, using the best scientific information available, that a reduction is unnecessary.

Sub-alternative 3c. If necessary, the RA shall publish a notice to reduce the recreational ACL in the following fishing year by the amount of the overage, only if the species is overfished and the total ACL (commercial ACL and recreational ACL) is exceeded. The length of the recreational season will not be reduced if the RA determines, using the best scientific information available, that a reduction is unnecessary.

Action 3

MOTION: Accept recommended IPT wording changes.

Motion approved by committee

Alternative 1. No Action. The recreational sector allocation for dolphin is 92.7%. The commercial sector allocation for dolphin is 7.3%. The sector allocations for dolphin were set in the Comprehensive ACL Amendment (SAFMC 2011) using the sector allocation rule where 50% of sector allocations are based on a longer term landings series (1999 – 2008) and 50% of the sector allocation are based on a shorter time series (2006-2008). If the South Atlantic Council chooses **Alternative 2 of Action 1** as its preferred alternative, the recreational sector allocation for dolphin will be 92.46% and the commercial sector allocation for dolphin will be 7.54%.

Alternative 2. Establish the sector allocations for dolphin that were in place prior to the Comprehensive ACL Amendment making the “soft cap” allocations the sector allocations. The recreational sector allocation for dolphin is 87%, and commercial sector allocation is 13%.

Alternative 3. Set the commercial allocation at its highest percentage of the total catch over the past 5 years (2008-2012). The recreational sector allocation for dolphin is 86%, and commercial sector allocation is 14%.

Alternative 4. Set the commercial allocation at the average of the percentages of the total catch over the past 5 years (2008-2012). The recreational sector allocation for dolphin is 90%, and commercial sector allocation is 10%.

Action 4

MOTION: Accept IPT's recommended wording changes for Action 4.

Motion approved by committee

MOTION: Modify Alt 2 to include changes to the ABC control rule.

Motion approved by committee

MOTION: Select Alts 2 & 3 for Action 4 as preferred alternatives

Motion approved by committee

The Committee gave direction to staff regarding helping to make this action easier to understand. The revisions below also adds a text box that explains what the alternatives would accomplish. Additionally, the new text now includes the addition of wording to **Alternative 2** that would allow changes to the ABC Control Rule through a framework action.

Action 4: Revise the framework procedure in the Dolphin Wahoo FMP

Alternative 1. No action. Do not modify the framework procedure established in the Dolphin Wahoo FMP (SAFMC 2003). The existing framework (p.160 of the Dolphin Wahoo FMP (2003) includes the following text:

If the RA concurs that the Council's recommendations are consistent with the goals and objectives of the plan, the National Standards, and other applicable law, he/she shall implement the regulations by proposed and final rules in the *Federal Register* prior to the appropriate fishing year or such dates as may be agreed upon with the Councils. A reasonable period for public comment shall be afforded, consistent with the urgency, if any, of the need to implement the management measure.

Appropriate regulatory changes recommended by the Council that may be implemented by the Regional Administrator by proposed and final rules in the *Federal Register* are:

- a. Adjustment of the best estimate of maximum sustainable yield (MSY, range and/or best point estimate).
- b. Adjustment of the best estimate of optimum yield (OY, range and/or best point estimate).
- c. Initial specification of ABC and subsequent adjustment of the ABC range and/or best estimate when this information becomes available.
- d. Setting or modifying total allowable catch (TAC).
- e. Reopening of a previously closed area/season, timeframe for recovery of dolphin and wahoo should they become overfished, or fishing year which may not be adjusted by more than two months.
- f. Bag limits.
- g. Size limits.
- h. Tackle configuration (e.g., minimum hook size).
- i. Season/area closures (including spawning area closures).

- j. Gear restrictions and/or prohibitions.
- k. Permitting restrictions.
- 1. Trip limits.
- m. Overfishing/overfished definitions and related thresholds (e.g., minimum stock size threshold (MSST) and maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT)).
- n. Annual specification/quota setting process.
- o. Assessment Panel composition and process.
- p. Identification, designation, and modification of essential fish habitat (EFH) and EFH-habitat areas of particular concern (HAPCs).
- q. Management measures to reduce or eliminate the impact of fishing gear/activities on EFH or EFH-HAPCs.
- r. Specify quota for scientific research.
- s. Designation of areas for scientific research.
- t. Regulations of longline length if ongoing research with marine mammals documents usefulness.
- u. Any other action to minimize the interaction of fishing gear with endangered species or marine mammals.
- v. Allocations and modifications to allocations.

Alternative 2. Include the following in the Dolphin Wahoo FMP framework: Update the framework procedure to revise the specification of Total Allowable Catch (TAC) for the Dolphin Wahoo FMP in terms that incorporate ACLs, ACTs, and AMs. Such modifications would be based upon new scientific information indicating such modifications are prudent. Changes to the ACLs, ACTs and AMs will be made using the following procedure once the new ACLs, ACTs and AMs are established by the Council. **The framework language will reflect SEDAR and SSC roles in setting MSY, OY, and ABC. The framework will also allow for modifications of the acceptable biological catch (ABC) Control Rule for dolphin and wahoo.**

Modification of the Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) Control Rule Procedure

- 1. Modifications to the ABC Control Rule will be proposed to the South Atlantic Council by the South Atlantic Council's Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC).
- 2. At the Council meeting following the receipt of the recommended changes to the ABC Control Rule, the South Atlantic Council will determine if changes are needed to the ABC Control Rule and make changes as appropriate.

ABC, Annual Catch Limits (ACLs) and Annual Catch Targets (ACTs) Adjustment Procedure

- 1. Stock assessments will continue to be conducted for dolphin and wahoo in the management area through the SEDAR process.

Alternative 2 modifies the current dolphin wahoo framework procedure to include the language of ABCs, ACLs, ACTs, and AMs similar to Snapper Grouper Amendment 17B.

Alternative 2 will also allow the Council to adopt a modification of the ABC Control Rule as proposed by the SSC. Alternative 3 follows the expedited procedure implemented by the South Atlantic Council in Snapper Grouper Amendment 27 using an abbreviated process for revising ABCs, ACLs and ACTs. Selecting Alternatives 2 and 3 as preferred alternatives will enable the South Atlantic Council to update the dolphin wahoo framework procedure similar to the updates incorporated in the Snapper Grouper Framework procedure by Snapper Grouper Amendments 17B and 27.

2. Following the SSC’s review of the stock assessment and a public hearing, the Council will determine if changes are needed in the OFL, ABC, ACLs, and ACTs and so advise the RA.
3. Following a review for consistency with the FMP and applicable law, the RA may reject or may implement changes by notice in the *Federal Register* to be effective for the next fishing season.

Modifications to the ACLs, ACTs, and AMs via *Federal Register* notice would be based on new scientific information. This would eliminate the lengthy regulatory amendment process, since a regulatory amendment would not be required to make such changes. This process would entail a review of new scientific information by the South Atlantic Council, and a recommendation from the South Atlantic Council to the RA for any changes to harvest levels they determine need to be made. If the RA agrees to the South Atlantic Council’s recommendations, a *Federal Register* notice would be prepared outlining the modifications and the notice would be published by the office of the *Federal Register*, after which, the changes would be effective. At this point outreach materials such as fishery bulletins, and frequently asked questions would be developed and disseminated to fishery participants to notify them of the change.

Table 2-3. Proposed framework modifications for the South Atlantic Council’s Dolphin Wahoo FMP.

Items retained from the current framework	Items added to current framework
Adjustment of the best estimate of MSY, range and/or best point estimate.	Use of SEDAR reports or other documentation the South Atlantic Council deems appropriate to provide biological analyses.
Adjustment of the best estimate of OY, range and/or best point estimate.	The SSC prepares a written report to the South Atlantic Council specifying OFL and a range of ABCs for species in need of catch reductions to achieve OY.
Setting or modifying TAC.	
Initial specification of ABC and subsequent adjustment of the ABC range and/or best estimate when this information becomes available.	The SEDAR report or SSC will recommend rebuilding periods.
Adjustments to or implementation of trip limits.	Adjustment to ACLs and/or sector ACLs.
Adjustments to or implementation of bag limits.	Adjustment to or implementation of ACTs and/or sector ACTs.
Adjustments to or implementation of size limits.	Adjustments to or implementation of AMs.
Tackle configuration (e.g., minimum hook size).	Adjustments to ABC Control Rule
Season/area closures (including spawning area closures).	

Items retained from the current framework	Items added to current framework
Reopening of a previously closed area/season, timeframe for recovery of dolphin and wahoo should they become overfished, or fishing year which may not be adjusted by more than two months.	
Gear restrictions and/or prohibitions.	
Permitting restrictions.	
Overfishing/overfished definitions and related thresholds (e.g. MSST and MFMT).	
Annual specification/quota setting process.	
Assessment Panel composition and process.	
Identification, designation, and modification of EFH and EFH- HAPCs.	
Management measures to reduce or eliminate the impact of fishing gear/activities on EFH or EFH- HAPCs.	
Specify quota for scientific research.	
Designation of areas for scientific research.	
Regulations of longline length if ongoing research with marine mammals documents usefulness.	
Any other action to minimize the interaction of fishing gear with endangered species or marine mammals.	
Allocations and modifications to allocations.	

Dolphin Wahoo FMP Framework Procedure for Specification of Annual Catch Limits, Annual Catch Targets, Overfishing Limits, Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) Control Rule, ABC, and annual adjustments:

Procedure for Specifications:

1. At times determined by the SEDAR Steering Committee, and in consultation with the South Atlantic Council and NMFS Southeast Regional Office (SERO), stock assessments (or future assessment updates) will be conducted under the SEDAR process for stocks managed under the Dolphin Wahoo FMP. Each SEDAR stock assessment or assessment update will: a) assess to the extent possible the current biomass, biomass proxy, or SPR levels for each stock; b) estimate fishing mortality (F) in relation to F_{MSY} (MFMT) and F_{OY} ; c) determine the overfishing limit (OFL); d) estimate other population parameters deemed appropriate; e) summarize statistics on the fishery for each stock or stock complex; f) specify the geographical variations in stock abundance, mortality recruitment, and age of entry into the fishery for each stock or stock complex; and g) develop estimates of B_{MSY} .

2. The South Atlantic Council will consider SEDAR stock assessments or other documentation the South Atlantic Council deems appropriate to provide the biological analysis and data listed above in paragraph 1. Either the SEFSC or the stock assessment branch of a state agency may serve as the lead in conducting the analysis, as determined by the SEDAR Steering Committee. After reviewing the SEDAR stock assessments, the SSC will prepare a written report to the South Atlantic Council specifying an OFL and may recommend a range of ABCs for each stock complex that is in need of catch reductions for attaining or maintaining OY. The OFL is the annual harvest level corresponding to fishing at MFMT (F_{MSY}). The ABC range is intended to provide guidance to the SSC and is the amount of the OFL as reduced due to scientific uncertainty in order to reduce the probability that overfishing will occur in a year. To the extent practicable, the probability that overfishing will occur at various levels of ABC and the annual transitional yields (i.e., catch streams) calculated for each level of fishing mortality within the ABC range should be included with the recommended range.

For overfished stocks, the recommended range of ABCs shall be calculated so as to end overfishing and achieve stock population levels at or above B_{MSY} within the rebuilding periods specified by the South Atlantic Council and approved by NMFS. The SEDAR report or SSC will recommend rebuilding periods based on the provisions of the National Standard Guidelines, including generation times for the affected stocks. Generation times are to be specified by the stock assessment panel based on the biological characteristics of the individual stocks. The report will recommend to the South Atlantic Council a B_{MSY} level and a MSST from B_{MSY} . The report may also recommend more appropriate estimates of F_{MSY} for any stock. The report may also recommend more appropriate levels for the MSY proxy, OY, the overfishing threshold (MFMT), and overfished threshold (MSST). For stock or stock complexes where data are inadequate to compute an OFL and recommended ABC range, the SSC will use other available information as a guide in providing their best estimate of an OFL corresponding to MFMT and ABC range that should result in not exceeding the MFMT.

3. The SSC will examine SEDAR reports or other new information, the OFL determination, and the recommended range of ABC. In addition, the SSC will examine information provided by the social scientists and economists from the South Atlantic Council staff and from the SERO Fisheries Social Science Branch analyzing social and economic impacts of any specification demanding adjustments of allocations, ACLs, ACTs, AMs, quotas, bag limits, or other fishing restrictions. The SSC will use the ABC control rule to set their ABC recommendation at or below the OFL, taking in account scientific uncertainty. If the SSC sets their ABC recommendations equal to OFL, the SSC will provide its rational why it believes that level of fishing will not exceed MFMT.

4. The Council may conduct a public hearing on the reports and the SSC's ABC recommendation at, or prior, to the time it is considered by the Council for action. Other public hearings may be held also. The Council may request a review of the report by its Dolphin Wahoo Advisory Panel and optionally by its socioeconomic experts and convene these groups before taking action.

5. The South Atlantic Council, in selecting an ACL, ACT, AM, and a stock restoration time period, if necessary, for each stock or stock complex for which an ABC has been identified, will, in addition to taking into consideration the recommendations and information provided for in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, and 4, utilize the following criteria:

- a. Set ACL at or below the ABC specified by the SSC or set a series of annual ACLs at or below the projected ABCs in order to account for management uncertainty. If the South Atlantic Council sets ACL equal to ABC, and ABC has been set equal to OFL, the South Atlantic Council will provide its rationale as to why it believes that level of fishing will not exceed MFMT.
- b. May subdivide the ACLs into commercial, for-hire, and private recreational sector ACLs that maximize the net benefits of the fishery to the nation. The Sector ACLs will be based on allocations determined by criteria established by the South Atlantic Council and specified by the South Atlantic Council through a plan amendment. If, for an overfished stock, harvest in any year exceeds the ACL or sector ACL, management measure and catch levels for that sector will be adjusted in accordance with the AMs established for that stock.
- c. Set ACTs or sector ACTs at or below ACLs and in accordance with the provision of the AM for that stock. The ACT is the management target that accounts for management uncertainty in controlling the actual catch at or below the ACL. If an ACL is exceeded repeatedly, the South Atlantic Council has the option to establish an ACT if one does not already exist for a particular stock and adjust or establish AMs for that stock as well.

6. The South Atlantic Council will provide the SSC specification of OFL; SSC recommendation of ABC; and its recommendations to the NMFS RA for ACLs, sector ACLs, ACTs, sector ACTs, AMs, sector AMs, and stock restoration target dates for each stock or stock complex, estimates of B_{MSY} and MSST, estimates of MFMT, and the quotas, bag limits, trip limits, size limits, closed seasons, and gear restrictions necessary to avoid exceeding the ACL or sector ACLS, along with the reports, a regulatory impact review and proper National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation, and the proposed regulations within a predetermined time as agreed upon by the South Atlantic Council and RA. The South Atlantic Council may also recommend new levels or statements for MSY (or proxy) and OY.

7. The South Atlantic Council will review recommendations of the ABC Control Rule as proposed by their SSC at the Council meeting following the recommended changes. The South Atlantic Council will determine whether the recommended changes to the ABC Control Rule for dolphin and wahoo meet the goals of the Dolphin Wahoo FMP. If the South Atlantic Council agrees with the recommended changes to the ABC Control Rule, they will include those changes in a framework amendment. If the South Atlantic Council does not agree with the recommended changes to the ABC Control Rule, they will notify the SSC of their reasons for not approving the changes.

8. The RA will review the South Atlantic Council's recommendations and supporting information, and, if he concurs that the recommendations are consistent with the objectives of the FMP, the National Standards, and other applicable law, he shall forward for publication notice of proposed rules to the Assistant Administrator (providing appropriate time for additional public comment). The RA will take into consideration all public comment and information received and will forward for publication in the *Federal Register* of a final rule within 30 days of the close of the public comment, or such other time as agreed upon by the South Atlantic Council and RA.

9. Appropriate regulatory changes that may be implemented by final rule in the *Federal Register* include:

- a. ACLs or sector ACLs, or a series of annual ACLs or sector ACLs.
- b. ACTs or sector ACTs, or a series of annual ACTs or sector ACTs and establish ACTs for stocks which do not have an ACT.
- c. AMs or sector AMs.
- d. Bag limits, size limits, vessel trip limits, closed seasons or area, gear restrictions, and quotas designed to achieve OY and keep harvest levels from exceeding the ACL or sector ACL.
- e. The time period specified for rebuilding an overfished stock, estimated MSY and MSST for overfished stocks, and MFMT.
- f. New levels or statements of MSY (or proxy) and OY for any stock.
- g. New levels of total allowable catch (TAC).
- h. Adjust fishing seasons/years.

Alternative 3. Institute an abbreviated process for revising ABCs, ACLs and ACTs according to the existing ABC Control Rule as outlined below:

10. Adjustments to ABCs, ACLs, and ACTs according to the existing ABC Control Rule(s) and formulas for specifying ACLs and ACTs that have been approved by the Council and that were implemented in a fishery management plan amendment to the FMP. This abbreviated process is authorized as follows:

- a. Following the Scientific and Statistical Committee's (SSC's) review of the stock assessment, the Council will determine if changes are needed to ABC, ACL, and/or ACT and will so advise the RA.
- b. The Council will first hold a public hearing during the Council meeting during which they will review the stock assessment and the SSC's recommendations. In addition, the public will be advised prior to the meeting that the Council is considering potential changes to the ABC, ACL, and/or ACT and the Council will provide the public the opportunity to comment on the potential changes prior to and during the Council meeting.
- c. If the Council then determines that modifications to the ABC, ACL, and/or ACT are necessary and appropriate, they will notify the RA of their recommendations in a letter with the Council's analysis of the relevant biological, economic, and social information necessary to support the Council's action.
- d. The RA will review the Council's recommendations and supporting information. If the RA concurs that the Council's recommendations are consistent with the objectives of the FMP, the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, and all other applicable law, the RA is authorized to implement the Council's proposed action through publication of appropriate notification in the Federal Register, providing appropriate time for additional public comment as necessary.
- e. If the Council chooses to deviate from the ABC control rule(s) and formulas for specifying ACLs and ACTs that the Council previously approved and that were implemented in a fishery management plan amendment to the FMP, this abbreviated process would not apply, and either the framework procedure would apply with the preparation of a regulatory amendment or a fishery management plan amendment would be prepared. Additionally, the Council may choose to prepare a regulatory amendment or a fishery management plan amendment even if they do not deviate from the previously approved ABC control rule(s) and formulas for specifying ACLs and ACTs.

11. If NMFS decides not to publish the proposed rule for the recommended management measures, or to otherwise hold the measures in abeyance, then the RA must notify the South Atlantic Council of its intended action and the reasons for NMFS concern along with suggested changes to the proposed management measures that would alleviate the concerns. Such notice shall specify: 1) The applicable law with which the amendment is inconsistent; 2) the nature of such inconsistencies; and 3) recommendation concerning the action that could be taken by the South Atlantic Council to conform the amendment to the requirements of applicable law.

Action 5

MOTION: Move Alts 8 and 9 to the “considered, but rejected” appendix.

Motion approved by committee

Alternative 1. No action. There is no commercial trip limit for dolphin.

Alternative 2: 1,000 lbs ww trip limit

Sub-Alternative 2a: south of 31° N. Latitude

Sub-Alternative 2b: north of 31° N. Latitude

Alternative 3: 2,000 lbs ww trip limit

Sub-Alternative 3a: south of 31° N. Latitude

Sub-Alternative 3b: north of 31° N. Latitude

Alternative 4: 3,000 lbs ww trip limit

Sub-Alternative 4a: south of 31° N. Latitude

Sub-Alternative 4b: north of 31° N. Latitude

Alternative 5: 4,000 lbs ww trip limit

Sub-Alternative 5a: south of 31° N. Latitude

Sub-Alternative 5b: north of 31° N. Latitude

Alternative 6: 5,000 lbs ww trip limit

Sub-Alternative 6a: south of 31° N. Latitude

Sub-Alternative 6b: north of 31° N. Latitude

Alternative 7: 10,000 lbs ww trip limit

Sub-Alternative 7a: south of 31° N. Latitude

Sub-Alternative 7b: north of 31° N. Latitude

Draft Timing and Task Motion:

Direct staff to edit and modify Dolphin Wahoo Amendment 5 according to Council guidance, take the document out for public hearings in August 2013, and bring the document back to the Council at the September 2013 meeting ready to be voted on for submission to the Secretary of Commerce in Fall of 2013.