SUMMARY REPORT FROM THE GOLDEN CRAB COMMITTEE MEETING Charleston, SC September 14, 2011

The Golden Crab Committee met on September 14, 2011, in Charleston, SC. The Committee reviewed draft Amendment 6 and recommendations from the Advisory Panel, IPT, and Council staff. Howard Rau of the Golden Crab AP also participated in the discussion. Council staff presented each action in the amendment and the Committee discussed and made some changes to the amendment, which are recorded in the motions below. Additionally, staff were directed to change the language in some actions and alternatives for clarification of the intent. For a new entrants program (Action 15), the IPT will develop options and the AP will review those and provide feedback, which will be presented at the December meeting.

The Committee made the following motions for each action:

Action 1

MOTION 1: MOVE ACTION 1 TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 2

MOTION 2: MOVE ALTERNATIVES 5, 6 & 7 TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

<u>MOTION 3</u>: CHANGE 2009 TO 2010 IN ALTERNATIVES 2 AND 3. MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

MOTION 4: SELECT ALTERNATIVE 4 AS PREFERRED. MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

MOTION 5: USE THE CONTROL DATE (12/7/2010) PLUS 6 MONTHS TO RENEW AS A CUT-OFF FOR ALTERNATIVE 4.
MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 3

MOTION 6: ESTABLISH THE TIMEFRAMES AS 1997 THROUGH 2010 IN ALL ALTERNATIVES AND SUBALTERNATIVES.
MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

MOTION 7: MOVE TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED ALTERNATIVES 4, 5, 6, 7 & 10. MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

MOTION 8: MOVE SUBALTERNATIVES C, D, E & F UNDER ALTERNATIVES 8, 9 & 11 TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX.
MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 4

<u>MOTION 9</u>: MOVE ACTION 4 TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX. MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 5

MOTION 10: ACCEPT THE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON ALTERNATIVE 1 AND ALTERNATIVE 2 AND NOT INCLUDE ALTERNATIVE 3. MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

MOTION 11: SELECT ALTERNATIVE 2, SUBALTERNATIVE A AS OUR PREFERRED MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 6

<u>MOTION 12</u>: MOVE ALTERNATIVE 2 TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX. MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 7

MOTION 13: MOVE ALTERNATIVES 6, 7 AND 8 TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX AND MODIFY ALTERNATIVE 5 TO INDICATE A MAXIMUM OF 49%. MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 8

MOTION 14: MOVE ACTION 8 TO THE CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX. MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 9

<u>MOTION 15</u>: ADD REVISED ALTERNATIVES 1, 2 AND 3 BUT NOT 4. MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 10

MOTION 16: ACCEPT THE REVISED WORDING AND SPECIFY THE FOLLOWING PREFERREDS: SUB-ALT 2B, SUB-ALT 3B, AND SUB-ALT 4A MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Actions 11-15: no motions

Action 16

MOTION 17: MOVE ACTION 16 TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 17

MOTION 18: MOVE ACTION 17 TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX APPROVED BY COMMITTEE WITH 1 OBJECTION

Action 18

MOTION 19: CHANGE ALTERNATIVES 2 AND 3 TO 10% AND 20% RESPECTIVELY AND ADD LANGUAGE FOR A PAYBACK REQUIREMENT.
MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 19

 $\underline{\text{MOTION 20}}:$ DIRECT STAFF TO ADD "IN CONSULTATION WITH STATE LE" TO BOTH SUBALTERNATIVES 2A & 2B AND SELECT SUBALTERNATIVE 2A AS PREFERRED

MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Action 20

<u>MOTION 21</u>: MOVE ACTION 20 TO CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED APPENDIX MOTION APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.