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## Options for Possible Reopening of South Atlantic Red Snapper

## Why Reopen?

- Allows for additional collection of fisherydependent age data
- Economic boost to fishermen and communities
- Would provide useful information on effort and catch for future reopenings
- Meets Council intention to reopen when feasible


## Mortality Estimates

- Self-reported data sources:
- Commercial logbooks
- MRFSS
- Southeast Headboat Survey
- Estimates include both landings and dead discards
- Discard mortality rates
- comm $=0.48$, private $=0.39$, for-hire $=0.41$
- Estimates uncertain; possibly biased


## Mortality Estimates

- Mortality estimates for 2010 and 2011 are comparable to SEDAR-24 projections

|  | Mortalities (n) |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Year | Projected | Estimated |
| 2010 | 65,000 | 71,394 |
| 2011 | 64,000 | 61,405 |



## 2012 Projected Mortalities

- Unable to estimate dead discards inseason
- Projected mortalities in 2012 are 86,000 fish based on SEDAR-24 Projection Run 9c
- Discards are projected to increase while the stock rebuilds; however, fishing effort is declining and may reduce discards
- Harvest can be allowed only if mortalities are less than projected


## Potential Allowable Landings

| Method for Estimating 2012 Discard Mortalities | 2012 Discard Mortalities ( n ) |  | Potential Allowable Landings (n) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projected | Estimated |  |
| 2010-11 average mortalities | 86,000 | 66,400 | $\begin{aligned} & 19,600 \\ & \text { rec }=14,098 \\ & \text { comm }=5,502 \text { or } \\ & 31,226 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{gw} \end{aligned}$ |
| Average of 2010-11 estimated mortalities + 2012 projected mortalities | 86,000 | 72,933 | $\begin{aligned} & 13,067 \\ & \text { rec }=9,399 \\ & \text { comm }=3,668 \text { or } \\ & 20,818 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{gw} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2011 mortalities increased by $\Delta$ in 2011-2012 exploitable abundance and decreased by $\Delta$ in 2010-2011 fishing effort* | 86,000 | 77,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,000 \\ & \text { rec }=6,474 \\ & \text { comm }=2,526 \text { or } \\ & 14,318 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{gw} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2011 increased by $\Delta$ in 20112012 exploitable abundance (36.6\%) | 86,000 | 83,900 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,100 \\ & \text { rec }=1,511 \\ & \text { comm }=589 \text { or } \\ & 3,346 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{gw} \end{aligned}$ |
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## South Atlantic Recreational and Commercial Fishing Effort

Charter/Private



## Options for Council Consideration

1) Do not reopen
2) Emergency rule or framework measure: Reopen recreational and commercial sectors for a short period of time with strict trip and bag limits.
3) Reopen under exempted fishing permit; participating vessels and/or tournaments selected through a lottery

## SERVICE

## Option 1: Do Not Reopen

## Benefits

- Reduces likelihood of overfishing and increases likelihood of stock rebuilding on time relative to other options.
- Increases likelihood of future surpluses leading to longer seasons.


## Drawbacks

- Does not optimize yield if mortalities are below projected levels.
- Provides no immediate social/economic benefits.
- No fishery-dependent data would be collected for future stock assessments and to inform managers about future reopenings.


## Option 2: Emergency Actions

Emergency action may be justified under one or more of the following situations:
(1) Ecological: To prevent overfishing
(2) Economic: To prevent significant direct economic loss or to preserve economic opportunity
(3) Social: To prevent significant community impacts ...
(4) Health: To prevent adverse effects to health of fishery participants or to seafood consumers.

An emergency is defined as a situation that:
(1) results from an unforeseen event or a recently discovered circumstance and
(2) presents serious conservation or management problems in the fishery, and
(3) the immediate benefits outweigh advanced notice.

## Option 2: Weekend Opening and Commercial Trip Limit

## Benefits

- Provides immediate social/economic benefits
- Provides useful information to managers for future reopenings.
- Fishery-dependent data could be collected; value of data may be limited


## Drawbacks

- Would be unable to accurately estimate private/charter landings; would require additional sampling and expenditures
- High uncertainty in estimating length of reopening.
- Short opening could increase safety at sea issues.
- Potential for increased discards relative to Option 1.
- Increased likelihood of overfishing relative to Option 1.
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## Analytical Challenges for Projecting Length of Reopening

- Historical data may not be representative of future conditions
- Regulatory changes
- Size limits
- Bag limits
- Fishing effort
- Environmental factors


Source: NBDC historical data 2011, buoy 41012, St. Augustine, FL

## Methods for Projecting Recreational Season Length

- Method 1: Maximum landings
- Maximum monthly/wave landings (in numbers) during 2007, 2008, or 2009
- Landings for a wave were distributed by using ratio of \# of days in a month relative to \# of days in a wave
- Estimates not adjusted because exploitable abundance in 2012 is projected to be less than 2007-2009 levels


## Methods for Projecting Season Length

- Method 2: Seasonal Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) model
- Predicted monthly recreational red snapper landings ( $\pm$ $95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ ) using combination of historical catch data (MRFSS and Headboat) and past, present, and future exploitable abundance.
- Inputs:
- 2001-2009 recreational landings (in numbers)
- 2001-2012 exploitable abundance
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## Exploitable Abundance
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## Recreational Season Length Estimates: Maximum Landings 2007-2009



Season length contingent on allowable landings level and time of opening

## Projected Season Lengths: Maximum Landinngs

| Season <br> start date | Season length based on allowable landings |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n=1,511$ | $n=6,474$ | $n=9,399$ | $n=14,098$ |
| Jan | 2 | 9 | 13 | 19 |
| Feb | 2 | 9 | 14 | 21 |
| Mar | 2 | 9 | 13 | 19 |
| Apr | 2 | 9 | 13 | 20 |
| May | 2 | 10 | 14 | 21 |
| Jun | 2 | 10 | 15 | 22 |
| Jul | 4 | 15 | 22 | 33 |
| Aug | 4 | 16 | 24 | 41 |
| Sep | 8 | 34 | 48 | 68 |
| Oct | 8 | 32 | 41 | 54 |
| Nov | 5 | 20 | 29 | 43 |
| Dec | 5 | 20 | 29 | 35 |
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## Method 2: SARIMA Results
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## Recreational Season Length Estimates: SARIMA Results



Season length contingent on allowable landings level and time of opening

## Projected Season Lengths: SARIMA Forecast 95\% CL

| Season <br> start date | Season length based on allowable landings |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n=1,511$ | $n=6,474$ | $n=9,399$ | $n=14,098$ |
| Jan | 2 | 9 | 13 | 19 |
| Feb | 2 | 7 | 11 | 16 |
| Mar | 2 | 8 | 11 | 17 |
| Apr | 2 | 8 | 11 | 17 |
| May | 2 | 8 | 11 | 17 |
| Jun | 2 | 7 | 11 | 16 |
| Jul | 2 | 9 | 13 | 19 |
| Aug | 2 | 9 | 13 | 19 |
| Sep | 2 | 10 | 14 | 21 |
| Oct | 2 | 10 | 14 | 21 |
| Nov | 2 | 9 | 13 | 20 |
| Dec | 2 | 10 | 14 | 21 |

## Commercial Trip Limits

- Used historical logbook landings in 2009
- Imposed trip limits of 50, 100, and 200 lbs gutted weight if a trip exceeded trip limit
- Re-estimated monthly landings
- Projections do not account for changes in effort, stock abundance, or fisherman behavior resulting from fishery reopening.
- If effort and landings are higher than historical levels then landings will be underestimated.
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Season length contingent on allowable landings level, time of opening, and trip limit

## Option 3: Exempted Fishing Permit (EFP)

- NMFS may authorize, for limited testing, public display, data collection, ..., the target or incidental harvest of species that would otherwise be prohibited
- Terms and conditions may be attached to the EFP consistent with the purpose of exempted fishing
- 15-45 public comment period
- The following information must accompany EFP:
- Effect on target and incidental species
- Regulations that without EFP would prohibit activity
- Environmental impacts of EFP


## Option 3: Exempted Fishing Permit

## Benefits

- Provides immediate social/economic benefits
- Fishery-dependent data could be collected; value of data greater than Option 2 if collected seasonally and over broader spatial distribution
- Landings could be closely monitored


## Drawbacks

- Benefits may be disproportionally distributed across sectors
- Would take longer to implement than Option 2
- Potential for increased discards relative to Option 1.
- Increased likelihood of overfishing relative to Option 1.


## Potential Options for EFP

- Select vessels and/or tournaments to participate in EFP through lottery
- Stratify vessels geographically and temporally to allow harvest and data collection throughout red snapper range
- Impose reporting requirements to enhance data collection, and account for catch, such as:
- Hail out and hail in
- One trip/day
- Landings report after each trip
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## Red Snapper Removals

## by Area Fished, 2007-2009



| Region | Charter Boats |  |  | Headboats |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}^{*}$ | Avg. Capacity | Passengers | $\mathbf{N}^{* *}$ | Avg. Capacity | Passengers |
| North Carolina | 257 | 6.1 | 1560 | 14 | 63.8 | 893 |
| South Carolina | 104 | 6.3 | 651 | 12 | 44.8 | 538 |
| Georgia | 24 | 6.7 | 162 | 2 | 21.5 | 43 |
| Florida |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEFL (Nassau-Volusia) | 76 | 6.8 | 515 | 7 | 72.6 | 508 |
| CEFL (Brevard-Martin) | 110 | 6.6 | 723 | 9 | 78.1 | 703 |
| SEFL (Palm Beach-Dade) | 164 | 6.4 | 1046 | 6 | 94.5 | 567 |
| Monroe County | 333 | 6.1 | 2032 | 9 | 84.6 | 761 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All areas | 1068 | 6.3 | 6688 | 59 | 68.0 | 4013 |
| GA-CEFL | 210 | 6.7 | 1399 | 18 | 69.7 | 1254 |

* Excludes 405 permits that are associated with vessels homeported in non-South Atlantic states
** Excludes 16 headboats from the SE Headboat Survey that either do not have a valid snappergrouper permit or are homeported in a non-South Atlantic state according to permit data records


## Geographic Distribution and Capacity of For-hire Snapper-Grouper Vessels



## Geographic Distribution of Commercial Vessels Landing Snapper-Grouper ${ }^{1}, 2011$

| Region | Area Fished | Vessels $^{2}$ | Trips |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NC | $3471-3575$ | 58 | 2,122 |
| SC | $3273-3379$ | 101 | 5,038 |
| GA | $3174-3181$ | 7 | 428 |
| NEFL | $2976-3081$ | 45 | 1,631 |
| CEFL | $2777-2880$ | 55 | 1,156 |
| SEFL | $3273-3379$ | 73 | 621 |
| Monroe | $2479-2482$ | 128 | 1,219 |
| Total | n/a | 467 | 12,215 |



1 The following species were included for analysis and are commonly caught in association with red snapper: vermilion snapper, scamp, red porgy, black grouper, gag, red grouper, gray triggerfish, and greater amberjack.
246 vessels reported fishing in multiple regions; only the primary region of landings is reported; vessel fishing activity was based on area fished and not state of landing

## Conclusions

- Fishery remaining closed
- increases the likelihood of stock rebuilding on time
- reduces the likelihood of overfishing occurring
- provides no immediate social/economic benefits
- Emergency rule or framework measure
- provides immediate social/economic benefits to all sectors
- estimates of season length are highly uncertain
- catches for charter/private would be difficult to monitor


## Conclusions

- Opening through Exempted Fishing Permit
- would provide greatest scientific benefits
- would provide limited benefits for evaluating future reopenings
- would provide most accurate way of accounting for landings
- most administratively burdensome; longest to implement
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## Questions?

## Commercial Trip Limit Results

| Month | Projected Monthly Landings (lbs gw) for Various Trip Limits |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{5 0}$ lbs | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ l b s}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ Ibs | No Limit |
|  | 5,560 | 9,094 | 14,011 | 27,255 |
| Feb | 5,100 | 8,554 | 12,965 | 23,342 |
| Mar | 4,056 | 6,668 | 10,016 | 20,107 |
| Apr | 4,790 | 7,844 | 12,087 | 24,062 |
| May | 6,320 | 9,874 | 13,844 | 23,676 |
| Jun | 7,423 | 11,474 | 16,589 | 28,211 |
| Jul | 6,054 | 9,752 | 14,240 | 32,942 |
| Aug | 4,862 | 7,283 | 10,214 | 18,684 |
| Sep | 5,838 | 8,801 | 12,340 | 24,429 |
| Oct | 6,504 | 10,456 | 16,123 | 39,356 |
| Nov | 5,380 | 8,364 | 12,502 | 26,073 |
| Dec | 4,282 | 7,013 | 10,944 | 24,888 |
| Total | 66,168 | 105,176 | 155,874 | 313,024 |

[^1]
[^0]:    * Based on 7.7\% decrease in private/charter angler trips from 2010-11 and 9.1\% decrease in commercial snapper-grouper days fished away from port from 2010-11

[^1]:    * Projections based on 2009 coastal logbook landings

