2025 SAFMC HEAP Meeting July 15-17, 2025 | Charleston, South Carolina



Infrastructure Development in the Marine Environment – *Telecommunication Subsea Fiber Cables*

Rita Melo, Morgan Paris & Laura Cherney

2323939

Delivering a better world

aecom.com

Meet our AECOM Team



Rita Melo is the US East Subsea Permitting Lead in the NYC office with over 14 years of global experience in environmental management for coastal and marine projects.



Morgan Paris is a conservation-oriented Marine Biologist in the Raleigh, North Carolina office with over nine years of marine science experience, comprised of three fisheries positions, along with a Master of Marine Science degree in physical oceanography.



Laura Cherney is a Senior Ecologist and Project Manager in the Miami, Florida office with 25+ years experience in ecology, environmental permitting, and NEPA compliance.



Kate Melanson, PhD is an Ecologist in the Greenville, South Carolina office with over ten years of marine ecology and science policy experience, with her Doctorate in Ecology and Evolutionary Biology.

O1 Components of Infrastructure O2 Potential Impacts from Infrastructure

03 Policy Considerations





Components of Infrastructure

01

Delivering a better world

→ aecom.com

How does offshore wind work?



- Marine environment: sea waves, seabed mobility, corrosion, weather downtime
- Specific health and safety risks and requirements
- Huge scale of operations

Export cables can be HVAC or HVDC, depending on distance of project from shore.

In case HDVC cable is used, a convertor station is required on both ends (offshore & onshore)



Floating Wind (Cable Construction example)



Features

- Conductors (1) manufactured from copper or aluminium
- Insulation system XLPE/EPR with inner and outer semi-conducting layer (2, 3 & 4)
- Copper screening layer (5)
- Fibre optic cable for comms and monitoring (6)
- Core finished with outer sheath (7)
- Cable construction finished with fillers (8) extruded polymer layers (9 & 11) and layers of steel armour (10)

Using Autonomous Surface Vehicles to support deep sea mining activities



Offshore wind construction phases





Every phase of offshore wind





Power cables – Size reference

ENVIRONMENT



MicrosoftTeams-image-2-2048x1536.jpg (2048×1536 https://youtu.be/LPCBc8r12FQ?si=gllDtYRntzKaxh2h

https://youtu.be/LPCBc8r12FQ?si=gllDtYRntzKaxh2h



Telecommunication Subsea Cables Map



https://www.submarinecablemap.com/



Telecommunication Subsea Cable Systems – components and materials?







Modern fiber optic cables are composed of very similar raw materials as their predecessors:

ultra-high strength steel wires copper sheathing polyurethane insulation galvanized wire armoring.

Innovation increased the fiber count in short- and long-haul cable systems, as well as increasing the speed of data transmission resulting from greatly enhanced terminal equipment, fiber performance and repeater technology

Components:

- Subsea cable, repeaters, and branching units
- Terrestrial beach manholes, ducts, and cable landing station

Step 1 Subsea Cable Projects – Cable Route Survey

The goal is to use geotechnical and geophysical hydrographic survey methodologies to collect route specific data of the seabed.











Step 2 – Cable installation

– Nearshore









– Offshore





If not buried with ROV, cables became naturally buried along much of their lengths within a few days/weeks of installation

Step 3 of telecom subsea cable projects – post installation burial and inspection







02 ptial Impacts fr

Potential Impacts from Infrastructure

Delivering a better world



Subsea Cables - Monitoring and Decommissioning

- Subsea cables largely remain untouched during their lifespan, which is usually about 25 years or longer.
- Cable damages, specially in the High Seas, are quite rare, averaging fewer than 4 instances per year worldwide.
- % cable faults related to different causes based on a global database kept since 1959.
- One of the biggest myths cited in the press is that <u>sharks are known to bite</u> <u>telecom subsea cables</u> this happened in the past but not a major threat. Fish bites (including sharks) accounted for zero faults between 2007 and 2014.



ICPC Publication: Submarine Cable Protection and the Environment – March 2021



Subsea Cables Decommissioning

- In many cases, cables are left on the seafloor even after their lifespan (25 years)
- Recovered cables that laid on the seafloor between 38-44 years are almost pristine.
- The plastic outer sheath is intact, no degradation of inner conductors is observed, and the steel was free of corrosion.
- A laboratory study confirmed lightweight cables are chemically inert. Cables with protective metallic armor were found to release low concentrations of zinc (<11 ppm) which, when compared to naturally occurring concentrations added by the atmosphere or rivers is nonrepresentative.



ICPC Publication: Submarine Cable Protection and the Environment – September 2020

Impacts from Telecom Subsea Cables on Habitats

- There is no statistically significant difference detectable in seafloor fauna near or far from telecom subsea cables.
 - This is observed in hybrid systems of fiber-optic cables and power cables.

•











Environmental Considerations when Routing Subsea Cables



Marine Geology



Essential Fish Habitat & Habitat Areas of Particular Concern



Human Uses – Hazards & Restrictions



Benthic Habitat and Species



General Oceanographic Conditions



Marine Protected Areas and Management Zones





Protected Species



Demersal Fishing Effort – Bottom Trawl

Seabed penetration typically ranges from 5-20 cm (2-8 in) but may be more than 51 cm (20 in). Penetration depth is dependent on vessel speed, gear size, and sediment types



Policy consideration -

• Potential for construction, installation, and maintenance of submarine cables to be disruptive to fishing grounds and fishing activities.

ENVIRONMENT Lokkeborg, S. (2005). Impacts of trawling and scallop dredging on benthic habitats and communities (FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 472). NOAA 2006 Side Scan Sonar Data Collected of NJ (Sea Grit to Chadwick) with possible trawl scar tracks



Demersal Fishing Effort - Dredge

Hydraulic dredges can penetrate the seafloor deeper than any other commercial fishing gear to maximum depths of 20-25 cm (8-10 in) and repeated passes over the same seabed increase the potential for reaching buried cables.

Scallop dredges dig into sediment about 20 cm (8 in) with effects lasting up to 6 months or longer depending on bottom currents and localized geomorphology



Policy consideration –

 Cable burial depth requirement for submarine cables to prevent external risk from fishing activity



Drew, S., & Hopper, A. (2009). Fishing and Submarine Cables: Working Together. Second Edition. International Cable Protection Committee (ICPC). ENVIRONMENT Stevenson, D., Chiarella, L., Stephan, C. Reid, R. and Pentony, M. (2004). Characterization of the Fishing Practices and Marine Benthic Ecosystmes of the the Northeast U.S. Shelf, and an Evaluation of the Potential Effects of Fishing on Essential Fish Habitat (NOAA Technical Memorandum NMPS-NE-181). NOAA.



Impact Producing Factors from Offshore Wind

Stressors typically created by anthropogenic forces which result in specific changes to the environment during construction, operation, and decommissioning

Impact Production Factors	Potential Impacts Summary
Seabed Disturbance - Suspended Sediment and Turbidity	Temporary behavioural disturbance (avoidance behaviour, stress response, mortality, displacement
	Mortality of benthic species
	Temporary/localized habitat disturbance and varied recovery rates
	Sensitivity of EFH/complex habitat to temporary habitat disturbance
Introduced Sound – Installation and Operation	Temporary behavioural disturbance (avoidance behaviours, stress response)
	Barotrauma (lethal/injury)
	Likely acclimate to operational sounds
Changes in Ambient Electromagnetic Field – Cables only	Little to no behavioral effects to elector/magneto sensitive species
	No adverse or beneficial species-level effects
Presence of Structures and Cables	Conversion of sand/gravel habitat to hard bottom habitat - artificial reef effect and fish aggregation
	Fisheries exclusion – reduced commercial fishing pressure



Case Study: South Fork Wind Benthic Monitoring Program



Includes targeted visual studies that span pre-construction, construction, and post-construction time periods.

No demonstrable changes in the biological communities or benthic functions associated with

a) soft sediments surrounding offshore wind structures,

b) soft sediments along the export cable, or

c) boulders relocated during seafloor preparation.





ENVIRONMENT

Inspire Environmental. 2025. South Form Wind Benthic Monitoring Program: Sediment Profile and Plan View Imaging (SPI/PV), ROV-based Sampling, and Sediment Grab Sampling



Case Study: South Fork Wind Benthic Monitoring Program

Cable mattress areas hosted various taxa, including sea anemones, sculpin, hake, sea stars, invertebrate turf, and pink/white colonial invertebrates. Mobile invertebrates and fish species included American lobster and Atlantic rock/Jonah crab, hake and flounder





Colonization and Succession



Degraer, S., D.A. Carey, J.W.P. Coolen, Z.L. Hutchison, F. Kerckhof, B. Rumes, and J. Vanaverbeke. 2020. Offshore wind farm artificial reefs affect ecosystem structure and functioning: A synthesis. Oceanography 33(4):48–57, https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2020.405.

ENVIRONMENT



Stepping Stone Effect

The drastic increase of hard substrates in an environment consisting largely of soft substrates can impact spatial distribution and connectivity of speices by creating **new dispersal pathways** and **facilitating species migrations** but can also affect the **spread of non-native species**

Policy consideration – incorporating ecological principles into the siting and planning process



Adams, T.P., R.G. Miller, D. Aleynik, and M.T. Burrows. 2014. Offshore marine renewable energy devices as stepping stones across biogeographical boundaries. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 51:330–338, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12207</u>.



Paxton, A. B., Steward, D. A. N., Harrison, Z. H., & Taylor, J. C. (2022). Fitting ecological principles of artificial reefs into the ocean planning puzzle. Ecosphere, 13(2), e3924.





03 Policy Considerations

Delivering a better world

2



Subsea Cables + Essential Fish Habitat

- Necessary Information for Essential Fish Habitat
 - Area disturbed by the cable and cable laying for each substrate type
 - Avoidance of areas with SAV or corals or other sensitive habitats
- Surface-lay Long-term, minimal disturbance
 - Area disturbed = length x width of cable
 - Possible sea floor resettling; habitat for pioneer species
- Cable Burial Short-term, moderate disturbance
 - Dependent on method
 - Burial / Trenching— consider disturbance of habitats on plow/trenching area
 - Horizontal Directional Drilling only area impacted at punch hole location
 - Return to baseline with possible removal/relocation of species, dependent on substrate

Protected Resources + Installation

- Identification via NOAA Section 7 Mappers or Essential Fish Habitat Mappers
- Practices to follow to avoid harm or harassment
- Permitting and feedback is usually the largest concern for timing
- Working with BOEM and/or USACE
- NOAA is always consulted (formally or informally)



ENVIRONMENT



Species of Conservation Interest + Decommissioning Concerns



- Offshore wind infrastructures provide new habitat for species that are rare or of conservation concern
- Artificial habitat helps maintain local populations of these species; possible increases to ecosystem productivity or protection
- Decommissioning implications for species and possible food web impacts
- Use as possible permanent monitoring stations





Social Considerations for Fisheries

- Offshore wind structures or other cables in fishing waters
 - Different fisheries and equipment, different impacts
 - Increased occurrence vs loss of fishing grounds
- Inclusion of stakeholders in the decision-making process
 - Improve communication with fishing industry
 - Consider mitigation and minimization practices
- Data needed to support fisheries community

ENVIRONMENT

- Baseline fisheries data; Ongoing research and monitoring
- Study impact to fishery and communities







THANK YOU!

Rita Melo <u>Rita.Melo@aecom.com</u>

Morgan Paris Morgan.Paris@aecom.com

Kate Melanson Kate.Melanson@aecom.com

Laura Cherney Laura.Cherney@aecom.com

Delivering a better world

