Robert Dunn, PhD North Inlet-Winyah Bay NERR & Baruch Marine Field Laboratory, University of South Carolina

ONCOUNTRYSA



DORA CONTRACTION ATMOSPHERIC DORA DORA CONTRACT DORA

# COLLABORATION OF THE STATE OF T



# Why should I care about estuaries?

- Productive and <u>dynamic</u> ecosystems
- Serve as nursery habitat for numerous species (including Penaeid shrimp)
- Ecosystem functions and services vary temporally and spatially





# Estuaries support diverse communities

- Provide refuge, foraging opportunities and act as nursery habitat
- Habitat requirements are species specific
- Effective management requires understanding environmental effects on communities
- Temperature, salinity, and nutrient concentrations, expected to change with climate change



To understand changes in shrimp populations in response to environmental variability due to changing climate conditions, weather events, and habitat modifications.















### Analyses of long-term surveys and historic samples

all\_ppl 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00 0.0



Stakeholder interviews

#### Density-dependent growth and mortality experiments







#### Field sampling













#### Postlarval abundance trends







Year

# Postlarval abundance – impacts of winter temperature



# Postlarval timing – impacts of annual temperature



### Phenology

Temporal occurrence of natural phenomena (often major life history events)

- Timing: The date at which some event occurs  $\star$
- <u>Synchrony</u>: The spread of dates over which an event occurs



• Changes in timing and synchrony influences resource availability, predator-prey dynamics, and harvest

# To evaluate changes in juvenile shrimp phenology:

Analyzed 38-year time series of survey data from North Inlet Winyah Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve







Brown shrimp: No significant change over time



White shrimp: Timing, duration and size all shifting







Synchrony  $R^{2}_{adj} = 0.697$ DE = 74.2

Duration  $R^{2}_{adj} = 0.68$ DE = 72.9





### Stakeholder surveys: Motivation

- NERR System emphasis on end-user driven, management relevant projects
- Goal: Assess perceptions of trends in commercial shrimp harvest and effort within the context of changing environmental conditions.
- Specific areas of interest:
  - Environmental changes and concerns
  - Changes in shrimp biology, disease
  - Modifications to shrimping operations (location, timing, etc.)
  - Resources to inform shrimpers about weather and environmental conditions
  - Best practices for researchers to communicate with industry







### Stakeholder surveys: Demographics

- 12 commercial shrimpers based in SC or GA
- Experience in the industry ranged from 10 >50 years, cumulatively ~370 years.
- 8 of 12 interviewees currently captains or co-captains and owners of a vessel (2 of these also owned or co-owned docks or processing/retail facilities). Remaining 4 currently captains.
- 6 shrimpers operate primarily within their home state's waters (state or fed.), six travel to other states depending on time of year.
  - Shrimping locations range from Outer Banks of NC to Cape Canaveral, FL
- Home ports ranged from St. Marys, GA to McClellanville, SC



### Stakeholder surveys: Results

"Right now the way it's getting in this industry, if you don't have a shrimp that, with the head off, a 31-35 or better, it's kind of not even worth messing with."

"It seems like that **melt your face off days** come around more often"

"Our brown shrimp used to be pretty much a staple in the summertime; now they show up **very small** and are only around for two or three weeks and they never grow; It used to be up into August catching brown shrimp but for the last 3-4 years August you pretty much stay tied up because no shrimp and **plenty of sharks**"

"Look, warmer weather. Yeah, well, we've had milder winters. So the warmer weather comes around sooner. The **black gill** shows up sooner with warmer weather."

"It's just – expenses are so high, and you gotta get something for what you're selling but you just can't compete against real **high fuel [costs**] and **not getting any [money]** for your catch"



### Stakeholder surveys: Top concerns

- Top 3 concerns today compared with 10 years ago
- Mostly socio-economic as opposed to environmental
  - Operating costs, fuel prices
  - Competition with imports and stagnant prices for local product
- Shark depredation
- Conflicts with wind farms
- Only 1 reference to fewer shrimp being available











Historically, juvenile penaeid shrimp temporally partition nursery habitat (with some overlap) but exhibit different salinity preferences.



Are growth and mortality of juvenile shrimp in estuarine nurseries density-dependent?

Does D-D vary with species composition (due to temporal partitioning)?













#### Brown shrimp in monoculture exhibited D-D growth.



Dunn et al. In prep

### White shrimp growth rates did not change with conspecific density.



Dunn et al. In prep

### When found together, growth was variable, and not impacted by density.



Dunn et al. In prep

#### Take-aways

- Individual growth and mortality rates are highly variable
- Brown shrimp exhibit more evidence of density-dependence (growth & mortality)
- During overlap period, interspecific competition reduces growth (mass)
- Size matters



















Subset measured

#### Sites trawled monthly April – September

Nekton ID'd to species

- 180 trawls
- Total nekton: 9,395
- 4 elasmobranch species
- 8\* decapod crustacean species
- 1 cephalopod species
- ~46 finfish species









- Bay anchovies most abundant in all Reserves
- White shrimp & croaker in top 5 for all
- Spot abundant at Sapelo and North Inlet
- Brown shrimp and star drum abundant at ACE







### Intertidal creek habitat use and growth of juvenile brown shrimp





#### **Juvenile Habitat Use**



- Occur across estuarine mosaic
- Habitat-specific information lacking







#### 1. Evaluate size structure

#### 2. Estimate growth rates

#### 3. Compare estimates

#### **Results: Size Structure**



#### **Results: Growth Rates**



- Greatest rates in mid- to late-May
- Decline in June
- Rates ≤ 0 by July

**GAM** Interpretation

- Line = model predicted mean TL
- Grey bands = 95% Cl
- Circles = observed mean TL
  - e.d.f = effective degrees of freedom

#### **Results: Comparison of Growth**

 Similar estimates in May across studies

 Less informative in mid-June, July?



#### Summary

- 1. Evaluate size structure
  - Increase in TL, followed by plateau
- 2. Estimate growth rates
  - Decline from initial peak in mid-May
  - Mix of growth, emigration, later cohort(s)
- 3. Compare estimates
  - Overlap among studies, esp. in May





### Analyses of long-term surveys and historic samples

all\_ppl 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00 0.0



Stakeholder interviews

#### Density-dependent growth and mortality experiments







#### Field sampling







#### Robert Dunn Robert@baruch.sc.edu